DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE **SIUN XENOGRAFT MOUSE** MODEL TO **STUDY HOST RESISTANCE TO** *DEMODEX*

A Thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of Graduate Studies

of

The University of Guelph

by

KEITH EMERSON LINDER

In **partiaI** fulfillment of requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

September, 2000

0 Keith **E.** Linder, 2000

National Library of Canada

**Acquisitions and

Bibliographic Services Services bibliographic Services**

395 Wellington Street 395. rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Chawa ON K1A 0N4
 Canada Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

services bibliographiques

Canada Canada

Yaur fi& Vorre réference

Our file Notre référence

The author **has** granted a nonexclusive licence allowing the National **Library** of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of **this** thesis in microfom, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the L'auteur conserve la propriété du may be printed or otherwise de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés reproduced without the author's ou autrement reproduits sans son permission. autorisation.

L'auteur a accordé **une** licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

copyright in this thesis. Neither **the** droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. thesis nor substantial extracts from it Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels

0-612-56286-7

Canadä

ABSTRACT

DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE .SISIN XENOGRAFT **MOUSE MODEL TO** *STUDY* **HOST RESISTANCE TO** *DEMODEX* **CANlS**

Keith Emerson Linder University of Guelph, 2000

Advisor: Dr. J. A. Yager Co-Advisor Dr. **R** A Foster

The objectives of this experimental study were: 1) to develop a reproducible skin xenograft mouse model **off canine** demodicosis, and 2) to test the hypothesis that lymphocytes **affect** *Demader* **canis** populations in **vivo.** This study compared the healing of full- and split-thickness canine skin xenografts, developed canine peripheral blood lymphocyte mouse chimaas and recreated canine allogeneic skin **gr&** rejection in the murine model. Canine blood lymphocytes survived transfer to immunodeficient mice and produced variable amounts of canine IgG, up to 6.0 mg/mL. Transferred lymphocytes mediated all ogeneic skin graft rejection. The full-thickness skin xenografting techniques developed led ta well-haired, relatively large, **canine** skin x enografts. Four types of genetically immunodeficient mice (scid/bg, ICR scid, tg ϵ 26 and **Rag2**) were found to support canine skin xenografts and D. canis graft infections; however, development of the "leaky" phenotype and/or low survivability limited the use of scid/bg, ICR scid, or tge26 mice for modeling demodicosis.

To directly test the lymphocyte hypothesis, *D.* canis infected skin grafts on *Rag2* null mice were treated with syngeneic canine lymphocytes and then graft mite numbers were compared. Grafts received either 25×10^6 unstimulated lymphocytes or 15×10^6 lymphocytes that were stiimulated in **vitro with** phytohemagglutinin and human

recombinant interieukin-2. **Skin** xenografis **grew** abundant **hair** and did not develop gross lesions after *D. canis* infection or lymphocyte transfer. Infiammation **was** not associated with *D. canis* infected follicles. Ninety days post infection, the mean (\pm SEM) calculated number of mites per xenograft sample **was** significantly higher after treatment with stimulated lymphocytes, $15,330$ $(\pm 3,583)$, than with unstimulated lymphocytes, $6,582$ $(\pm 1, 118)$ (P=0.016), or with saline 8,931($\pm 1,716$) (P=0.049). Canine IgG, measured by ELISA, **was** significantiy higher in mouse sera after treatment of mite infected grafts with stimulated lymphocytes (mean \pm SEM, 34.01 \pm 4.19 μ g/mL), than with unstimulated lymphocytes **(P<0.00** 1). In **conclusion, D.** *canis* mites proliferated to **high** numbers on xenografts, confirming the importance of systemic dog factors in controlling mite populations. **D.** *canis* did not induce lesions of dernodicosis in the absence of infiammation. Treatment with in **vitro** stimulated lymphocytes **was** associated with increased numbers of mites; this **was** an unexpected finding. Furthermore, the methodology applied herein demonstrates the applicability of the skin xenograft mouse model in veterinary dermatology research.

This work is dedicated to

TRACY ANNE HAMMER

Tracy was the £irst duai-degree doctoral candidate in veterinary medicine and microbiology at Michigan Stage University. She was a wonderful friend to many.

Tracy died July 17, 1996, with her mother Beverly, on TWA Flight 800, just before she could finish both **of her degrees. Tracy was traveling to France to present her research.**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, **I** sincerely **thank** my advisor Dr. J. A Yager for providing the opportunity, guidance and support that has enabled me to achieve this goal. Indeed, it has been a great privilege to work with Dr. Yager, whom **1** regard as one of the few world authorities on veterinary dermatopathology. **1** thank my CO-advisor Dr. R **A** Foster, to whom I am indebted for personal mentoring in diagnostic pathology and for setting an intellectual standard for me to follow.

1 am also thankfùl to the members of my advisory cornmittee, Drs. **B.A** Croy, **W.M.** Parker, J.R. **Barta,** and P. **E-** Shewen for their continuous support, encouragement and advice throughout my graduate studies. Dr. Croy generously provided the tge26 transgenic mice used in these experiments.

1 am gratefûl for the technical expertise of many professionals within the Department of Pathobiology, especially Hua Shen, Gaye Smith, and **Barb** Jefferson, without whom this work would not have been possible. **1** am gratefûl for the assistance of Karen Macmillan. A specid thanks goes to Gaii Kunkle for advice and support.

I thank Janice Greenwood and Pom Chantakru for helping me with the tge26 mice. I **thank** Dave Bridle, Jackie Rombeek, Tony Cengija and Angela Pinsomeault for invaluable assistance with mouse husbandry. Mary Martini, Annette Morrison, Panos Mayronicolus and Jennifer Beehler took great care of the dogs enrolled in this study.

1 greatly appreciate all of the animals, dogs **and** mice that made this research possible. While **1** will remember them dl, "Penny" will be forever present in my thoughts. **I am also** grateful to the pet owners and veterinarians that took the time to assist me with this work

1 thank the many fiiends and colleagues that have enriched my life over the past few years and special thanks go to Lindsay Tomlinson, Josepha Delay, Cathy Shilton, Darren Wood, Denise Goens, Simon **Pearce,** Elemir Simko and **Andrew** Peregrine. The assistance of Josepha and Darren made the **dog** surgeries possible. **1** thank John and loe Foster for good friendship and support.

I thank my family, Vincent, Christine, Benjamin, and Chanse Linder for providing unconditional love and encouragement tbroughout this project. **1** thank Connie Chow for her editorial comments and support.

Lastly, 1 am forever indebted to Dana Randall for her love, patience and many hours spent traveling Highway-401.

DECLARATION OF WORK PERFORMED

1 declare that 1 have performed al1 of the work in this thesis, with **the exception of the items listed below.**

Bacterial culture of canine skin graft samples was performed by the Animal Health **Diagnostic Laboratory (University of Guelph, Guelph, ON). Immunohistochemical staining of tissues was performed by Prairie Diagnostic Services (Western College of** Veterinary Medicine, Saskatoon, SK).

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbb{Z}^2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 \sim

 ~ 10

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$.

 ~ 100

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 3

SECTION 3.2

Figure 3.2-1: Line graph showing the surface eschar score (mean \pm standard error) for canine thin split-thickness skin grafts on tg E 26 mice at 3, 6, and 9 weeks post transplantation ... **.Page** 67 **Figure** 3.2-2: Line graph showing the diameter (mm) of canine skin grafts on **tge26** mice at 1, 3, 6 and 9 weeks post transplantation **Page** 68 **Figure 3.2-3:** Appearance of representative canine skin grafts on tg ϵ 26 mice at 9 weeh post transplantation. ... **Page** 69 **Figure** 3.2-4: Photomicrographs of **a** thin split-thickness canine skin graft (Group-I) fiom a te26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation.. **.Page** ⁷⁰ **Figure 3.2-5:** Photomicrographs of a full-thickness canine skin graft (Group-III) from a tge26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation............................**Page** 71 **Figure 3.2-6:** Photomicrographs of a thick split-thickness canine skin graft (Group-II) fiom a tg26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation **.Page** 72 **SECTION** 3.3 Figure 3.3-1: Line graph showing canine IgG concentration (µg/mL) over time in plasma from IgG positive *tgg26* mice after intraperitoneal transfer of canine PBMC.. ... **-..Page 83 Figure** 3.3-2: Line graph showing the packed ce11 volume for tg26 **mice** taken pnor to and at weekly intervals after intrapentoneal transfer of **canine** PBMC.. .. **Page 84 Figure 3.3-3:** Line graph showing canine IgG concentration (mg/mL; mean \pm SD) over time in plasma fiom tg26 mice **(n=6)** after intraperitoneal transfer of canine IgG.. .. **Page 85 Figure 3.3-4:** Line graph showing the packed cell volume for tgs26 mice (n=6) taken prior to and at weekly intervals after intraperitoneal transfer of canine **IgG..** .. **-...Page 86**

SECTION 3.4

Figure 3.4-1: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft from a tgg26 mouse at 4 weeks after direct graft inoculation with allogeneic canine PBMC (Group-0. **e-.** . .-. -. . -. **.-.Page 94**

Figure 3.4-2: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft from a tge26 mouse at 4 weeks after direct inoculation with PBS (Group-II) **.Page 95**

Figure 3.4-3: Photomicrographs of CD3 immunostained canine skin grafts fiom tg26 rnice at 4 weeks after direct inoculation with allogeneic canine **PBMC** (Group-1) or **PBS** (Group-II). -. **Page 96**

CHAPTER 4

SECTION 4.2

 \bar{z}

 $\sim 10^4$

Figure 4.3-14: Photomicrographs of **CD** 45 immunostained canine skin **grafts** from tge26 mice that had received canine PBMC (Group-I) or PBS (Group-II) before and after mite infection (expenment two). **Page 142**

SECTION 4.4

4 weeks after intragraft transfer of canine leukocytes. **Page** 171

Ŷ.

LIST OF TABLES

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

CHAPTER 1

CHAPTER 4

 $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

SECTION 4.2

SECTION 4.3

SECTION 4.4

SECTION 5.2

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REWEW

1.1 **GENERAL** INTRODUCTION

The ectoparasite *Demodex canis* is responsible for canine dernodicosis, a common skin disease afflicting dogs in North America. Overgrowth of *D. canis* has the potential to induce severe disease that requires months of treatment to effect a clinical cure, after which a proportion of cases will relapse. The expense of treatment, discomfort associated with the disease, and disruption of the **human-animal** bond lead owners, not infiequently, to elect euthanasia for affected animals.

The literature describing canine demodicosis reaches back more than a century, however the basic details regarding its pathogenesis remain poorly understood. Demodicosis is an inflammatory skin disease associated with *D. canis* overgrowth, yet the causal relationship of these factors remains uncertain. What role does inflammation play in controliing cutaneous mite numbers on the skin **and** in skin lesion development? Do mites directly damage hair follicles and induce hair loss? **Why** do some dogs develop generalized disease while others do not? These questions remain unanswered.

The current consensus is that dogs with generalized disease suffer fiom an inherited or acquired defect in T-ce11 function. In juvenile dogs, this T-ce11 defect is thought to result fiom a genetic predisposition. In adult dogs, this defect is considered acquired, as a result of immunosuppressive therapy or a concurrent debilitating disease. Although the T-ce11 dysfunction hypothesis is supported by descriptive and in **vitro** experimental studies published during the last 30 **years,** the ability of T-cells to control

 $\mathbf{1}$

mite populations has not been directly tested. Other potentially significant pathogenic factors, such as local mechanisms of skin resistance, have received little attention.

Investigations of **the** pathogenesis of canine demodicosis have been hampered by the lack of a suitable experimental system. **Demodex cunis can** not be cultured in **vitro** and mites rapidly die when removed from the host. Generalized demodicosis is difficult, if not impossible, to recreate experimentally in the natural host without severe immunosuppression, a condition that limits in **vivo** investigations of host immunity.

The availability of the skin xenograft mouse model has provided a new approach to study **canine** demodicosis. The model takes advantage of the ability of genetically immunodeficient mice to support skin **grafts** fiom difEerent species for many months. Skin xenografts retain a high degree of structural and biochemical integrity and thus provide an **in vivo** test system **with** biologic relevance. Canine skin xenografts will support *D. canis* infections. Finally, the immunodeficient recipient mice used in this model can be reconstituted with specific elements of the donor host immune system, such as lymphocytes. Combining these features of the xenograft model provides a method to address fundamental questions about the pathogenesis of dem~dicosis. The first objective of this thesis was to develop the canine skin xenograft mode1 of demodicosis. The second objective **was** to **directly** test the **ability sf** lymphocytes to limit D. **canis** populations on canipe skin.

The first half of this chapter summarizes aspects of demodicosis in dogs, including the biology of *D. canis*. The second portion of this chapter reviews the components of the skin xenograft mouse model and the relevant literature pertaining to its application in dennatology research.

 $\overline{2}$

1.2 **PART 1: DEMODEX CANIS AND CANINE DEMODICOSIS**

1.2.1 BIOLOGY OF DEMODEX C4NIS

The mite responsible for canine demodicosis **was** originally identified by Tulk in 1844 and was subsequently given its current species name, *Demodex canis*, by Leydig in 1859 **(Nutting** & Desch, 1978). It was not until the second half of the 19th century that French provided more complete morphoiogic description of **D.** *canis* (French, 1962; French, 1963). French's work was later expanded by Desch and Nutting (Desch, 1973; Nutting & Desch, 1978).

In 1843, Owen coined the genus name *Demodex,* which is derïved fiom the Greek "demos" for lard and "dex" for boring worm (Desch, 1973). Members of this large genus, with more than 50 species already described (Nutting, 1985), share several features. Demodicids are obligate mammalian symbiotes, host species specific and highly host adapted to occupy a particular niche on the body, usually located within a cutaneous environment (Nutting, 1976a). **Many** of these mites, like *D.* canis, pnmarily occupy the pilar canal of hair follicles (e.g., *D. folliculorum* of humans, *D. caprea* of goats, and D. *phylloides* of pigs), whereas some species inhabit sebaceous glands **(D.** *brevis* in **humans)** *or* rneibomian glands (Nutting, 1976a). A few, such as **D.** criceti in the hamster and D. gatoi in the cat, have adapted to live on the skin surface (Desch $\&$ Stewart, 1999).

Several mammals are **known** to host more than one species of Demodex, a condition termed synhospitality. More **than** one species has been descnbed for humans **(D.** *folIicuIomrn* and *D.* brevis), **cats** (D. **cati and D. gatoi)** and horses **(D.** *cabaZIi* and D. equi). Nutting provides more examples including an extensive list of the host

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$

specificities of different **Dernoder** spp. (Nutting, 1985). Dogs **may** be host to at least three *Demodex spp. Demodex canis* is well described and is the common species found on nomal dogs as well as dogs with demodicosis (Scott **et** aL, 1995). Recently, two unnamed, potentially new **Dernoder** *qp-* have been identified **fiom** dogs **with** dermatitis, inciuding a short-bodied surface dwelling mite (Mason, 1993b; Lemrnens **et** aL, 1994; Chen, 1995; Chesney, 1999; Saridomichelakis **et al.,** 1999) **and** a long-bodied mite inhabiting **hair** follicles and sebaceous glands (Hillier & Desch, 1997).

Demodex spp. are considered a component of the normal body fauna of most mammals. In humans, *Demodex spp.* are readily found on the skin of healthy individuals and the prevalence of infestation **can** range from less **than** 10% to nearly 100% depending on the methods of detection and the human population studied (Riechers & Kopf, 1969; Rufli & Mumcuoglu, 1981; Sengbusch & Hauswirth, 1986; Sengbusch, 1991). Survey studies have identified the prevalence of mite infestation in the **skin** of clinically normal swine (35% to 50%), horses (16% to 59%), goats (8% to 11%) and cattle (2% to 11%) (Baker & Fisher, 1969; Qintero, 1978). Similarly, **D.** *cmis* is present *in* digested skin samples from healthy dogs and the prevalence has been reported as 5% (Gafaar et al., 1958), 100h (Unsworth, 1946), 17% (Kirnonas **et** al., 1975), or 53% (Koutz et aL, 1960). The skin sample size and number of skin sites examined **were** iimited (and non-unifoxm) in these studies and the prevalence of **D. canis** is expected to be higher **than** 53% in some populations of normal dogs. In a study of 204 normal dogs, Koutz and coworkers did not find **any** association between age, sex, breed, or coat **type** and **D.** *canis* colonization (Koutz **et** al, 1960). **Demodex** *mis* primarily inhabit the skin around the eyes and

mouth with fewer mites present on the face and head (Koutz et al., 1960). Few, if any, **D. cmis** are recovered fiom the **trunk** and limbs of healthy dogs.

Demodicids have undergone extensive morphologie adaptation for survival in the host skin environment. All *Demodex spp.* have developed a tubular, spindle-shaped, body. Compared to free-living or other parasitic mites, the body surface features of *Demodex* spp. **are** greatly reduced. Adults possess few shortened setae and spines as well as greatly shortened legs **(Bukva,** 1991). A typical adult *Demodex* **v.** has stump-like legs with only 3 segments compared to **5** or more segments in the relatively long legs of myobid or psorergatid mites **(Nutting,** 1985). Mouthparts are shortened and eyes are absent. The exoskeleton of demodecids, like psorergatid mites, is very **thin** compared to other parasitic mites. Because of this, **D. canis,** when removed fiom the hair follicle, desiccate **within** 45 to 60 minutes at **20°C** and a relative humidity of **40%** (Nutting, 1965). The digestive tract of demodicids terminates in a blind-ended sac with no known species having an anus (Nutting, 1985; Desch *et* al., 1989). The lack of fecal excretion may decrease the antigenic **stimulus** provided by the mite, facilitating its survival on the host. More extensive description of structural adaptations have been reported (Nutting, 1985; **Bukva, 1991).**

Demodex spp. are thought to feed on the cellular contents of keratinocytes (and/or sebocytes) and possibly glandular secretions (Desch & Nutting, 1978; Desch et al., 1989). Stylet-like retractable chelicerae present in the preoral cavity are available to puncture cells. Large, **paired** salivary glands possibly supply enzyme rich secretions for preoral digestion. The esophagus is less than 1 μ m in diameter, strongly suggesting that mites ingest only liquid substrate.

Demodicids are considered holostadial; al1 life-cycle stages of D. *canis* develop on the dog within the pilar canal of hair follicles and occasionally within sebaceous glands (Nutting & Desch, 1978). *Demodex crmis* development follows the **usual** acarine life cycle of ovum, larva, protonymph, nymph, and adult (Nutting & Desch, *1978).* Morphologic data, used for identifying individual stages in this study, were taken from severai sources (French, 1962; French, 1963; Desch, 1973; Nutting & Desch, 1978). A taxonomic key is available to distinguishing *D. canis* from other *Demodex spp.* of veterinary importance (Nutting, 1976a; Nutting & Desch, 1978).

The duration of the demodicid life cycle is poorly understood. Spickett (1961) used *in vitro* survival data and histological analysis of infested human tissues to estimate the complete life-cycle for *D. folliculorum* to last 14.5 days (ovum, 60 hr; larva, 36 hr; protonymph, 72 **hr,** deutonymph (nymph), 60 **hr;** and adult, 120 hr). Spickett's estimates shoutd be interpreted **with** caution, however. Spickett **most** certainly cambined data for D. **folliculorum** with that for *D. brevis.* Demodex brevis was not assigned the status of a distinct species (from *D. folliculorum*) until 1972, many years after Spickett's studies @esch & Nutting, *1972).* Furthexmore, demodicids generally do not reproduce or survive for long periods **in** *vitro.* Sako (1964) estimated the generation time **for D.** *cmis* to be between 20 and 34 days. Unsworth (1946) reported similar findings that were based on a limited number of observations.

Transmission of demodicid mites occurs through direct contact. *Demodex canis* has been recovered fiom the hair follicles of puppies as early as 24 hours after birth, (Sako, 1964; Greve & Gaafar, 1966) indicating that puppies acquire *D. canis* from the dam as early as the first few hours of life. Pups can readily acquire mites from clinically

normal dams or dams with demodicosis (French et al., 1964; Greve & Gaafar, 1966). Mites are detected **first** on the face and head of puppies, a finding **that** has led some to conclude that mite transfer occurs during nursing (Greve & **Gaafar,** 1966; **Scott** *et* **al.,** 1995). Puppies delivered by cesarean section or removed fiom fetal membranes by hand fiom heavily infected dams and hand reared did not harbor mites (French *et* al., 1964; Greve & Gaafar, 1966).

Experimental transmission of *D.* **amis** has been performed, after which a proportion of neonatal dogs generally develop transitory skin lesions that spontaneously resolve (Scott *et* al., 1995, Sheahan, 1970 #876). Skin lesions that developed in a transmission study by French and coworkers were not described in detail **and** mites were not demonstrated in cutaneous tissues (French *et al.*, 1964). It is considered difficult, if not impossible, to consistently reproduce skin lesions associated with canine demodicosis in heaithy adult dogs, especially the lesions of generalized demodicosis (Scott *et* al., 1995). **Sako** (1964) went **as far** as to bind the legs of a clinically normal dog to those of a dog with demodicosis in attempts to reproduce the disease. Folz (1978) coinfected beagle dogs with **D. amis** and *Sarcoptes* scabiei and then repeatedy stressed dogs in an 8-week protocol to recreate generalized disease. Studies of experimentally immunosuppressed dogs are discussed **below** (Section 1.2.3 **-2.3).**

1-2.2 EPIDEMIQLOGY OF *CAMNF,* **DEMODICOSIS**

1-2.2.1 Distribution, Incidence, and Breed Association

Demodicosis has a truly protean distribution and is recognized worldwide (Unsworth, 1946; Reddy et **al.,** 1992; **Lemarie** & Horohov, 1996; Caswell *et* al., 1997). *A* survey of veterinary teaching hospitals reported demodicosis as the sixth most commonly diagnosed skin disease of dogs in North America, and the third most common in the southeast and southwest regions (Sischo et al., 1989). Similarly, a retrospective study by Scott and Paradis reported demodicosis to be one of the ten most commonly diagnosed skin diseases of dogs at the vetennary teaching hospital of the University of Montreal in Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec (Scott & Paradis, 1990).

Al1 dogs, **rnixed** or purebred, are considered susceptible to developing demodicosis. However, purebred dogs are generally over represented in study populations and a higher prevalence of demodicosis has been reported for several breeds (Scott et al., 1974; **Scott,** 1979; **Scott** & Paradis, 1990; Miller et al., 1992; Scott et al., 1995; **Lemarie** et al., 1996). Lemarie and colleagues (1996) also found that mixed bred dogs and certain pure bred dogs (Cocker spaniei, Labrador retriever, **Geman** shepherd) were under-represented in an affected population. Short-coated breeds are considered more susceptible to developing demodicosis (Unsworth, 1946; Baker, 1970); however, not **all** investigators report such a trend (Scott et al., 1974). Regionai variation in **dog** populations, patterns of breed presentation, and variability in case handling likely contribute to reported ciifferences in breed susceptibilities. **Male** and femde dogs appear equally susceptible to developing generalized demodicosis (Lemarie et al., 1996).

Although a specific mode of inheritance has not been established, it is generally accepted that genetic factors play a role **in the** susceptibility of dogs to generalized disease. Investigators have describe kemels **with** a **high** incidence of demodicosis that could be increased or decreased by selective breeding or culling of affected animals (Scott, 1979; Wilkie et al., 1979). **Scott** reported a familial history of generalized

demodicosis in 89% of **27** cases (Scott, 1979). Breed associations of disease incidence provide further support for a genetic component in demodicosis.

1.2.2.2 Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis and Treatment

Clinical cases of canine demodicosis are classified as locdized or generalized based on the number, area and distribution of skin lesions (Kwochka, 1987; Scott et **aL** , 1995). Localized cases present with **1** to 4 well-circumscribed lesions, typically a few centimeters in diameter, more common on the head (especially around the eyes), neck, and forelimbs, but **rnay** develop on **any** haired area of the body. Individual lesions consist of partial or complete alopecia, scaling, **mild** erythema, follicuiar plugging and . hyperpigmentation. Pruritus or concurrent bacterial pyoderma is rare. Dogs with localized disease are usually between 3 and 12 months of age and lesions may wax and **wane** for weeks to months, but dogs are otherwise healthy and the prognosis is good. Most dogs spontaneously recover; approximately 10% progress to generalized demodicosis.

Dogs have generalized disease when skin lesions affect **5** or more **areas** of the body, a whole body region (such as the head), or involve 2 or more feet. Generalized cases are **Mer** classified **as** squamous or pustular. Lesions of the squamous **form** are similar in character to those of localized disease; however, secondary lesions such as lichenification or seborrhea may develop in chronic cases. Pustular demodicosis results when generalized disease occurs concurrently with superficial or deep bacterial skin infection (i.e., bacterial pyoderma). Generalized pustular demodicosis is a lifethreatening condition and affected dogs are clinically ill, usuaily have a peripheral

lymphadenopathy, and may show signs of septicemia. Although up to 50% of generalized cases spontaneously resolve, the prognosis is guarded **and** dogs progress to chronic disease, lasting years if untreated. Relapse of treated generalized demodicosis is common,

Generalized demodicosis is typically apparent before 2 years of age, but **often** these cases do not present until2 to **4** years of age, and are classified as juvenile-onset demodicosis. Dogs that develop skin lesions after four years of age have adult-onset demodicosis. Adult-onset demodicosis **has** been associated **with** concurrent immunosuppressive treatment or debilitating disease, discussed below (Section 1.2.3.2.3).

In demodicosis, mite numbers increase in association with skin lesions. Normal dogs, and dogs suffering **fiom** other skin diseases, harbor very few mites. A diagnosis **of** canine demodicosis is confirmed by demonstrating increased numbers of adult *D. canis* or immature stages by microscopie examination of deep skin scrapings (Scott et **al.,** 1995). To confirm generalized disease, mites must be recovered from multiple areas.

LocaIized demodicosis is a benign disease **and** treatment does not alter the clinical course. The treatment of generalized demodicosis was recently reviewed (Paradis, 1999).

1.2.3 PATHOGENESIS OF CANINE DEMODICOSIS

1.2.3.1 Mite Factors

Little specific information is available regarding mite factors in development of **canine** demodicosis. Scott (1995) reasoned that mite virulence factors did not contribute to disease as not al1 pups in a litter develop demodicosis. Because most dogs harbor **D. mis,** host factors are considered to limit disease and the mere presence **of** mites is not

sufficient. Although direct evidence is lacking, this feature **has** led most investigators to consider demodicosis as a non-contagious disease (Lemarie, 1996). Clearly, basic questions remain regarding the contribution of mite factors to the developrnent or severity of disease. Do mites directly damage **hair** follicles and contnbute to aiopecia and the formation of skin lesions? In generalized demodicosis, mite numbers increase dramatically and thousands of mites may be present per **gram** of skin (Unsworth, 1946). Do mite or host factors control this proliferation? It is reasonable to assume that not **al1** *D. canis* populations are uniform, even on the same host.

1.2.3.2 Host **Factors**

Few studies have addressed systemic mechanisms of innate defense and local skin mechanisms of resistance have not been evaluated, Research has focused on characterizhg the **ceilular** immune response, and little is **known** about the role of acquired antibody-mediated immunity (humoral immunity) in the pathogenesis of **canine** demodicosis.

1.2.3.2.1 *Innate Defense Mechanisms*

A few components of systemic innate defenses have received limited attention. Abnormalities in circulating neutrophils in cases of generalized demodicosis have not **been** identified (Scott et al., 1974; **Scott et a[.** , 1976). Tornan et **al.** (1998) found a normal respiratory burst index (by chemiluminescence assay) for neutrophils and macrophages from dogs with generalized demodicosis. Moore and coworkers (1987) described the deposition of the complement component C3 in cutaneous lesions of

demodicosis (2 of 8 cases); however, complement deposition **was** a common Gnding in dermatitis reactions due to other causes. Wolfe and Halliwell (1980) and Tomen et al. (1998) did not find low hemolytic complement levels in dogs with demodicosis. In Toman's study, 2 of **25** dogs with demodicosis had reduced ability for complementmediated ly sis of sheep erythrocytes. Serum protein electrophoretic patterns observed in cases of demodicosis **are** cornmon to many inflammatory conditions (Scott et **aL,** 1974; Reddy et **aL,** 1992) **and** specific protein fractions have not been evaluated.

1.2.3.2.2 *Acquired* Humoral *Immunity*

No studies have characterized or measured antibody specific to *Demodex spp.* miteantigens or evaluated protective humoral immune responses. Elevated serum **IgA** concentrations was reported in dogs with demodicosis (8 cases), as well as in dogs with other inflammatory skin conditions, including pyoderma (Day & Penhale, 1988). In contrast, a survey that included parasitized dogs, of which three had demodicosis, did not find an increase in either serum **IgA** or **IgG** and serum **IgE** was not detectable (Hill *et al.*, 1995). Healey and Gaafar (1977) observed increased numbers of mast cells in skin lesions of demodicosis, although the number of IgE bearing mast cells was not increased. Dogs with demodicosis have increased **IgG** autoantibody to **IgE** which are associated with IgG x IgE immune complexes (Hammerberg *et al.*, 1997). This finding indicates that direct measures of serum or tissue IgE may be misleading and that the role of IgE in canine demodicosis may be more complex. Moore and coworkers (1987) reported deposition of **IgA** (2 of 8 cases), **IgG** (4 of 8 cases) and **IgM** (4 of 8 cases) in **skui** lesions of generalized demodicosis. None of these studies adequately address the role of

secondary pyoderma, making it difficult to draw specific conclusions about humoral response in demodicosis, except to say that severe deficiencies have not been identified.

1.2.3.2.3 *Acquired Cellular Immunity*

Owen (1972) first reported that immunosuppression with antilymphocyte serum led to generalized demodicosis in 8 out of 15 dogs. Subsequently, Healey and Gafaar designed an experiment to test Owen's observation. Al1 10 of their neonatal dogs receiving antil ymphocyte serum and topically applied *D. canis* developed generalized squamous demodicosis (Healey & **Gaafar,** 1977b). None of the neonatal dogs that received antilymp hocyte semm alone (9 dogs) or no treatment (8 dogs) developed lesions. Two dogs out of an additional 9 that received *D. canis* only developed squamous lesions; the extent of these lesions **was** not described. The findings suggest that a cellular immune response involving lymphocytes limited D. *canis* proliferation and protected dogs from demodicosis.

Several investigators assessed cellular immunity in dogs with naturaliy occurring demodicosis using in **vitro** mitogen stimulation of blood lymphocytes. Suppression of lymphocyte proliferation was found in response to phytohemaglutanin, concanavalin-4 pokeweed mitogen, or lipopolysaccharide (Scott *et al.*, 1974; Corbett *et al.*, 1975; Hirsh **et al,** 1975; **Scott et al.,** 1976; Krawiec & **Gaafar,** 1980; **Barta et aï.,** 1982; **Barta** et **al.,** 1983; **Barriga** et al., 1992; Burkett *et* al., 1996; Paulik **et al.,** 1996). By using combinations of serum and lymphocytes fiom normal dogs and those with demodicosis, the several of these studies attributed lymphocyte suppression to a serum factor and not to lymphocyte fhction directly. **The** degree of immunosuppression tended to correlate with

skin lesion extent and disappeared after successful treatment of demodicosis, suggesting that the suppressive factor **was** associated with overt disease (Scott **et** al., 1974; Hirsh **et aL,** 1975; **Scott et al-,** 1976; Krawiec & **Gaaf'ar,** 1980; Banïga *et* al, 1992).

The immunosuppressive activities in serum are unknown. It is heat stable (at 56°C for 30 minutes) and not adsorbed by lymphocytes **(Barta et** al., 1982). Lymphocytes exposed to known suppressive serum respond normally after **was** hing (Scott **et** al., 1976). These sera also negatively regulate neutrophils and macrophages (Latimer et **al.,** 1983). Support **has** been obtained that the serum suppressive factor(s) are immune complexes formed with staphylococcal antigens (DeBoer *et al.*, 1988; Mason, 1993a; DeBoer, 1994). Bamiga and others have attributed immunosuppression to both *D. mis* and pyoderma (Barta **et al.,** ¹⁹⁸³; Barriga **et** al., 1992). One study reported that lymphocytes from dogs with generalized demodicosis exhibited a decreased *in vitro* proliferative response to interleukin-2 as well as decreased interleukin-2 receptor expression (Lemarie & Horohov, 1996). **The** authors concluded that the deficit in demodicosis results fiom **an** abnormal T-helper-2 immune response.

Intradermal mitogen testing **has** been used as **an** alternative to in *vitro* techniques to assess cell-mediated reactions. When dogs with active lesions of demodicosis were tested with PHA or Con-A, skin reactions at 24- and 48-hours post injection were decreased compared to controls (Scott et al., 1974; Corbett et al., 1975; Healey & Gaafar, 297%; Bhalerao & Bose, 1990; Reddy & Rao, 1992), suggesting a decreased delayedtype hypersensitivity response. Dogs with localized disease had a skin response sirnilar to that of normal dogs (Reddy & Rao, 1992), indicating that immunosuppression was a consequence of generalized disease rather than a predisposing factor. In general, the

cutaneous response of affected dogs to mitogens supports a defect in cell-mediated immunity.

Links between generalized demodicosis and immunosuppression are supported by clinical findings. Dogs presenting with adult onset demodicosis often have concurrent debilitating disease or have received immunosuppressive medications (Kwochka, 1987; Scott *et al.*, 1995). Seventy percent of dogs in one study of adult onset disease and 44% in another showed these correlations (Duclos et **aï-,** 1994; Lemarie **et al.,** 1996).

1.2.3.3 **Histopathology**

The histological changes in canine demodicosis include follicular and surface epidermal hyperplasia, sebaceous hyperplasia, and follicular keratosis with perifollicular melanosis andor epidermal hyperpigmentation (Baker, 1969; Sheahan & **Gaafar,** 1970; Baker, 1975; Cayatte et **al.,** 1992; Kamboj et **aL,** 1993). More specific degenerative changes of haïr follicles include **thinning** of the follicular **wall** with follicular rupture as well as hydropic degeneration, spongiosis, pyknosis and necrosis of extemai root sheath keratinocytes.

Using the pattern analysis approach, CaswelI and coworkers distinguished three major patterns of inflammation associated with **D. cmis** infection: (1) neutrophilic folliculitis **and** fùrunculosis, (2) mural folliculitis, and (3) nodular dermatitis (Caswell et **al.,** 1995; Caswell *et* **al,** 1997). Neutrophilic folliculitis **and** funinculosis is a reaction pattern attributable to bacterial pyoderma and it signals the development of pustular demodicosis (Sheahan & **Gaafar,** 1970; Yager & Wilcock, 1994). Lymphocytic mwal folliculitis was identified in nearly half of the cases of either localized or generalized

disease. In these cases, the interface sub-type of **mural** folliculitis predominated- The lymphocytic interface reaction pattern is associated with cell-mediated immune attack on epidermal cells and is seen, for example, in graft-versus-host disease (Yager & Wilcock, 1994). Lymphocyte phenotyping supported this observation and revealed CD3+ **and CD8+** cells infiltrating the exîernal root sheath of hair follicles, consistent with a cytotoxic T-cell response (Caswell et al., 1995). Day and coworkers reported similar results and also characterized perifollicular B-cell infiltrates (Day, 1997). The nodular dermatitis results from perifollicular granulomas centered on mites released into the demis through the follicle wail (Sheahan & **Gaafar,** 1970; Gross et al., 1992). In a prospective evaluation of skin lesions, Caswell et al. (1997) demonstrated that the presence of penfollicdar granulomas, **was** associated with resolution of clinical disease and elimination of mites on the skin. Together these findings suggest that a predominately lymphocyte-associated, cell-mediated immune attack on *D.* canis-infected hair follicles with disruption of the follicular **wall** contributes to elimination of mites and/or skin lesion development. The consequent release of some mites into the dermis leads to the development of perifollicular granulomas. Lymphocytes have not been shown to directly limit mite numbers on the skin and the alternative possibility that lymphocytes **target** hair follicle epithelium as a result of dtered self or **mite** antigen presentation **(similar** to an autoimmune response) **must** be considered.

Controversy remains regarding the exact contribution of **D.** *canis* to gross and histological changes in demodicosis. It **has** been reported that mite proliferation and feeding **activity** induces erosions of the follicle **wall,** epidermal hyperplasia, follicular keratosis, **haïr** loss, and follicle rupture (Nutting, 1976b; Nutting *et* **al.,** 1989). Many of

the histological changes reporteci for demodicosis are non-specific and **can** be observed in a variety of infectious and/or inflammatory skin conditions in the dog (Yager & Wilcock, 1994; Scott et **ai.,** 1995).

1.2.4 *SUMMARY*

Canine demodicosis **is** a common and often devastating idammatory skin condition associated **with** *D.* **canis** overgrowth. While multiple host factors appear to be important in disease pathogenesis, little is known about basic *Demodex spp*. biology and the contribution of mite factors to disease. What does appear certain is that D. canis has undergone extensive morphological coevolutionary adaptation **with** the dog and it is likely that this host-parasite relationship involves complex molecular interactions.

Generalized demodicosis appears to result fiom alterations in cellular immunity that may be related to genetic **and** acquired forms of immunosuppression. The literature points to a defect in lymphocyte function. However, the work to date has been largely descriptive and based mostly on in **viho** studies. The host immune response to D. **amis** specific antigens has not been addressed and the role of lymphocytes in controlling mite populations has **not** been directly tested. **Local** mechanisms of skin resistance have not been examined **and** the contribution of mite factors to skin lesion development **has** gone unexplored. Investigations into the functional aspects of host resistance to **D. canis** have been hampered by the lack of a suitable experimental system.

1.3 **PART 2: THE SKIN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL**

The first attempt to graft heterologous skin to genetically immunodeficient mice occurred
in the late 1960s, when Rygaard **grafted** nude mice **with** rat skin (Rygaard, 1969). **During** the next 5 years, skin from a number of sources, including humans and cats, was successfûily grafted to nude mice (Manning **et** al., 1973; Reed & Manning, 1973; Shaffer et al., 1973; Rygaard, 1974). These xenograft experiments addressed the immunologic status of the nude mouse. Researchers soon realized that the system also provided an opportunity to study the xenograft itself. In the mid-1970s, Kreuger **et al.** (1975) grafted human psoriatic skin to nude mice (Krueger **et** al., 1975)and soon after, Briggaman **and** colleagues (1976) studied xenografts of human *ichthyotic skin on nude mice*. Cubie (1976) was the first to utilize the model to study an infectious skin disease, attempting to induce viral papillomas in human skin grafts on nude mice. Successful reproduction of virally induced skin lesions was not reported until 1979, when Kreider et *al.* demonstrated that the Shope papillomavirus induced "typical" papillomas in rabbit skin grafts on nude mice.

Between the 1975 and 1985, several reviews discussed grafting human skin to nude mice (Reed & Manning, 1978; Krueger & Briggaman, 1982; Briggaman, 1985). In a paper published in 1980 titled Localization of the Defect in Skin Diseases Analyzed in *the Human Skin Graft-Nude Mouse Model, Briggaman (1980) considers the model to be a* general expenmental tool for investigating human **skin** diseases. For the purposes of this thesis, the name "skin xenograft mouse model" **was** adapted fiom Briggaman's paper.

1.3.1 **COMPONENTS OF THE SKIN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL**

A xenograft is defined as transplanted tissue derived fiom a different species **than** the graft recipient. In the skin xenograft mouse model, skin collected from the animal under study represents the xenograft (for example, canine or human skin) and is tramplanted to the dorsum of a minimally T-cell deficient mouse—the recipient. When healed, the skin grafts are available for manipulation The s **kin** xenografts and the irnmunodeficient recipient mouse comprise the two basic components of the model.

The model is versatile as other components, including other tissue grafts can be added. For example, the modeling of inflammatory processes requires that leukocytes fiom the skin **gr&** donor be transferred to the recipient mouse. The term **chimera** is used when an immunodeficient mouse is reconstituted with leukocytes tiom a different species. Severe combined immunodeficient (scid) mice reconstituted with viable human peripheral blood lymphocytes **(PBL),** by either intravenous or intraperitoneal injection, constitute human-PBL-scid chimeras (McCune *et* al., 1988; Mosier et al., 1988). Chimera also describes the intermixing of cells from different species within a tissue, tissue chimerism. Tissue chimerism occurs when cells of mouse origin migrate into a xenogenic skin **gr&.**

The mice used in the skin xenograft model are genetically immunodeficient as a result of a spontaneous mutation or an experimentally directed mutation to create a transgenic mouse. A transgenic mouse is created when a stabile DNA sequence **is** introduced into the genn cells and it is referred to as a gene **knockout mouse** when a directed mutation, usually achieved by homologous recornbination, disrupts the function of a known gene (Davisson, **2996). Both** types of animals were used in **this** thesis.

1.3.1.1 Graft Recipient – The Immunodeficient Mouse

The majority of skin xenograft work has been performed **with** just two mouse mutants:

the **athymic** nude mouse (F'ianagan, 1966) used in the original xenogenic skin grafting experiments of the late 1960s, or the scid mouse discovered in the early 1980s (Bosma et al., 1983).

1.3.1.1.1 *NudeMouse*

The nude mouse phenotype, originally described by Flanagan in 1966, is due to **an** autosomal recessive mutation located on chromosome 11 (Flanagan, 1966; Anonymous, 1989). The nude locus contains a single point mutation in the **Foml** gene, **coding** for a winged-helix / forkhead transcription factor that is likely expressed in epitheliai components of skin and **thymus** (Netils **et** aL, 1994; Segre **et** al., 1995; Kaestner **et** al., 2000). Nude mice $(FoxnI^m/FoxnI^m)$ fail to develop a normal thymus or hair and, as a result, appear "nude" (Wortis **et** al., 197 1; Eaton, 1976; Van Vliet **et al.,** 1985). Because of **thyrnic** dysplasia, nude **mice** do not produce mature T-cells and are generally unable to respond to thymus-dependent antigens (Anonymous, 1989). They retain other components of the immune system (Holub, 1989) including abnormally high NK-ce11 lytic activity (Minato *et al.*, 1980), B-cells and serum immunoglobulins (Mond *et al.*, 1982). In addition to the thymus and skin defects, nude mice have alterations in other systems, such as the endocrine system (Holub, 1989).

The nude mouse remains useful for xenogenic skin grafting, a fact that is evident from **many** recent publications (Bosca et al., 1988; Scott & Rhodes, 1988; Eming et **al.,** 1995; **Lin et** al., 1995; Sullivan **et** al., 1995; Medalie **et al.,** 1996; Short **et al,,** 1996; White *et al.*, 1999). The primary benefits of using the nude mouse in veterinary studies is the **wealth** of information detailing its biology (Anonymous, 1989; Holub, 1989;

Rygaard, 1991) and the comparative information available fiom extensive use in human

Dog - **Nude mouse [Rosenquist, 1988 #697]**

[Casweii, 1996 fi951 [Manning, 1973 #632] medman, 1999 #70] [Sawada, 1997 #71] Krueger, 1980 #6141 [Rosenquist, 1988 #697l ~cCloehry, 1993 #92] 1 [Greenwood, 1997 #56q [Green, 1982 #562] wosenquist, 1988 #697] pygaard, 1974 #700] pamhe, 1996 #75q [SWer, 1973 #713] [posenquist, 1988 fi971 [SMer, 1973 #713] [Shaffer, 1973 #713] @&îming, 1973 #632] [pygaard, 1974 #702] [Shaffer, 1973 #713] [pygaard, 1974 #702] [pygaard, 1974 #702] mygaard, 1974 #702] Manning, 1973 #6321 @Manning, 1973 #632]

mice can produce some functional T-cells, particularly mice with microbial infections (Holub, 1989). The presence of some T-cells, NK-cells, and B-cells, as well as serum immunoglobulin **make** nude mice poor candidates for experiments modeling inflammatory reactions, especially those involving chimeric leukocyte reconstitution. Endogenous immunoglobulin, interferes with immunohistochemical techniques using anti-mouse monoclonal antibodies **(Yan** et al., 1993). One further disadvantage of the nude mouse is the high incidence of spontaneous lymphoid neoplasia (Holub, 1989).

1.3.1.1.2 Severe Combined Immunodeficient (scid) Mouse

Murine severe combined imrnunodeficiency (scid) is an autosomal recessive mutation originally described by Bosma et **al.** (1983). The scid mutation maps to the gene for a DNA-dependent protein kinase *(Prkdc)* (Blunt et **al.,** 1995a; Blunt et al., 1995b). This protein kinase facilitates the repair of DNA damage, particularly double-strand breaks, including the recombination of the variable (V) , diversity (D) , and joining (J) segments of the B-ce11 receptor and T-cell receptor genes. **As** a consequence, scid mice (Prkdc^{scid}/Prkdc^{scid}) fail to produce mature T-cells, have significantly reduced numbers of B-cells, and produce little or no serum immunoglobulin. NK-cells and other leukocytes, however, do not appear affected (MacDougall et al., 1990; Bancroft et al., 1991; Watanabe et al., 1996).

In the xenograft model, the scid phenotype is an improvement in some respects over the nude mouse. The lack of mature T-cells, most B-cells, and immunoglobulin provides a "cleaner" immunodeficient mouse. Scid mice, but not nude mice, **can** be successfully engrafted **with** xenogenic leukocytes (Taylor, 1994), **making** them usefbl for experiments modeling inflammatory skin diseases (Petzelbauer **ef** al., 1996). Without interfering antibodies, xenografts and tissues from scid mice are more easily evaluated with immunohistochemical techniques using anti-mouse antibodies.

Use of scid mice has some drawbacks. The mutation exhibits incomplete penetrance (Hendrickson, 1993), and a percentage of scid mice (up to 25%) eventually develop a degree of immunocompetence—a condition referred to as the "leaky" phenotype. "Leaky" scid mice produce immunoglobulin and restricted numbers of T-cell clones and are able to reject foreign skin grafts (Bosma et al., 1988; Carroll & Bosma,

2988; Carroll et **al.,** 1989; Bosma, 1992; KotIoff *et* **al.,** 1993a). Thus, before an experiment commences, scid sera should be screened **for** endogenous immunoglobulin levels. Incidence of the "leaky" phenotype was reduced by the addition of the beige $(Lvst^{bg})$ mutation (Mosier *et al.*, 1993), or by crossing the scid mutation onto a different inbred mouse strain *(Nonoyama et al., 1993).* The incidence of the "leaky" phenotype and spontaneous **thymic** lyrnphoma increases with age, which complicates long-tem experiments (Custer *ef* **aL,** 1985).

1.3.1.1.3 Newer Types of Immunodeficient Mice

The enormous expansion in immunology has stimulated development of numerous immunodeficient transgenic or gene knockout mice. Selection from this expanding pool of mice will minimize the potential for mouse-related complications in applications of **the** skin xenograft model. Few of the newer mouse mutants have been used **for** skin xenografting. Examples include the *Rag1* and *Rag2* knockout mice. Both mutants lack T-cells and B-cells due to inactivation of the recombinase activating gene-1 or -2 ($RagI$ or *Rag2*) and an inability to complete V(D)J immunoglobulin gene recombination (Mombaerts *et al.,* 1992; **Shinkai et aL,** 1992). **Ragï** null mice have been shown to support human or porcine skin grafts for extended periods (Atillasoy *et al.,* 1997; Friedman et al., 1999).

1.3.1.2 The Skin Xenograft

1.3.1.2.1 *Skin* **Xenografl Source**

Numerous protocols have been applied to skin xenografting. Split-thickness grafts are

 $23₁$

common; however full-thickness grafts are used when attempting to retain adnexa. Cultured keratinocytes in combination **with** artificially constmcted dermis or normal acellular dermis have been grafted (Medalie et al., 1996). Human xenografts have been derived from a variety of donors and anatomical sites including fetal (Lane *et al.*, 1989), neonatal (common), young adult or aged skin (Gilhar et al., 1991a), foreskin (common), tnink, face, (Petersen **et** al-, 1984) and scalp (Gilhar & Krueger, **1987).** Finally, mice have been grafted with lesional skin from different diseases including psoriasis, lichen planus, alopecia areata and several genodermatoses (Krueger et al., 1975; Briggaman & Wheeler, 1976; Gilhar *et* al., 1989a; Gilhar *et* al., 1992; Kim *et* al., 1992; **Van** Neste *et* al., 1993; Vailly *et* al., 1998).

Although human skin xenografts have been **the** most studied, as early as the 1970s, skin from different animal donors was transplanted to immunodeficient mice (Table 1). Only two studies report grafting canine skin to immunodeficient **mice.** Rosenquist *et al.* (1988) assessed the effects of cold storage conditions on graft take using canine split-thickness grafts on nude mice. Although some canine grafts survived transplantation, a loose definition of graft survival was accepted and details regarding graft morphology were not provided. Caswell et al. (1996) used full-thickness canine skin grafts on scid/bg mice to recreate *D. canis* infections.

1.3.1.2.2 *Retention* **of** *the Xenografl Donor Phenotype*

In generai, healed skin grafts retain a high degree of cellular and structural integrity for extended periods, usually for the life span of the mouse (one to **two** years). Unlike **in** vitro systems, xenogenic human grafts resemble normal skin grossly and histologically,

and maintain the complex relationships of cellular and extracellular components, including basement membranes, adnexa, and blood vessels.

The epidermal architecture resembles normal human skin, complete with epidermal strata and rete ridges **(Yan et** al., 1993; Nickoloff **et al.,** 1995; Boehncke **et aL,** 1997). Immunohistochemical **staining** for the keratinocyte differentiation antigen involucrin in grafts on scid mice is similar to that of normal human skin (Brandsma **et al.,** 1995). Melanocytes can be detected in the basal layer of the epidermis (Kaufmann *et al.*, 1993). The epidemai basement membrane retains a normal ultrastructure, as well as expression of collagen-IV, collagen-VII and bullous pemphigoid antigen in full-thickness grafts on scid mice (Kim **et al.,** 1992). Normal human skin grafied to scid mice retains native vascular endothelium when evaluated at 8 **(Yan et** al., 1993), **24, (Kim** *et* al., 1992) and 52 weeks (Kaufmann *et al.*, 1993). Graft chimerism does occur however, and is discussed below. Phenotypic characteristics associated with anatomical sites are maintained in the xenografts. Palmar-derived keratinocytes retained site-specific expression of keratin-9 after grafting to nude mice (Limat et al., 1996).

Kaufman *et al.* (1993) demonstrated that in addition to keratinocytes, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts, components of the skin immune system remain in grafts for up to 12 months on scid mice. CD1a+ epidermal dendritic cells remain confined to the epidermis, whereas dermal macrophages were located adjacent to vessels. **CD3+** T-cells, expressing a memory ce11 pattern for **CD45,** were also retained in the dermis for 12 months. Mast cells were present but appeared to be decreased in number in grafts evaluated with toluidine-blue staining; however, the nurnber of degranulated **mast** cells was not measured. Other investigators report similar or low carrier leukocyte status of

human skin grafts in the process of modeling inflammatory conditions or physiologic reactions (Yan **et al.,** 1993; Nickoloff **et al,** 1995; Christofidou-Solornidou **et al,** 1996; **Juhasz et al.,** 1996; Christofidou-Solomidou **et al, 199%;** Gilhar **et al.,** 1997; Delhem **et** *al.,* **1998).**

Physiologically, skin xenografts resemble normal human skin, providing further evidence that grafted normal skin remains representative. **Yan** *et* **al.** (1993) demonstrated that human full-thickness skin graft endothelium responds to cytokine injection with a similar pattern of E-selectin and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 **(ICAM-I)** expression as normal human skin. Wound healing in human xenografts resembles that seen in normal skin (Juhasz **et aL** , 1993).

1.3.1.2.3 *Retention* **of** *Adnexa*

Dernodex canis reside within hair follicles and sebaceous glands and retention of these structures in xenografts is important for the purposes of modeling demodicosis. Between 30% and 50% of human scalp plug xenografls (normal or diseased) survive with a few hair follicles after transplantation (Gilhar & Knieger, 1987; **Van** Neste **et al.,** 1989; Hashimoto **et al.,** 1996; **Van** Neste, 1996). Greater technicd success **has** been achieved with an alternative method using human fetal skin grafts; 90% of grafts had hair growth >1 year post transplantation (Kyoizumi **et al.,** 1998).

Reports have not specifically addressed the hair growth potential, or its optimizatioq for xenografls from veterinary species. However, brief descriptions and published photographs show that hair growth does occur on xenografts derived from different donors. Thick hair growth **was** observed for feline skin grafts **1** cm in diameter

(Manning et al., 1973). Rygaard (1974) described a simila. level of hair growth **from** rat skin **grafts** on nude mice. Krueger and **Briggaman** (1982) published a photograph of several hairs growing from a pig skin graft. While investigating fungal infection of xenografts, Green **et al.** (1982) reported **growth** of hairs fiom a **guinea** pig skin **gr&.** Greater **than** 90% of fetal sheep skin xenografts on nude mice produced hair (McCloghry **et** al., 1993a; McCloghry **et al., 1993b).** The follicular density decreased in grafted fetal sheep skin, especially in central areas, as compared to control fetuses. A common feature of skin donors (with the exception of the pig) is that grafts were from animals with relatively thin skin compared to humans or dogs. Hair growth on canine skin xenografts was not described in detail **and was** highly varible (Caswell *et* **al.,** 1996).

Sebaceous glands **and** eccrîne glands, retained in human skin xenografts, were responsive to chernical (pilocarpine) and endocrine (testosterone) manipulation (Petersen **et al.,** 1984; Robertson **et al.,** 1986).

1.3.1.3.4 *<i>Alterations in Skin Xenografts after Transplantation*

The skin xenograR, although biologically representative of the donor **in** many ways, **is** an **artificial** construct. Hyperpigmentation, excessive fibrosis, or changes in dermal mast **ce11** numbers occur in some skin xenografts (Gilhar **et** al., 1991b; Kaufmann **et** al., 1993; Farooqui et al., 1995; Matsumoto **et al.,** 1996; Christofidou-Solomidou **et al.,** 1997b). Fibroplasia occurs at the interface between the skin xenograft and mouse tissue as part of the **healing** process and this **area** may be infiitrated by inflammatory cells in small numbers (Pilewski **et** ai., 1995; Christofidou-Solomidou **et** al., 1996).

In general, skin xenografts do not develop signincant **graft** chimerism. The

morphologie demarcation that is readily apparent (grossly and microscopically) between scid mouse and human skin has been confirmed by species specific immunohistochemical staining for the MHC-I antigen (Kim *et al.*, 1992). Similar findings were reported for nude mice (Demarchez **et** *al.,* 1986; Demarchez **et** al., 1987a; Demarchez *et al-,* 1987b). **Gream et** al. (1984) described epidermal chimerism in only one skin graft out of 32 on nude mice evaluated over a 48-week period. Kaufman et al. (1993) evaluated 28 skin grafts on scid mice and described focal epidermal chimerism only in the margin of one graft using anti-mouse MHC-I antibody. In that one graft, mouse epidermis had overgrown human dermis and **was** indistinguishable morphologically fiom adjacent human epidermis. Boehncke **et** *al.* (1994) described more extensive replacement of human epidermis by mouse keratinocytes **in** psoriatic xenograffs. **Again,** the chimeric epidermis took on characteristics of the human epidemis including iesions of psoriasis.

Tissue chimerism also has been established by vascular endothelium in skin grafts on nude mice but not scid mice. Demarchez **et al.** (1987) described replacement of human graft endothelial cells with nude mouse endothelium. Human lymphocytes and monocytes are capable of migrating across murine microvasculature in multiple tissues including the skin and are likely able to transmigrate murine endothelial lined **human** vessels in skin grafls. This is supported by studies of human leukocytes in human-PBLscid chimeras (Taylor, 1994), chemokine **and** antigen challenge studies in murine skin of human-PBL-scid chimeras (Murphy *et al.*, 1994; Taub *et al.*, 1996; Herz *et al.*, 1998), and endothelial receptor binding studies using human !ymphocytes and murine endothelium (Frey **et** *al.,* 1998; *Wang* **et** *al.,* 199%; Evans **et** al., 2000). Greenwood **et**

al. (1 993, 1996, 1997) have observed similar results **with** bovine lymphocyte chimeras. Recent work by Evans and colleagues showed that canine leukocytes will specifically bind to murine endothelial receptors and are likely capable of crossing vessels Iined by murine endothelial cells (Evans, personal communication).

In response to infiammatory stimuli, **murine** inflammatory cells transmigrate human vascular endothelium into skin grafts on immunodeficient mice. Murine neutrophils (and possibly monocytes) enter human skin grafts after tumor necrosis factor alpha **(ma)** injection or chemically-induced **rnast** cell degranulation **(Yan** *et al.,* 1993; Christofidou-Solornidou **et** *aL* , 1996). Murine neutrophils and eosinophils infiltrated human **skin** grafts after injection **with** a poxvirus carrying the **HIV-1** *Mgpl60* gene (Delhem *et* aL, 1998). There **are** similar reports of rnurine Langerhans cells migrating into **human** skin **grafts** on nude mice (Demarchez **et al.,** 1993; Hoefakker *et* al., 1995).

1.3.2 MODELING SKIN INFECTIONS

A number of fastidious dermatotropic vimses that are difficult to culture or to study *in vivo* have been investigated using the skin xenograft model. These include *Molluscum contagiosunt* (Buller *et al.,* 1995; Fife et al., 1996; **Paslin** et *al.,* 1997), varicella-zoster (Moffat *et al.*, 1995; Moffat *et al.*, 1998a; Moffat *et al.*, 1998b) and several papillomaviruses (Kreider et al., 1987; Christensen & Kreider, 1990; Brandsma et al., 1995). **In** some of the earliest expenments, Kreider et *al.* (1985, 1986, *1987)* employed a rend subcapsular transplant model for human papillomavirus-1 1 **(HPV-l 1)** infection of foreskin and cervical tissues, that supported virus replication. Brandsma et al. (1995) used normal foreskin grafted to the backs of scid mice to obtain replication of HPV-16

and wart development. Other vinises cultured using the skin xenograft model include HPV-1, HPV-18, bovine papillomavirus-1 (BPV-1), cottontail rabbit papillomavirus **(CRPV)**, and herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) (Kreider et al., 1979; Van Genderen et al., 1987; Kreider et al., 1990; Christensen & Kreider, 1993; Randazzo et al., 1996; Lobe et **al.,** 1998). Vially infected skin grafts, usually, reproduce the histological Lesions of naturally occurring infections.

The ability to replicate these viruses in skin xenografts naturally led to *in vivo* studies. Christensen and others (1990, 1991, 1993) studied in vivo antibody-mediated neutralization of viral host cell attachment for HPV-11, BPV-1, and CRPV using skin xenografts. Utilizing the capacity of scid mice to accept lymphocyte, thymus, and liver grafts in addition to **skin** grafts, Moffat and coworkers (1995, 1998% 1998b) were able to experimentally demonstrate components of the "pathogenic cycle" of VZV throughout different human host tissues. Wild-type HSV-1 caused ulceration and typical histopathological lesions with immunohistochemical evidence of replication in human skin grafts on scid mice, while a deletional mutant vaccine candidate (HSV-1716) developed restricted replication (Randazzo et al., 1996).

Fungal skin infections reproduce **weli** using the xenografk model. Chronic infection of guinea pig skin grafts with Trichophyton mentagrophytes, after topical application, led to comparable histological changes to chronic dematophytosis in humans (Green et **aL,** 1982). Kakutani and **Takahashi** (1987) obtained similar results after human skin xenografts were puncture inoculated with *T. mentagrophytes.*

One author applied the skin xenograft model to investigate a **human** parasitic disease -the early events of *Schistosoma mcuzsoni* larval migration through **human skin** were successfùly studied (Roye et **al.,** 1998).

1.3.3 MODELING SKIN INFLAMMATION

1.3.3.1 Preexisting Inflammatory Lesions

Psoriatic skin was one of the first examples of lesional skin to be transplanted to nude mice (Krueger et al., 1975). Subsequently, lesional skin from patients with either alopecia areata/universalis (Gilhar & Krueger, 1987), or lichen planus (Gilhar et al., 1989b) **was** successfully grafted to immunodeficient mice. Inflammatory ce11 infiltrates within xenogenic skin **grafts** survive transplantation, and grafks retain Lesions for many weeks. Prolonged engraftment with lesional **skin** is associated with resolution of lesions, normalization of graft morphology, and dissipation of graft inflammation. In the case of alopecia areata/universalis, skin grafts derived from alopecic areas on patients begin to regrow hair after grafting. Such experiments illustrate the utility of the skin xenograft mouse model in demonstrating that skin lesions associated with these diseases are dependent on continued inflammation.

1.3.3.2 Recreating Cutaneous Inflammation

The skin xenograft model **has** been applied to study induction of cutaneous inflammation in normal skin. Yan and coworkers (1993) took advantage of the ability of murine leukocytes to cross human skin graft endothelium in response to inflammatory stimuli. Graft injection of tumor necrosis factor alpha $(TNF\alpha)$ led to reversible up-regulation of

several adhesion molecules on human graft endothelium and murine leukocyte infiltration of the dermis. Several researchers subsequently used this approach to study the role of cytokines, adhesion molecules, **mast** cells, and other factors considered important in the initiation of inflammation (Yan **ef** aL, **1994;** Pilewski **et al., 1995;** Christofidou-Solomidou **et** al., **1996; Yan** et al-, **1996).**

Cograftment of autologous leukocytes provides a source of donor inflarnmatory cells that are needed to recreate dermatitis reactions with skin xenografts. Reconstitution of scid mice with human leukocytes **was** first reported in the late **1980s** WcCune **et** al., **1988;** Mosier **et** aL, **1988). In** addition to human leukocytes, partial reconstitution of scid mice has been reported using bovine, equine, and feline leukocytes (Balson **et** aL, **1993;** Greenwood & Croy, **1993;** Johnson **ef** al., **1994;** Greenwood et al., **1997).** Transferred lymphocytes produced immunoglobulin within scid mice for weeks to months, thus providing components of the humoral immune system. Results of experiments modeling allogenic graft rejection indicate that transferred human or bovine lymphocytes retain functional capabilities—lymphocytes recirculate to skin grafts and mediate graft rejection (Alegre **et** al., **1994;** Christofidou-Solomidou **et** al., **1997a;** Greenwood **et** al., **1997).**

In one of the first experiments employing this approach to study skin inflammation (other than allograft rejection), Petzelbauer *et al.* (1996) modeled delayedtype hypersensitivity **@TH).** Scid mice were grafted with patient skin of a high or low tetanus toxoid responder **and** subsequently were reconstituted with autologous lymphocytes. Upon intradermal skin graft injection of tetanus toxoid, a perivascular human **CD4+** lymphocytic infiltrate developed in grafts from high-responders. **The** induced reaction was considered antigen specific because it **was** not observed for low

responders. In a similar study, **known** tuberculin-reactive donors, demonstrated a **DTH**like reaction 72 hours after intradermal tuberculin challenge of human skin grafts on autologous lymphocyte reconstituted scid mice (Tsicopoulos et al., 1998). **A** penvascular infiltrate of **CD4+** and **CD8+** lymphocytes developed in response to tuberculin but not saline injection and there was a relative increase in the number of $IL-2$ and IFN-gamma mRNA-expressing cells within xenografts (Tsicopoulos et **al.,** 1998).

The development of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is a recognized complication of xenogenic leukocyte scid mouse chimeras (Taylor, 1994). Inflammatory targeting of mouse tissues by principally grafted lymphocytes leads to organ pathology, usually affecting the liver, skin and lymphoid organs. Affected mice may become ill or even moribund. Some mice develop hemolytic anemia, as **was** observed in an early attempt to create canine leukocyte scid chimeras (Caswell, 1995).

1.3.4 MODELING CANINE DEMODICOSIS

Caswell et al. (1996) reported **that** canine skin xenografis would support *D. canis* infections. Full-thickness normal canine skin grafts were transplanted to scid/bg mice **and** subsequently exposed to D. **canis.** Mites actively invaded hair follicles and reproduced **al1** life-cycle stages on skin **grafks.** Two skin donor dogs were used and mites were recovered from 4 of 4 grafts for the first donor, and from 4 of 11 grafts from the second donor. Two to 3 month incubation fimes were required to detect mites on grafis. This experiment demonstrated that full-thickness canine skin can be grafted to scid/bg mice and suggests that the xenograft mouse mode1 might be a viable system for **studying**

the pathobiology of canine skin. If optimized, the xenograft model could provide a new and much-needed method for culturing *D. canis* and for experimentally recreating *D. canis* skin infections.

1.4 *SUMMARY* **AND RATIONALE**

The skin xenograft mouse model is well-established in human dermatology and offers several experimental advantages for investigating the pathogenesis of skin diseases. **First,** the skin xenograft model provides an *in* **vivo** experimental system to address functional questions in a biologically relevant manner. **Skin** grafts retain the donor phenotype, including hair follicles and adnexa. The utility of the model for studying skin infections **has** been demonstrated, particularly for those organisms that cannot be cultured *in vitro* such as **D.** canis. Inflamrnatory skin conditions have been successfully modeled using the xenograft model.

Use of the xenograft model should pennit basic, unresolved questions about the pathogenesis of **canine** demodicosis to be directly addressed in a functional rnanner. **The** question of lymphocyte function in host resistance to D . canis, considered central to the pathogenesis of canine demodicosis, could be directly tested. Infection of skin xenografts with D . canis, in the absence of host influences, provides a means to assess the contribution of mites to skin lesion formation. Development of a reproducible canine skin xenograft mode1 of dernodicosis has wider implications as the model **could** be applied to develop new treatrnents for demodicosis or to study other canine skin diseases.

Caswell's experiment, showing that D. *canis* could survive on canine skin grafts, has not been repeated (Caswell et al., 1996). Limitations of that study were variable mite

infection rates, low numbers of mites recovered **fiom** grafts and surgicd technique problems with grafting full-thickness canine skin to scid/bg mice. The preliminary findings justified development of the skin xenograft mouse model of canine demodicosis.

1.5 **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The experiments undertaken were directed towards two major objectives: (1) To develop and validate basic components of the skin xenograft mouse model for use **with** canine tissues and thereby establish the model's usefulness as an investigative tool for studying **canine skin** disease. (2) To use the skin xenograft mouse model to directly assess the effect of canine lymphocytes on *D. canis* populations on **canine** skin.

CHAPTER 2: GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 RESEARCHANKMALS

Animals were maintained according to the Canadian Council of Animal Care guidelines.

2.1.1 Mice (MUS *musculus)*

Male transgenic tge26 mice (B6;CBA-TgN(CD3E)26Cpt), raised at the University of Guelph, were a kind gifi of Dr. Anne Croy (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada). Male ICR scid mice (Tac:Ucr:Ha(ICR)-Prkdc^{scid}) and *Rag2* knockout mice $(129S6/SvEvTac-Raq2^{tm1})$ were obtained from Taconic (Germantown, New York, USA).

Mice were housed in a barrier facility within the Isolation **Unit** at the University of Guelph (Guelph, Ontario, Canada). One to five mice were housed per cage on a Micro-isolator@ rack (Laboratory Products Inc., Maywood, New Jersey, USA) and were exposed to a 14 hour light-cycle. Cages, bedding, and water were autoclaved and mice received gamma irradiated mouse chow (Charles River Laboratories, St. Constant, Quebec, Canada). The drinking water was acidifïed (approx. pH=3) and supplemented with sulfamethoxyzole (0.5 mg/mL) and trimethoprim, (0.01 mg/mL) (Novo-timel[®], Novopharm Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada).

Mice were allowed 7 to 10 days to acclimate to housing conditions prior to experimentation. All procedures using mice were performed in a laminar flow hood using sterilized instruments and aseptic technique.

2.1.2 Dogs *(Canis fmiliarid*

Random source adult male dogs, weighing 25 to 35 kg, were purchased from and maintained by Animai Care Services (University of Guelph). Dogs were provided an enriched environment and 3 to **5** outdoor leash walks per week. Dogs were housed individually in raised floor cages in a room with other dogs, **and** were allowed intermittent direct contact.

Within the two months preceding the **start** of experiments, dogs were vaccinated against rabies, canine distemper, adenovirus type-2, parainfluenza, parvovirus, leptospirosis, and bordetella (IMRAB®, Merial Canada Inc., Baie d'Ure', Quebec, Canada; Bronchi-Shield® III, Ayerst Veterinary Laboratories, Guelph, Ontario, Canada; and either VanguardB 5, Pfizer Canada Inc., London, Ontario, Canada or **Gaiaxy@** DA2 **PPvL,** Ayerst Veterinary Laboratories, Montreal, Quebec, Canada).

Fecal samples were monitored on a monthly basis for evidence of intestinal parasites by standard fecal flotation methods. To eliminate intestinal parasites, dogs were treated appropriately with pyrantel pamoate (StrongidB-T, Pfizer Canada Inc., London, Ontario, Canada) and/or praziquantel @rancit@, Bayer Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada) when required. Dogs were examined for evidence of ear mites and were treated when necessary with a borate-containing ear astringent (Veterinary Pharmacy Inc., Guelph, Ontario, Canada). Al1 dogs lacked evidence of infection with other extemal parasites and tested negative for canine heartworm infection *(Dirofilaria immitis)* utilizing a modified Knott's technique to identify circulating microfilaria.

Prior to experimentation, each dog had a normal physical examination and was deemed to lack evidence of skin disease. Blood smear, differential count and packed cell

volume (PCV) were within normal limits (reference limits, Animal Health Laboratory, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

2.1.3 Mites (Demodex canis)

Demodex canis were collected from dogs with squamous demodicosis that had high mite burdens, including multiple life-cycle stages, lacked clinical and/or histopathological evidence of bacterial pyoderma, and had not been treated **wiîh** an acaricide within the previous 2 months. Only mites with a compatible size and morphology for *D. canis*, as described by Nutting and Desch **(1978),** were utilized.

The majority of mites were coliected by plucking hairs fiom lesional skin using Kelly forceps. Ten to 30 hairs were fixed at the skin surface with forceps and removed by applying traction. The apical portion of the plucked hairs **was** trimmed away with scissors and the basilar portions dong with the mites were deposited in sterile 50 **mL** conical polypropylene centrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific Ltd., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Alternatively, mites were coiiected in sterile minera1 oil by skin scraping with a **#IO** scalpel blade (Scott *et* al., 1995) and transported on glass microscope slides (Surgipath, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) in a covered stenle container.

2.2 MOUSE BLOOD COLLECTION

Blood samples were coliected from the orbital sinuses of mice using micro-hematocrit capillary tubes (Fisher Scientific Ltd.) (Bivin & Smith, **1984),** while mice were anesthetized with methoxyflurane (Metafane[®], Pitman Moore, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). The **PCV was** recorded and plasma was collected into sterile microcentrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific Ltd.) and stored at -40°C. To obtain serum samples, bIood was collected by intracardiac puncture with a **1** mI, syringe and **a** 25 gauge needle (Bivin & Smith, 1984), while mice were anesthetized with Avertin (0.03 mL/g) (Wixson & Smiler, 1997). Serum was collected after centrifugation at $500 \times g$ for 20 minutes using a serum separator (Sure-Sep Jr., Organon Teknika, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada).

2.3 SKtN GRAETJNG PROCEDURES

2.3.1 Canine Donor Skin Collection

Dogs **were** sedated with 0-05 **mL/kg** of Premix (atropine 0.24 mg/mL, acepromazine 1 mg/mL, and mependine 20 **mg/mL;** Ontario Veterinary Coilege, Guelph, Ontario, Canada) prior to anesthetic induction with thiopental (Abbott Laboratories Inc., Montreal, Quebec, Canada). Dogs were maintained under general anesthesia with isoflurane (AerraneB, Janssen Animal Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) using a rebreathing anesthetic machine. *All* **surgical** procedures were performed using stenle technique. A **surgical** site over the mid-dorsolateral back **was** shaved and surgically prepared with a chlorhexidine based surgical scrub (Sten-Stat@ **4%, Ingram** & Bell, Don Mills, Ontario, Canada) and 70% isopropyl alcohol (Commercial Alcohols Inc., Brampton, Ontario, Canada). Dogs received 1 g of cefazolin (Novopharm Ltd.) intravenously prior to surgery. A single full-thickness elliptical skin sample (14×6 cm) was removed by incising the skin with a #10 scalpel blade followed by blunt dissection immediately superficial to the panniculus carnosus. The excised **skin** sample was placed into 400 **mL** of fresh tissue culture medium (Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI), Life Technologies, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) containing penicillin (200 IU/mL) (ICN

Biomedicals Canada Ltd., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and streptomycin (80 µm/mL) (Life Technologies) and chilled on ice. After the surgical wound was closed a multilayered bandage **was** applied, and the dogs were monitored during the recovery period. Post-operative pain was managed with butorphanol (Torbugesic@, Ayerst Veterinary Laboratories). Ail surgical wounds healed without significant complications.

2.3.2 Preparation of Individual Skin Grafts

Skin **grafts** were prepared in a **laminar** flow hood using sterile technique. Tissues were kept moist at all times with fresh culture medium containing antibiotics as described in the previous section. Subcutaneous and deep demal fat was trimmed away with curved Mayo scissors. Skin grafts were trimmed to a round shape (10 to 12 mm in diameter) on a sterile plastic cutting board using a **#10** scalpel blade. Grafts were placed in ffesh culture medium (5 mL/graft) containing antibiotics and chilled on ice until grafting.

Three to five skin samples (6×6 mm, full-thickness) were collected from the excisional canine skin biopsies (used to create skin grafts) and were evaluated for the presence of preexistent inflammation or D. **crmis** infection by histology (see below). Three additional skin samples $(6 \times 6 \text{ mm}, \text{full-thickness})$ were also assessed for the presence of mites by NaOH digestion (see below). None of the donor skin samples had evidence of preexistent inflammation or mite infection.

2.3.3 Skin Grafting of Mice

The surgical site (dorsolateral thorax) **was** shaved on each mouse 24 hours pnor to surgexy (Clippers, **Wahl@** Professional, Mode1 6 120, Swenson Canada Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada). Immediately prior to skin grafting, mice were anesthetized with

Avertin (IP, 0.02 to 0.03 mL/g). Buprenorphene (SQ, 1.0 mg/kg) (Buprenex®, Reckitt & Colman Pharmaceuticals Inc., Richmond, Virginia, USA) was administered for postoperative andgesia. A circular **gr&** bed, 4 to 6 **mm** in dimeter **larger** than the canine skin graft, was created with small curved Metzenbaum scissors. The tips of the scissors were held perpendicular to the skin Surface and interconnecting shallow skin incisions were made in an outline of the graft bed margin to a level just superficial to the panniculus carnosus. The skin over the graft bed was removed by fixing the anterior ventral **margin** with forceps and pealing the skin away in the caudal direction, parallel with the **lateral** thoracic **artery.** This technique was required to maintain the panniculus carnosus, and associated vasculature, intact as the floor of the graft bed. The skin graft was blotted with sterile gauze, seated on the graft bed, and anchored at the epidermal margin to adjacent mouse skin (at four to **six** points) using minimal tissue adhesive (VetbondQ 3M Animal Care Products, **St.** Paul, Minnesota, USA) deIivered with a 1 **mL** syringe and a 30 gauge needle. Care **was** taken to prevent tissue glue from contacting the dermal surface of the skin graft. Skin grafts were immediately bandaged (see below).

It **was** possible to apply an average of four grafts per hour. The time between **skin** collection and final **gr&** application for a group of mice **ranged** fiom 6 to 9.5 **hours.**

2.3.4 Skin Graft **Bandage** Procedures

Two bandaging methods were used. Method A: Based on the report by Caswell *et* **al.** (1996), skin grafts on anesthetized mice were covered by two layers (15×15 mm) of sterile paraffin-impregnated gauze dressing (Jelonet®, Smith & Nephew, Lachine, Quebec, Canada). The gauze was heId in place by one layer (20 mm wide) of adhesive

elastic bandage (Elastoplast[®], Smith & Nephew) wrapped around the trunk. Finally, one or **two** layers of plaster-of-paris **casting** material (18 mm wide) (GypsonaQ, **Smith** & Nephew) were applied using sterile water. Here after, these are referred to as cast bandages. Method B: Skin grafts were covered by two layers of paraffin-impregnated **gauze** (15 **x 15** mm). The gauze **was** held in place with a single sterile waterproof elastic bandage (Cornfort Strips, 3M Canada, London, Ontario, Canada), followed **by** two layers of 25.4 mm wide hospital tape (Renfrew Tape Ltd., Renfrew, Ontario, Canada). When bandaged **>5** days, bandages were fixed to mouse skin using surgical staples (Auto **Suture@,** United States Surgical Corp., Nonvallg Connecticut, **USA)** placed at the **anterior** dorsal margin (2 staples) and the caudal dorsal margin (1 staple). Bandages were mmmed ventral!y (5 to 10 mm wide) and in the axïllary and inguinal regions. Here after, these are referred to as tape bandages.

Bandages were removed **at** 7 to 24 days after grafting, while **mice** were anesthetized with methoxyflurane. If cast bandages were used, then mouse hair was trimmed with scissors to release the bandage and remove adhesive residue.

2.4 *DEMODEX GINLT* **INFECTION OF SKIN GRAFïS**

Demodex canis were separated into individual infective doses in 100 to 150 µL of mineral oil on non-coated glass microscope slides (Surgipath). Each inoculum was standardized by intermixing mites on microscope slides and by including a similar nurnber of viable mites in each dose, cunfinned **by** microscopie examination of slides at **lOOX** magnification Mites were considered viable if they were **moving** or were

refiactive and lacked obvious exoskeletal or internai organ disruption- More **than** 85% were moving prior to inoculation of grafts.

Mites were transferred to shaved skin grafts on anesthetized mice by directly wiping the mite-coated surface of a glass slide over the graft surface. Using the short edge of a clan slide, **any** mineral oil remaining on a slide **was** drawn into a drop **and** transferred to the skin graft. Skin grafts were immediately bandaged as above. The order of graft infection ensured a similar average mite collection to transfer time-interval for each group. Non-infected control grafts received only mineral oil prior to bandaging.

The efficiency of mite transfer was deterrnined by counting the mites remaining on glass slides after infection and was estimated to be greater than 90% for al1 experiments. The few remaining mites were usually moving and considered viable. The duration between mite collection and graft infection ranged from 2.5 to 7.5 hours.

2.5 **SKIN GRAFT COLLECTION AND EVALUATION**

2.5.1 Skin Graft Collection

Mice were anesthetized with Avertin (0.03 mL/g), plasma or serum was collected and mice were immediately euthanized. A packed **ceU** volume (PCV) was obtained and recorded. Skin grafts were subjectively evaluated for the degree of hair growth, erythema, scaling, crusting, scarring, or pigmentation. Pictures were taken while mice were awake or anesthetized. Using aseptic technique, skin grafts were removed by incising around the margin with Mayo scissors. Approximately half of the skin graft was collected into a Whirl-pac@ bag (Nasco Plastics Inc., New **Hamburg,** Ontario, Canada) and stored at 4^oC for subsequent enumeration of mites, described below. The remainder

of the skin graft was placed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. In some experiments, a 2 by 4 mm full-thickness sample was excised from the center of the skin graft with a #10 scalpel blade and submitted to **Animal** Heaith Laboratory (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada) for bacterial culture.

2.5.2 Histology and Immunohistochemistry

For histological evaluation, tissues were embedded in paraffin using standard methods. Five μ m sections were prepared using a rotary microtome and stained with hematoxylin and eosin **(H&E).**

Irnrnunohistochemical detection of T-cells **was** performed on formaiin fixed, paraftin embedded canine skin **grafts** and mouse tissues using polyclonal rabbit antihuman CD3 antiserum (Dako, Carpinteria, California, USA). Tissues were screened for the presence of mouse leukocytes using mouse specific anti-CD45 antibody (Pharmagen, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) using similar techniques. Briefly, tissue sections were deparaffinized, washed in automation buffer (Biomedia, Foster City, California, USA) with 10% acetone **and** 0.15% **Brij 35** *(ICN* Biomedicals Inc., Aurora, Ohio, USA) and **then blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol at room temperature (RT) for 10** min. Antigen retrieval was performed by incubating sections in 0.05% protease XIV (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) at **42°C for** 20 **min.** Sections were blocked with 4% normal goat serum in automation buffer before ovemight incubation at 4°C with rabbit anti-human CD3 antiserum (Dako), diluted to 1:500 and 1:1000. Prior to staining, the CD3 antiserum was pre-adsorbed with canine liver acetone powder (Sigma) (1 mL of a 1:50 dilution of antiserum with 0.5 g of liver powder for 24 hour at 4° C) to reduce non-

specific tissue staining. The secondary antibody was biotinylated goat anti-rabbit (Vector, Burlingame, California, USA) and was diluted to 1:400. Staining **was** completed using a peroxidase-labeled avidin-biotin cornplex (Vectastain ABC Elite, Vector). Diarninobenzidine (Sigma) **was** used as a chromagen substrate and sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

2.5.3 Skin Graft Dieestion and Enurneration of *Demodex canis*

Graft digestion samples were trimmed of hair and subcutaneous fat and stored at 4°C for **<24** hours. Samples were standardized by either the number of active hair follicle units per sample or by sample weight. The total number of active hair follicle units was derived by counting the follicles that contained a hair shaft using a dissecting microscope with graft samples chilled on ice. The sample weight **was** measured with **an** electronic balance (Model AT261, Mettler Instrument Co., Hightstown, New Jersey, USA).

Just prior to digestion, each skin sample was sectioned into uniform 2 to 3 mm cubes, **using** a new #10 scalpel blade. Samples were suspended in 3 **mL** of 4% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) in 15 mL round bottom pyrex test tubes (Fisher Scientific Ltd), and then placed in a boiling water bath for 25 **min.** The digestion reaction was stopped with 3 mL cold distilled water and samples were immediately decanted into pre-weighed 15 mL conical centrifuge tubes. The tubes were washed wîth **three** distilled water rimes (RT) of 3 mL **each.** Mites were concentrated into a pellet by centrifugation of combined digestion reaction contents and washes at $822 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was removed by careful aspiration with a Pasteur pipette and digestion samples were resuspended in 500 µL of distilled water using an electronic balance (Model AT261,

Mettler Instrument Co.). Finally, tubes were centrifuged at $100 \times g$ for 3 min. If samples retained abundant rnelanin pigment or particulate debris after digestion, samples were resuspended in 1,000 or 2,000 µL of distilled water to allow visualization of mites. Similady, samples were diluted to these larger volumes if high mite numbers interfered with mite enumeration.

Digested samples were assigned a random number prior to enurneration of mites. Each sample **was** thoroughly mixed by a gentle vortex before a 10 **pL** aliquot was placed on glass microscope slide and covered with a 22×22 mm glass cover slip (Fisher Scientific Ltd.). Alternatively, a 40 μ L aliquot was collected and viewed under a 22 \times 50 mm cover slip. The entire content of each aliquot was examined and recorded; this process **was** repeated two to five times with additional aliquots fiom each digest sample. More **than** two aliquots were examined in this manner if the mite count fiom one aliquot was **low** in order to increase the total observations per sample. **Al1** sIides were examined at 40X and 200X magnification, except for fragments of mites, which were assessed at **400x** using the same light microscope calibrated to Kohler illumination.

Mite stages were identified using published morphologie criteria (Nutting & Desch, **L978).** The **total** occurrence of each life cycle stage, including the egg, **larva,** nymph, and adults **was** recorded for each aliquot. The process cf NaOH tissue digestion induced minor **structural artifacts** in mites that led to certain limitations in the counting procedure. The protonyrnphal stage could not be reliably differentiated fiom the farval stage, and as a consequence, **any** observation of this stage was included in counts for the farval stage. Adult mites were counted and recorded without reference to sex.

Fragmented mites that were identifiable to a particular life-cycle stage were counted. Rarely, a mite fragment was not identifiable to a specific stage and was not counted.

Digested samples were monitored for the presence of fragmented mites as an indicator of sample handling. In al1 experiments, mite fragments were rarely seen, and when observed, mite fragments accounted for less than 1-2% of the total mite count per sample.

2.5.4 Mouse Necropsy

Necropsies were performed at the time of euthanasia. The following tissues were collected **and** prepared for histologicai evaluation: liver, kidney, spleen, pancreas, lung, heart, tongue, skeletai muscle (cranial tibiaiis), esophagus, stomach (glandular **and** nonglandular), small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, ileum), cecum, colon, skin, **and** bladder. Tissue sections were prepared and stained **with** H&E as described above.

2.6 ISOLATION OF **CANINE LEUKOCYTES**

Canine peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by discontinuous density gradient centrifugation using sterile techniques **(Barta** & **Barta, 1993).** Blood (150 to 250 **mL) was** collected into 10 mL **ethylenediaminetetraacetic** acid (EDTA) coated Vacutainer® tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) using an 18-gauge jugular catheter. After thorough mixing, the blood was centrifuged (1500 \times g, 30 min) **(Sorvall@,** RT6OOOB centrifuge, Dupont Co., Neweown, Connecticut, USA) and the buffy coats fiom 3 tubes (approx. 3 **mL)** were combined **with three** parts phosphate-buffered saline **(PBS)** at RT in 15 rnL **conical** polypropylene centrifuge tubes

(Fisher Scientific Ltd.). Using an 18-gauge spinal needle, the buffy coat mixture was under-layered with 3.5 mL of Histopaque®-1077 (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and centrifuged (400 \times g, 30 min). The mononuclear cell layer was isolated from the gradient interface using a Pasteur pipette **and** cells were washed in 10 mL of **PBS** folIowed by centrifugation (100 \times g, 10 min). Erythrocyte cell lysis was performed by exposing the loosened cell pellet to 500 μ L of distilled water for 5 sec followed immediately by a second PBS **wash.** PBMC were combined in **PBS and** held on ice until injection into mice, or were resuspended in lymphocyte culture medium for lymphocyte blastogenesis as described below. Cell counts were performed on a Coulter counter® (Beckman Coulter Canada Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). A 200-cell differentiai was performed using a cytospin preparation (Cytospin-2, Shandon Southem Products Ltd., Astmoor, Cheshire, UK). The percent ce11 viability was assessed by **trypan** blue dye exclusion (Fisher Scientific Ltd.) on a 200-cell differential.

2.7 **IN VlTRO** *CANINE* **LYMPHOCYTE BLASTOGENESIS**

Canine lymphocytes were stimulated *in vitro* to undergo blastogenesis in a 72-hour protocol with phytohemagglutinin **(PHA)** and human recombinant interleukin-2 **(hr-IL-2)** (Somberg et al., 1992; Barta & Barta, 1993; Mizuno et al., 1993). This protocol was refined prior to experiments using PBMC from three different healthy adult mixed breed dogs in order to determine optimal doses of PHA-P and hr -IL-2 as well as optimal incubation times. Canine PBMC were resuspended at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL in **RPMI** culture medium containing 15% heat-inactiivated fetal bovine serum (Gansera, Rexdale, Ontario, Canada), 10 mM Hepes buffer (Sipa Chemical Co.), **2mM** L-

glutamine (Life Technologies), peniciIlin (100 IU/mL) and streptomycin (100 **pg/mL).** PBMC used for inoculation of mice were maintained in 75 cm^2 tissue culture-flasks **(Corning,** New **York,** USA), containing 50 **mL** of culture medium, at 5% **COz** and **37°C** in a hurnidified tissue culture incubator (Mode1 3 **158,** Forma Scientific, Macietta, Ohio, USA). After culture for one hr, PHA (10 μ g/mL) (Sigma) was added. Forty-eight hours later, **hr-IL2** (1000 units/ml) (PharMingen) was added **and** ceI1s were cultured for an additional 24 hr. The PBMC used for mouse injections were collected into 50 **mL** conical polypropylene centrifuge tubes (Fisher Scientific Ltd.), washed once in 20 mL of PBS (RT), centrifuged (100 \times g, 10 min), and resuspended in PBS.

To detemine a lymphocyte stimulation index, an aliquot of PBMC, were maintained in 96-well plates (Evergreen Scientific, Los Angeles, California, USA) at 0.2 mL per well. Tritiated thymidine (KN Biomedicals Inc., Aurora, Ohio, USA) **was** added to each well (25 μ Ci/mL) 18 hours prior freezing plates $(-40^{\circ}$ C), cells were analyzed with a beta-plate cell harvester, and thymidine incorporation was determined with a scintillation counter (Becton Dickinson). Six control wells containing PBMC did not receive PHA-P or **hr-IL-2** and provided readings for background thymidine incorporation. **The** stimulation index was calculated as follows:

Average cpm of 6 replicates with **PM** and hr-U-2 = Stimulation Index m - m - m - m - m
e stimulation index was calculated as follows:
om of 6 replicates with PHA-P and hr-IL-2
om of 6 replicates without PHA-P or hr-IL-2 Average cpm of 6 replicates without PHA-P or **hr-IL-2**

2.8 QUANTIFICATION OF MNRl?E **AND** *CANINE* **IMMIJNOGLOBULXN IN MOUSE PLASMA**

Canine and murine immunoglobulin concentrations in mouse plasma or serum samples were quantified by direct sandwich ELISA using methods adapted from the Animal Health Laboratory (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

Canine IgG **was** quantified in mouse plasma samples using flat bottom 96 well plates (ImmunoI@ IB, Dynatech, Laboratories Inc., Chantilly, Virgnia, USA). The 96 well plates were coated with an affinity purified goat anti-dog IgG, at 100 μ L/well (ICN Biomedicals Inc.) diluted to 2 μ g/mL in coating buffer and incubated for 24 hours at 4^oC. Plates were washed 5 times with 200 μ I/well of PBS containing 0.05 % Tween-20 (Fisher Scientific Ltd.) before being blocked with 1% bovine semm albumin in washing buffer for **I** hour at RT. Al1 subsequent incubations occurred at RT. Reagent dilutions were performed with washing buffer and incubations were preceded by the washing step listed above. Test sera (or plasma) were serially diluted and incubated at $50 \mu L/well$ on plates for 2 hr. The biotinylated secondary antibody, goat anti-dog IgG (ICN Biomedicals Inc.) was diluted at 1:4000 and incubated on plates at 100 μ L/well for 1 hr. Plates were incubated for 30 minutes at 100 μ L/well of ExtrAvidin® peroxidase conjugate (Sigma) diluted to 1:8000. The chromagen reaction was performed with 100μ L/well of ABTS peroxidase substrate (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratones Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) and plates were read at dual wavelength absorbance (405/620 nm) using an automated ELISA plate reader (Ceres UV900Hdi, Biotec Instruments Inc., Winooski, Vermont, USA). Endpoint absorbance was recorded at an optical density (OD) reading of 1.0 for a standard well and the average background absorbance was subtracted fiom al1 readings. Al1 dilutions were run in triplicate. For each plate, positive control serum

containing known concentrations of canine IgG (ICN Biomedicals Inc.) were evaluated and **PBS** replaced test serum to determine background absorbame. Murine semm or plasma collected prior to experimentation served as a control to confirm the lack of **murine** cross reactivity.

Murine immunogbbulin concentrations in mouse serum or plasma were determined using the methods described above. The capture antibody was an affinity purified rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dako, Carpinteria, California, USA), and the secondary antibody was an affinity **purified** biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (Dako). A standard curve **was** generated using serial dilutions of mouse semm **with** a known concentration of murine immunoglobulin **(Dako).** Positive control serum was used that contained known quantities of murine **IgG** *(ICN* Biomedicals **Inc.)** and **PBS was** used to determine background absorbency readings. Canine semm was used to confirm the absence of cross reactivity. Checkerboard titration was performed to determine optimum antibody dilutions (Carpenter, **1992).**

2.9 STATISTICAL METHODS

The computer software packages SAS®, version 6.12 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA), and GraphPad Prism, version 3 **-00** for Windows (GraphPad Software, **San** Diego, California, USA), were used for statistical analyses. P-values less than or equal to **0.05** were considered significant for statisticd tests.

CHkPTER 3: DEVELOPMENT OF THE SKIN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL FOR THE *STITDY* **OF CANINE DEMODICOSIS**

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The skin xenograft mouse model, used almost exclusively to advance human dermatology, has received little attention in veterinary research. Consequently, there are no well-established experimental techniques for a canine model.

The work by Caswell **et al** (1996) provided **a** starting point for developing the skin xenograft mouse model to study canine demodicosis. The requirements for a good mode1 were that recipient mice support canine skin **grafls and** that grafts support comparable numbers of *D.* **mis.** Further, der an extended mite incubation period, coengraftment of autologous leukocytes was needed. The experimental techniques used **by** Caswell et al. (1996) **did** not produce adequately sized grafts or consistent results for the experiments envisioned herein.

In the study **by** Caswell et al. (1996) healed **canine** skin grafts developed widely variable hair growth on scid/bg mice-some grafts grew only a few hairs, and healed grafts varied in size by as much as 30 to 5W. Healed grafls were generally small, **5** to 8 **mc;** in diameter. Preliminary experiments performed in **the** current study with scidhg mice, using the same techniques, reproduced these findings. The few human studies that have addressed the issue of hair growth in skin xenografks have not been promising. **Fuli**thickness human scalp **grafts must** be limited to small punch **grafts,** usually 1 to 3 **mm** in diameter in order to achieve even a few actively growing hairs (Van Neste et al., 1989; Hashimoto *et al.*, 1996; Van Neste, 1996). These small grafts are inadequate for

experiments modeling canine demodicosis. In contrast, split-thickness human skin grafts (up to **2** cm in diameter) heai well on immunodeficient mice, aithough, these lack adnexa and do not grow **hair.**

In a second experiment by Caswell (1995), scid/bg mice were grafted with canine peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) by intraperitoneal injection. **Canine** immunoglobulin was measured in mouse (5/6) sera 14 to 118 days after cell transfer, suggesting that scidhg mice were at least partially reconstitated **with** canine lymphocytes. However, the rnzjority of mice became monbund after canine PBMC transfer (8/9) and developed evidence of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), including hernolytic anemia, died or were euthanized. Furthemore, **the** presence of murine immunoglobulin in serum indicated that al1 of the mice had developed the "leaky" phenotype (Bosma et **al.,** 1988). Preliminary experiments in the current study using scid/bg mice reproduced the findings of Caswell. The scid mutation exhibits incomplete penetrance (Hendrickson, 1993) and, as a result, a percentage of scid mice (up to 25%) acquire a degree of immunocompetence and are referred to as "leaky". "Leaky" scid mice are able to mount immune responses and reject xenogenic skin grafts (Bosma et al., 1988).

Several objectives were identifïed to develop the skin xenograft mouse mode1 for the study of canine demodicosis. The **first** was to choose an alternative recipient mouse for xenograft studies to reduce or eliminate the "leaky" phenotype and possibly GVHD. The tg E 26 transgenic mouse is T-cell and NK-cell deficient and was chosen for this study (Wang et *al.,* 1994). The second objective was to evaluate canine skin xenografting techniques and identify factors important to improving grafting success. Because D .
canis develop in **hair** foliicles, skin **grafts** must be **uniform** in temu of size and **haïr** growth in order to create comparable mite populations. Larger skin grafts were needed to facilitate graft infection and to provide adequate tissues for evaluation. The third objective was to evaluate canine leukocyte engraftment of tee26 mice to determine whether the mouse **strain** could support fimctioning **canine** T-lymphocyte grafks.

Development of these fundamental components of the canine skin xenografl mouse mode1 provides the foundation to then address important issues specific to modeling demodicosis.

3.2 **USE OF TgE26 MICE TO SUPPORT CANINE SKIN XENOGRAFTS AND IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPORTANT FOR XENOGRAFT SUCCESS**

To identify factors important for the healing of canine skin xenografts, the healing of different thickness canine skin grafts on tge26 mice was compared.

3.2.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.2.1.1 Animals

Twenty-one male immunodeficient tg26 mice, age 10 to 12 weeks, were housed with three to five per micro-isolator cage. Random-source, adult intact-male mongrel dogs with a normal physical examination were chosen and skin samples were collected immediately after euthanasia; dogs were euthanized for reasons other than this study.

3.2.1.2 Experimental Design

Three types of grafts were prepared, each with a different thickness. Each graft type was transplanted to seven mice. Mice in Group-I received thin split-thickness grafts and mice

in Group-II received thick split-thickness skin grafts; both graft types were collected with a dennatome. Group-III received full-thickness skin grafks collected by surgical dissection.

3-2.1.3 Enperimental *Protocol*

Skin collection and preparation: Prior to experimentation, skin samples were collected from three different fresh cadaver dogs to determine the appropriate settings for the dermatome and to insure repeatability of split-thickness sample collection. The anatomic level of skin separation and the thickness of collected skin samples were confirmed by routine histology. The donor skin samples, each 5.5 cm \times 8 cm, were collected from the dorsolateral back using sterile surgical techniques. The skin was s haved and prepared with a chlorhexidine based surgical scrub (Section 2.3.1). Thin split-thickness samples (Group-1) were collected **using** a Padgett manual dermatome (Padgett Instruments Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, USA) at setting **5** using dermatome cement (Padgett Instruments Inc.). Thick split-thickness samples (Group-II) were collected with the dermatome set at 20 and using a new blade. Dermatome-collected samples were harvested by cutting posterior to anterior. Full-thickness skin sampIes **(Group-IiI)** were harvested according to Section **2.3.1.** Each **skin** sample was placed imrnediately in 3 00 **mL** of tissue cuIture medium (KPMI) containing antibiotics and chilled on ice as per Section **2.3.1.**

In a laminar flow hood, individual grafts were excised from the central area of full-thickness and dermatome-harvested samples using 12 mm in diameter skin punches. Biopsy punches were hand-fabncated in order to create larger **skin** grafts of a uniform diameter while avoiding crush-induced trauma during graft cutting (see Appendix I for

skin punch design)- While cutting each **graft,** skin samples were gently held flat on a plastic cutting board using an applicator to prevent **twisting** and traumatization of **skin** samples (see Appendix I for applicator design). Individual grafts were again placed in fresh RPMI (chilled on ice) containing penicillin and streptomycin (Section 2.3.1). Excess dermal fat was trimmed fiom the base of the fùll-thickness **skin** sample using Mayo scissors prior to preparation of individual grafts. Care **was** taken to prevent drying of tissues. Three to five additional biopsies were collected fiom each sample for histology to **confirm** the anatomic depth of skin **grafts** in each **group.** *Skin grafting:* Skin grafting was performed as previously described with minor modification (CasweIl et **al.,** 2996). Recipient mice were shaved with electric clippers 24 hours prior to grafting (see Section 2.3.3). Mice were randomly allocated to groups and

the order of grafting insured a similar average time fiom skin collection to graft application for each group. Mice from different groups were housed together.

Mice were anesthetized with Avertin (Wixson & Smiler, 1997) (IP, 0.02 mL/g) and placed in the ventral recumbent position. Iridotomy scissors were used to remove a **tent** of skin creating a 12 to 14 mm in diameter graft bed on the dorsolateral chest **wall** just caudal to the elbow. Pre-trimmed grafts were lightly blotted with sterile gauze to remove transport media and placed in the graft bed. Skin grafts were attached to the adjacent mouse skin with tissue adhesive (VetbondQ 3M Animal Care Products, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA) at 4 to 6 focal areas along the graft epidermal margin using a 1 **mL** syringe and a 30 gauge needle. Cast bandages were applied for 7 days (Method 4 Section 2.3.4). Mouse toenails were trimmed at the time of bandage removal to decrease the **risk** of **gr&** trauma.

Skin graft and mouse evaluation: Skin graft parameters were evaluated starting on the day that bandages were removed (1 week), and subsequently at weeks **3,6,** and **9.** The area of surface crusting, or eschar formation, was graded as O (no crusting), **1** (less **than 1/3** of the surface), 2 (between **1/3** to *213* of the surface), or 3 (greater than *213* of the surface). The general depth of crusting and changes in surface morphology were recorded. Surface color changes were recorded and provided an indication of vascular perfusion. Grafts were monitored for pigmentary changes. The diameter of each graft was measured in millimeters at two locations (oriented at 90 degrees) on the graft and the average of these two measurements was recorded. Hair growth was subjectively evaluated and the onset, location, **and** quality of **hair** regrowth **after** transplantation were recorded. Grafts were monitored for evidence of self-trauma.

Ten weeks after grafting, three grafts from three animals in each group were collected in 10% neutral buffered formalin for histoIogy. A necropsy **was** performed on **al1** mice and histological evaluation of tissues was perfomed for mice that **did** not complete the study.

3.2.1.4 Statistical **Methods**

ANOVA analyses of linear regression equations were performed using the **SAS0** statistical software package (Section 2.9). Log transformations of the data were performed when necessary. Skin graft eshar scores were compared using the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test using Graphpad Prism (GraphPad Software, **San** Diego, California, USA).

3.2.2 **RESULTS**

The biopsies taken at the time of graft preparation confirmed the anatomical depth of skin grafts. **Thin** split-thickness grafts in Group-1 were 0.8 to 1.2 mm thick, and the deep margin of grafts **was** located in the reticular dermis with primary hair follicles transected in the mid-isthmal region. Group-II thick split-thickness grafts were 1.8 to 2.2 mm thick and extended to the bulbs of primary hair follicles. Group-III full-thickness grafts were 2.4 to 2.8 mm thick and included a complete complement of skin adnexa, as well as a thin continuous layer of fat along the deep margin, absent from grafts in Group-I or -II. Biopsies lacked inflammation or other evidence of preexistent donor skin disease.

Skin grafts remained viable on 17 of 2 1 **mice** at week **10.** Two mice died and one mouse became ill and was euthanized. Graft trauma, caused by the cage rack, resulted in the loss of one graft.

When bandages were removed, skin grafts were white to pale-pink, pliable, and smooth wïth normal surfàce texture. Mice were observed to clean and groom over the skin graft surface. This activity did not cause graft ulceration. However, mice did pull on the graft surface in the process of removing of tissue-glue residue. After this initial grooming, mice did not appear to be imtated by grafis **and** only occasionally scratched grafis with a hind foot.

Skin grafts in Group-I healed most quickly and with minimal scarring. Group-I grafts healed within 10 to 14 days and the thin dry central surface crust, or eschar, that had developed after bandage removal disappeared within three to four weeks. Group-II and Group-III grafts healed by approximately 3 weeks but developed thicker, more extensive, central surface eschar after bandage removal **that** lasted, on average, for seven

weeks in Group-II and nine weeks in Group-III. Figure 3.2-1 iilustrates the **mean** eschar score for skin grafts in each of the three groups. There was a significantly lower eschar score for grafts in Group-I than in Group-II ($P = 0.001$) or Group-III ($P = 0.031$) at 3 weeks post transplantation. The eschar scores for Group-II and Group-III were not signifrcantly different at three weeks (P > 0.05) **and the** more prominent surface changes in these two groups were associated with scar formation. The slopes for the regression equations for the three groups did not differ significantly $(P > 0.05)$, indicating that the eschar score dropped at a similar rate during the observation period. Grafts were tan to pale-pink initially, and progressively became more pink starting at the graft margin.

Grafis in each group contracted similarly during the observation period (Figure 3.2-2). Average **graft** diameter at nine weeks was determined by linear regression. **The** average percent decrease in graft diameter was 23.15% (Group-I), 26.36% (Group-II) and 21.30% (Group-III). These were significant decreases over the course of the experiment: Group-I, $P \le 0.001$; Group-II, $P \le 0.001$; and Group-III, $P \le 0.001$. The slopes of the regression equations and the y-intercepts did not differ significantly between each of the three groups $(P > 0.05)$, indicating that grafts decreased in size at a similar rate and to a similar extent over the course of the trial. Graft contraction was generally gradual and continued throughout the duration of the trial. Two **skin** grafts (one in Group-1 and one in Group-III) were considered to have suffered minor cage-related trauma and were not included in the summary statistics but the diameter values for these grafts are shown in Figure $3.2-3.$

The short (shaved) hairs present at grafting did not appear to grow, but were shed with the eschar or as new hair growth developed. Hair grew dong the **margin** of grafts in

each group starting between five and six weeks post-transplantation. In Group-L only very fine secondary hairs grew and were present over the entire graft by week 10 but were not densely packed. Group-II and Group-III grafts grew primary and secondary hairs that were densely packed at the margin (most prominent in Group-III), but were scant or absent in centrai areas. In these two groups, hair growth **was** more variable and the limited hair growth in central areas appeared to be associated with the degree of crusting and scarring. Figure 3.2-3 shows surface architecture and degree of hair growth for three skin grafts from each group at 9 weeks post transplantation.

Histology results were comparable to the gross findings. Split-thickness grafts in Group-I (Figure 3.2-4) contained regularly spaced individual to small clusters of secondary hair follicles, with associated sebaceous and apocrine gland remnants, in centrai and peripheral areas. Some secondary hairs were actively growing and in the anagen phase, but others were distorted or atrophied. In contrast, full-thickness **grafts** in Group-III (Figure 3.2-5) retained clusters of primary and secondary hairs in follicle units that appeared normal at the margins of **grafts,** while extensive dermal fibrosis and scarring replaced adnexa centrally. More than 95% of hair follicles were in the anagen phase. The intemediate-thickness grafts in Group-II (Figure 3.2-6) most resembled those in Group-II& but with fewer **primary** foliicles **and** occasional follicle remnants retained centrally. Follicular keratosis was mild to moderate in Group-I and Group-II.

Additional histological findings included mild, difise epidermal hyperplasia with normal basket-weave orthokeratotic keratinization in grafts **fiom al1** groups. Mast cells were present individually throughout the superficial and deep dermis. A few grafls in each group contained occasional small aggregates of predominately histiocytes, with

occasional giant cells, that were associated with fragments of keratin or canine hair shafts. This feature was more evident in the thin split-thickness grafts where dermatometransected hair follicles 1ikeIy released fragments of canine hair shafts. Free mouse hair shafts were rarely observed in the dermis. Melanomacrophages were scattered individually in the dermis or in srnall clusters near **the** epidemis in ail groups. The mouse panniculus carnosus was absent beneath al1 grafts.

At necropsy, one sick mouse and one mouse that died had splenic lymphoma. One mouse that died of anesthetic complications at the time of bandage removal had no other findings at necropsy.

3.2.3 DISCUSSION

This study shows that tge26 mice support split-thickness and full-thickness canine skin gr&s for 10 weeks. AduIt canine thin spIit-thickness **grafls** retain surface architecture and **heal** within 14 days (Figure 3 **-2-3,** A) as do human skin grafk of similar thickness and anatomical origin on nude mice (Black & Jederberg, 1985; Briggaman, 1985).

There are **only** two reports of grafting **canine** skin to immunodeficient mice. While evaluating cold storage techniques for skin grafts from different species, Rosenquist et **aL** (1988) **grafted** nude mice with **IO** mm diarneter split-thickness canine skin. Canine grafts were reported to survive after immediate grafting (6/6) and after 10 days of cold storage **(5/5).** However, the criterion for graft survival was not stringent (only 50% of the graft area had to remain recognizable) and no specific morphologic data were provided. Caswell and coworkers (1996) demonstrated that full-thickness canine skin xenografts (10 mm) could grow hair. As discussed previously, graft quality was

variable. Graft size and hair growth varied by as much as 50% and some grafts retained only a few hairs (Caswell, personal communication). Gross or histological descriptions of healed grafls were not reported. **In** the current study, larger skin **grafts** of consistent appearance were successfully grafted to tge26 mice (Figure 3.2-3). Twelve millimeter diameter grafts contracted between 21 **-3** and 26.3% over nine weeks, resulting in grafts that were **8.8** to 9.5 mm in diameter, as size better suited for **studying** demodicosis. Additionally, in this study, shaving the mouse skin prior to surgery decreased the number of mouse hairs contaminating the mouse graft bed. Hair fragments induced histiocyte infiltration, which is undesirable in studies using xenografts to model inflammation.

Uniike these previous studies, canine thin split-thickness skin grafts healed well. Thus, the difficulties associated with fùll-thickness grafting likely resulted fiom characteristics of the thicker grafts and not fiom an inherent inability of canine skin to heal on immunodeficient mice. The gross and histological changes observed in the thicker skin grafts of Group-II and Group-III were consistent with the consequences of ischemic damage. Grafts developed superficial central ischemic necrosis that led to the formation of a central crust, or eschar, and the subsequent replacement of adnexa with a central scar. The melanin pigment dispersal and melanomacrophage formation in the graft dermis were also consistent with ischemic damage (Yager & Wilcock, 1994). None of the grafts showed evidence of ischernic damage at their margins and al1 **grafts** grew hair in this region- Therefore, healing along the **graft** margin appears to be unique compared to central areas. The tissue glue did not interfere with graft blood supply in this **area.**

Reports in the literature point to two aspects of skin xenografting that could interfere with central graft blood supply. First, excess fatty tissue on the ventral surface is thought to interfere with healing of skin grafts to mice (Billingham & Medawar, 1951). Fat is a poorly transplantable tissue that suffers ischemic damage easily (Coleman, **1997).** In medium-split-thickness grafts (Group-II) a fat layer was absent dong the ventral margin, unlike the full-thickness **grafts** (Group-III). However, healing **was** not significantly different between Group-II and Group-III. Although fat may interfere with **healing,** additional factors hampered the healing of canine full-thickness grafts.

Secondly, the panniculus carnosus muscle is thought to contribute blood supply to the ventral surface of skin grafts on mice (Billingham & Medawar, 1951; Breyere, 1972). The lateral thoracic artery travels with this muscle in the pannicular fascia to supply blood to the skin over the Iateral and dorsal thorax (Billingham & Medawar, **1951).** Histology revealed that the methods used did not retain the panniculus carnosus in **tbe** graft bed, which can be difficult because a natural tissue plane does not exist between this muscle layer and the overlying panniculus adiposus in the mouse (Billingham & Medawar, **195 1).** Because thin split-thickness grafts healed relatively well compared **to** full-thickness grafts in spite of this layer being absent, additional factors contributed to ischemic damage and are related to graft thickness.

Ischemic damage occurred mostly after bandage removal, which suggested that an acquired problem contnbuted **graft** ischemia, this most likely being mouse-induced graft trauma. Paradoxically, mice were not observed to intentionally traumatize skin grafts. **Grafts** that developed central necrosis were not ulcerated, which would be expected if mice were chewing or extensively scratching their grafts when not being observed. Mice

did, however, clean material from the graft surface and were seen to pull on grafts to remove adhesive material. Mice cleaned grafts variably; this finding could explain why grafts varied in tems of the extent of ischemic damage. The act of grooming grafts likely traumatized underlying vessels, perhaps by stretching fiagile anastamoses and inducing ischemic damage. Full-thickness grafts were more susceptible to this form of trauma (or to the development **of** ischemic damage) than were thin split thickness grafls.

Architectural differences between full-thickness and thin split-thickness **grafts** could explain their different susceptibilities to developing ischemia. Human microvascuIature of the superficial dermis is qualitatively **and** quantitatively different **than** that of the deep dermis and panniculus; a similar situation likely exists in dogs (Braverman, 1989; Pavletic, 1991). For instance, the panniculus adiposus and/or deep dermis (ventral surface **of** full-thickness skin grafts) has fewer, larger diameter, blood vessels **than** the superficial dermis (ventral surface of thin split-thickness grafis). The deep blood vessels may not favor stable anastomosis with the smaller mouse vessels; these anastomoses are essential in the first 24 to 72 hours after grafting (Lambert, 1971; **Sumi** et al., 1984; Heslop & Shaw, 1986; Okada, 1986; Plenat et al., 1992; **Young** *et* **ai.,** 1996). Once anastomosis has occurred, intravascular hydrostatic pressure **may** drop in full-thickness grafts, which require a relatively larger blood volume per **gram** of tissue than do split-thickness grafis (Heslop & Shaw, 1986). **As** a consequence of potentially fewer anastomoses and low hydrostatic pressure, full-thickness grafts may be poorly perfused during the early stages of healing compared to the thinner grafts. If this poorly perfused tissue is subjected to the trauma of mouse grooming, then disruption of the fiagile anastomoses may induce visible evidence of ischemic damage.

Stabilization of the tissue plane between the graft and the graft bed is essential to protect the newly forming vascular anastomoses (Breyere, 1972; Ratner, **1998).** The ability of the panniculus at the base of full-thickness grafts to contribute to stable granulation is hampered by the presence of relatively fewer fibroblasts, blood vessels, and collagen bundles, and by the presence of adipose tissue. For this reason, **full**thickness grafis are likely to be more susceptible to minor trauma during healing **than** split-thickness grafts.

Why then did the margins of full-thickness grafts heal without ischemic damage and readily grow hair? The rim of a full thickness graft shares many characteristics of a thin split-thickness graft. Here, the superficial demis is exposed where numerous srnall vessels are available **for** anastomosis and stabilization of these fiagile structures is maximized. Early vascularization at the graft margin is consistent with the finding that this area turns pink and regrows hair before the central area does.

To improve grafting success, procedures should address factors affecting revascularization of the graft. The panniculus carnosus should be retained in the graft bed and fatty tissue should be excluded from the base of grafts. The application of excessive tissue glue to the graft surface, or other materials that induce grooming related trauma, should be avoided. The hair growth on canine grafts appears to be most affected by ischemic damage. Factors that decrease ischemic damage during early graft healing will likely have the greatest positive impact on graft hair growth.

Although specific data were not provided, Billingham and Medawar (1951) (working with immune competent mice) described an approach to improve the healing of full-thickness grafts on mice by creating a graft bed of granulation tissue. Presumably,

this method would provide conditions that favor increased stabilization of the graft and the formation of **vascular** anastomoses. This procedure, however, is less practical when working with fragile immunodeficient mice. In the current study, graft factors were identified as important for successful transplantation of full-thickness skin. Thus, creating vascular rich granulation tissue on the donor **skin** pnor to gra£ting may be more productive.

This is the first report of skin xenografling to **tg26** mice and the results demonstrate that these mice will support canine skin grafts for extended time periods. **Tg26 mice** are an good candidate for experiments modeling canine demodicosis and provide an alternative to the use of scid mice. This is the fist description of the gross and histological changes that occur in canine skin xenografts, as well as the **fist** comparison of split-thickness and full-thickness canine skin xenograffs. In addition to delineating technical considerations, the results reinforce the concept that manipulation of skin graft revascularization events is the most significant factor affecting both the morphologic quality of healed full-thickness canine **skin** grafts and the development of uniform **hair** growth.

Figure 3.2-1: Line graph showing the surface eschar score (mean \pm standard error) for **canine** thin **split-thickness skin grafts on tgs26 mice at 3, 6, and 9 weeks post transplantation;** Group-I, **n=5,** (O); **medium split-thickness skin grafts, Group-II, n=5,** (*); and full-thickness skin grafts, Group-III, $n=7$, $\left(\bullet\right)$. (Score: $0 =$ no eschar, $1 =$ less than $1/3$ **of the surface, 2** = **between 1/3 and 2/3 of the surface, and 3** = **greater than 2/3 of the surface).**

 $\ddot{}$

Figure 3.2-2: Line graph showing the diameter (mm) of canine skin grafts on tge26 mice at 1, 3, **6** and 9 weeks post transplantation; (A) Split-thickness **skin** grafts, Group-I **(n=6); Q)** medium split-thickness skin **grafts,** Group-II **(n=5);** and (C) full-thickness skin **grafks,** Group-III (n=7). The linear regression equation is plotted for each group (bold line). The two low responders shown (one in Group-I and one in Group-III) were excluded from the calculation of the regression equation (see **text).**

Figure 3.2-3: Appearance of representative canine skin grafts on tge26 mice at 9 weeks **post transplantation; thin split-thickness grafts, Group-1 (A,B,C); thick splît-thickness skin grafts, Group-II @,E,F); and full-thickness skin grafts, Group-III (G,H,I). In** Group-I, follicular pores are readily evident and the surface architecture is relatively **normal, whereas central radial** scarring **is evident in grafts** from **Group-III and foliicular pores are not evident.**

 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{I}}$

Figure 3.2-4: Photomicrographs of a thin split-thickness canine skin graft (Group-I) from **a tg26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation. (A) Secondary hair follicles, sebaceous** and apocrine glands survive in the graft. The junction between mouse skin, on the left, and canine skin, on the right, is marked with an arrow (magnification $= X10$). **(B)** Higher **magnification shows a canine hair foflicle unit (magnification** = **X25). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, parafin embedded, tissue section**

 $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$

Figure 3.2-5: Photomicrographs of a full-thickness canine skin graft (Group-III) from a **ts26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation. (A)** Many **primary hairs and some** secondary hairs, as well as sebaceous and apocrine glands, survive in the graft. The **junction between mouse skin, on the left, and canine skin, on the right, is marked with an** arrow (magnification $= X10$). (B) Higher magnification shows a canine hair follicle unit $(magnitude = X25)$. Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue section

Figure 3.2-6: Photomicrographs of a thick split-thickness canine skin **graft** (Group-II) from a tge26 mouse at 10 weeks post transplantation. (A) Secondary hairs and a few primary hairs, as well as sebaceous and apocrine glands, survive in the canine skin graft. The junction between mouse skin, on the left, **and** canine skin, on the right, is marked with an arrow (magnification $= X10$). **(B)** Higher magnification shows a canine hair follicle unit (magnification = **X25).** Hemztoxylin and eosin stained, parafin embedded, tissue section

3.3 CANINE LEUKOCYTE RECONSTITUTION OF Tge26 MICE

The skin xenograft mouse model is useful for investigating the pathogenesis of inflammatory skin diseases because recipient mice are able to support leukocyte xenografts in addition to skin xenografts (Alegre et al., 1994; Petzelbauer **et** al., 1996). The majority of leukocyte reconstitution experiments have utilized scid mice, or scid mice supporting an additional mutation known to augment immunodeficiency, such as the beige mutation (Lys^{bg}) (Mosier *et al.*, 1993). Successful leukocyte engraftment of scid lines has been reported using human, bovine, porcine, equine, and feiine lymphocytes (Abedi et al., 1992; Balson et al., 1993; Johnson **et** al., 1994; Revilla *et* al., 1994; Greenwood *et* **al.,** 1997). Although engraftment is variable, transplanted leukocytes remain functional and mediate lymphocyte driven inflammatory reactions in skin xenograRs, such as allogeneic skin **graft** rejection (Alegre *et* al., 1994; Greenwood *et* al , 1997) and delayed-type hypersensitivity response to tetanus toxoid (Petzelbauer et al., 1996).

As previously discussed, scid/bg mice were shown to support canine lymphocytes after intraperitoneal transfer PBMC by detecting **canine** immunoglobulin in serum fiom mice $(5/6)$ that had received canine leukocyte grafts (Caswell, 1995) (personal observation). However, these mice developed fatal **GVHD,** including hemolytic anemia, and developed the "leaky" phenotype. Tg ϵ 26 mice, (Wang et al., 1994), are T- and NKce11 deficient **and** were shown in the current study to support canine skin xenografts for 10 weeks (Section 3.2). Experiments were designed to evaluate the ability of tge26 mice to support **canine** leukocytes, necessary for modeling inflammation in demodicosis.

Measurement of circulating immunoglobulin was perfonned to assess leukocyte engraftment, an established method of assessing lymphocyte chimerism in scid mice (Taylor, 1994; Greenwood et **al.,** 1997). These expenments had three objectives: (1) to evaluate the reconstitution of tg ϵ 26 mice with canine lymphocytes using PBMC from different dogs, (2) to evaluate the survival and health of tgg26 mice after canine PBMC transfer, and (3) to determine the ha!f-life of canine immunoglobulin in **tge26** mice der intraperitoneal injection. Information about the kinetics of canine immunoglobulin clearance in te26 mice was required in order to ïnterpret canine circulating immunoglobulin concentrations.

3.3.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.3,1,1 Anirnals

Male tg E_{26} mice, age 9 to 12 weeks were housed with two to five per micro-isolator cage. Random-source, adult, intact-male dogs were purchased fiom Animal Care Services (Wniversity of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

3.3.1.2 Intraperitoneal Reconstitution of **Tge26 Mice with Canine Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells**

Three groups of five tge26 mice (Group-I, -II and -III) and one group of four tge26 mice (Group-IV) were bled prior to leukocyte engraftment to provide control plasma samples and a baseline PCV. Canine blood **was** collected and PBMC were isolated according Section 2.6. PBMC from one dog were divided equally to reconstitute all mice in a group. Mice were anesthetized with methoxyflurane (Metafane@, Pitman Moore, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and between 12 to 25×10^6 peripheral blood lymphocytes **(PBL)** (Group-I = 22 x 10⁶, Group-II = 12 x 10⁶, Group-III = 25 x 10⁶, and Group-IV = 14×10^6), resuspended in 0.75 to 0.85 ml of PBS, were slowly injected (over one minute) intraperitoneally using a 25 gauge needle. The leukocyte inocula contained between 70 and 90% lymphocytes and ce11 viability was always greater **than 95%.** .Mice were bled for monitoring immunoglobulin concentration at biweekly intervals for 8 (Group-I) or 12 weeks (Group-II, -III, and -IV) and plasma samples were stored at -40° C. The PCV for each mouse was measured at the time of each blood collection. All samples from a particular group were evaluated with the same ELISA assay.

Mice were monitored daily for evidence of iliness until completion of the expenment. Al1 mice were necropsied and tissues were processed for histology.

3.3.1-3 Half-life Determination for **Canine** ImmunogIobulin in **Ts26 Mice**

Six tg26 mice were bled pnor to canine immunoglobulin **transfer** and **PCVs** were recorded. Lyophilized, affinity purified, canine IgG (Bayer Diagnostics Inc., Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada) was dissolved in PBS, centrifuged at $12,800 \times g$ (10 min) to remove immunoglobulin aggregates (Sigounas *et al.*, 1994) and the supernatant was filter steriiized using a 0.22 **ym** millipore filter (Mïllex@-GV brand, Bedford, Maryland, USA). Mice were inoculated by slow intraperitoneal injection **with 5** mg of canine IgG in 0.5 rnL of **PBS** . Mer IgG transfer, mice were bled at 8 **hr,** 24 hr, **and** then at **1** week intervals for 5 weeks. The PCV was recorded at each blood collection and plasma samples were stored at **-40°C.** Canine immunoglobulin **was** quantified in mouse plasma samples by ELISA and all samples were evaluated within the same assay.

Mice were monitored daily for evidence of clinical illness.

3.3.1.4 Statistical Methods

Regression analyses and calculation of **IgG** half-life were performed using GraphPad Pnsm (GraphPad Software, San **Diego,** California, USA).

3.3.2 RESULTS

3.3.2.1 Canine Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell Transfer

A total of 15 out of 19 mice survived the duration of the experiment in good health; four mice died within **nine** weeks of canine leukocyte engraftment. Two **mice** in Group-II died prior to the initial blood collection: one of these mice had gross and histological lesions of splenic Iymphoma; diagnostic samples were not available for the second mouse. The **two mice** in Group-III that died lacked histological changes and a cause of death was not determined. Mice completing the study did not have gross or histological abnormalities or evidence of hemolytic anemia. The PCV for mice in each group were similar to pre-PBMC transfer values (Figure 3.3-2). A low PCV was observed on one occasion for one mouse in Group-IV (Figure 3.3-2D).

Plasma samples were available fiom 17/19 **rnice** that received canine PBMC. Plasma **f?om** 16/17 mice **(94.1%)** had detectable levek of canine IgG between **two** to 12 weeks post canine PBMC transfer (Appendix 2). Canine **IgG** was detected for an average of **6.62** weeks post inoculation. Al1 mice in Group-1 had detectable levels of canine **IgG** up until the **ha1** collection of samples at eight weeks; in the **remaining** three groups, the median **week** for detection was four weeks. **Canine IgG** was detected in at least two mice in each group and ranged from a low $0.31 \mu g/mL$ to more than $6,000 \mu g/mL$. The mean

value (\pm SD) of canine IgG for all positive samples at two weeks was 409.93 μ g/mL (\pm 1340.08). Canine IgG concentration steadily declined in 13 mice after a peaking at either two or four weeks. In another mouse, canine IgG **was** detected only in the two-week sample. In two mice (both in Group-I), canine **IgG** was measured in milligram quantities and increased gradually. The individual mouse plasma canine IgG values for each of the four groups are plotted in Figure 3.3-1.

3-3.2.2 Half-life Determination

The clearance data for canine IgG following intraperitoneal inoculation of six tgs26 mice are summarized in Figure 3 **-3-3.** Canine **IgG** concentration decreased rapidly during the first week and more gradually during the final four weeks. An IgG half-life of 2.9 days **was** calculated based on the regression equation. **Canine** IgG was not detected in plasma samples collected prior to experimentation.

All six tge26 mice survived the duration of the experiment and remained healthy. The **PCV** did not appear to change over the course of the trial and anemia was not observed (Figure 3 **-3-4).**

3.3.3 DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate that tge26 mice supported canine PBL and that these cells survived and were able to produce IgG for 56 days. The concentration of canine IgG in tg ϵ 26 mouse plasma (0.0003 to > 6.0 mg/mL) was similar in range and variability to that observed in scid mice reconstituted **with** PBL fiom human (0.2 to 3.0 mg/mL) (Taylor, 1994), equine (0.002 to 1.0 mg/mL) (Balson **et** al., 1993), or bovine (0.001 to > 10.0

mg/mL) (Greenwood & Croy, 1993) donors. The mean **peak** concentration of canine **IgG (0.4** 1 **mg/&) was** similar to that for **equine** IgG **(0.3** 8 mg/mL) Sut less **than** that for bovine chimeras (2.06 **mg/mL,** without prior radiation treatment). In human PBL-scid chimeras, **IgG** increased for the **first** 28 to 56 days before gradually declining (Mosier *et* **al.,** 1988; Duchosal *et al.,* **1992).** Similarly, bovine **IgG** increased for the first 14 to 35 days before declining (Greenwood & Croy, **1993).** In the present study, canine **IgG** concentration steadily increased in the plasma of two mice during the 56-day study period, while for the remaining 14 mice, **IgG** concentrations steadily declined after peaking at 14 or 28 days.

The percentage of tg $=26$ mice supporting canine lymphocyte engraftment was **high,** but the extent and duration of engraftment **was** variable and limited as **measured** by canine IgG production. Detectable levels of immunoglobulin were not present in isolates of washed PBMC (Balson *et al.,* **1993),** and immunoglobulin producing plasma cells are exceedingly rare in peripheral blood of veterinary species (Jain, 1993). Therefore, the presence of canine IgG in mouse plasma in 94.1% of tg ϵ 26 mice at 2 weeks post PBMC transfer indicates that canine lymphocytes survived isolation and transfer, and subsequently produced **IgG** in a high percentage of mice. However, canine **IgG** concentration was relatively low and measurable over a limited duration **after** engraftment (six weeks or less) in eight mice (Figure **3.3-1,** Figure **3.3-2).** The majority of these mice were from Group-II and Group-III, suggesting that donor factors affected engraftment, as measured by immunoglobulin levels. Canine IgG concentration in mouse plasma was higher and detected for a longer duration (more than six weeks) in eight rnice

from Group-I and Group-IV; two of these mice had approximately 10-fold or higher levels of canine IgG (Figure 3.3-2).

The ciearance data for canine IgG in tg26 mice indicated a half-life of approximately 2.9 days, which provides comparative information for assessing canine IgG plasma concentration in canine PBMC reconstituted mice. The half-life data confirms that canine lymphocytes survived transfer to tge26 mice and actively produce **IgG** for weeks after transfer, despite the fact that total plasma canine IgG Ievels declined shortly afler transfer in **the** majority of mice.

The calculated half-life of human IgG in scid mice ranges between 5.3 and 12 days compared to 21.2 days in humans (Taylor, 1994). Balson et **al.** (1993) reported the half-life of equine IgG in scid mice as 12.1 days, compared with 19 to 25 days in the horse. Similarly, at 2.9 days, the half-life of canine IgG is shorter in tge26 mice compared to 8 days in the dog (Waidmann & Strober, 1969). The early rapid decline in **canine** IgG concentration indicates that more than one mechanism may contribute to early clearance. Immune-complex formation or aggregation of immunoglobulin molecules **can** result in rapid clearance and may have resulted in the early rapid phase of clearance measured in **this** experiment (Waldrnann & Strober, 1969). Precautions were taken to reduce aggregates in the canine IgG inocula. Similarly, immunoglobulin receptor crossreactivity after injection may have led to selective clearance of an antibody subset and resulted in the early rapid elimination phase.

The decreased half-life of xenogenic antibodies in mice has been attributed to the increased metabolic rate of **this** small species (Balson **ef** al., 1993). The half-life of murine IgG in the mouse is 2 to 5 days (Waldmann & Strober, 1969). A consequence of

an accelerated half-life for transferred xenogenic antibodies is that the rate of irnmunoglobulin production by transferred lymphocytes is considered higher **than** what is reflected by mouse plasma levels. The measurement of immunoglobulin levels in mouse plasma, as an indicator of chimerism, Iikely underestimates the success of xenogenic lymphocyte engraftment. Furthermore, the xenogenic immunoglobulin plasma Ievels are not directly comparable to donor plasma levels.

Canine IgG plasma concentration varied between and within groups of tge26 mice, suggesting that both donor and recipient factors affected reconstitution of **mice** with canine lymphocytes. Similar results were reported by Abedi and coworkers studying human scid chimeras (Abedi et **al.,** 1992). The nurnber of lymphocytes transferred **affécts** the level of engraftment of human lymphocytes in scid mice. OnIy a minority of scid mice that received 5×10^6 lymphocytes or less were successfully reconstituted; however, when 20×10^6 lymphocytes were transferred, nearly 100% engraftment **was** achieved (Mosier et al., 1988; Torbett et *al.,* **1991).** In the current study, 12 to 25×10^6 canine lymphocytes were transferred to tge26 mice. This number was similar to the **human** experiments with high reconstitution rates and the number of lymphocytes transferred did not appear to correlate with the lever of immunoglobulin production. Second, pretreatment of scid mice with antisera to elirninate **murine NK**cells, or the use of scid/bg mice that have reduced NK-cell function, is associated with improved engraftment of human PBL (Taylor, 1994). The tg ϵ 26 mice used in these experiments are NK-cell deficient by virtue of the transgene. Third, irradiation of scid mice increased leukocyte **graft** success and **IgG** production using human or equine PBL, but did not offer significant benefit when used in conjunction with bovine, pig, or **cat**

PBL (Balson **et** al., 1992; Balson **et al,** 1993). ûthers have not obsewed a significant increase in human PBL engraftment using irradiated scid mice (Abedi *et al.*, 1992) and some investigators reported increased mortality in studies using irradiated scid mice (Balson *et* al-, 1993; Greenwood & Croy, 1993). Preconditioning by irradiation can contribute to the development of GVHD in human scid chimeras (Xun et **aL,** 1994; Tsuchida et al., 1997). Finally, tge26 mice retain B-cells and produce murine immunoglobulin (Wang **et** aL, 1995). Miuine B-cells could potentially interact with grded cells and affect canine lymphocyte survival as well as canine **IgG** production or clearance.

The development of GVHD has been reported in human **PBL** scid chimeras (Taylor, 1994). The development of GVHD in **canine** PBMC scidhg chimeras limited the use of scid mice for experiments modeling canine demodicosis (Caswell, 1995). Mice that develop GVHD may be clinically il1 or even moribund. AfFected mice may become anemic, as **was** the case when canine **PBMC** were transfened to scid/bg **rnice** (Taylor, 1994; CasweIl, 1995). At necropsy, organ pathology has been identified in skin, liver and lymphoid organs such as the spleen in **mice** with xenogenic GVHD (Taylor, 1994; Caswell, 1995). In addition, investigators using mouse models of allogenic GVHD have identified Lesions in the tongue, intestine and skin (Taylor, 1994). In the current study, evidence of GVHD in canine PBMC reconstituted mice was not detected by clinical evaluation, full necropsies, or measurement of serial **PCV.** In the study by Caswell (1995), and in preliminary experiments in this study, high canine immunoglobulin titers were associated with development of anemia in scid/bg mice. Two tge26 mice in this study developed comparable high canine immunoglobulin titers

after PBMC transfer (Group-1) but did not develop anemia. Tge26 mice appear to be less susceptible **than** scid mice to developing GVHD after transfer of canine PBMC. The presence of murine B-cells and immunoglobulin in te26 **mice** (absent or **greatly** reduced in scid/bg mice) might alter canine immunoglobulin binding or clearance and protect tg26 mice fiom developing immunoglobulin mediated aspects of GVHD such as hemolytic anemia (Taylor, **1994)-** Altematively, other mouse differences, such as the ability to fix complement With xenoreactive antibodies, or differences between dogs (although several dogs were used), could account for the lack of hemolytic anemia in the current study.

In **summary,** the results provide evidence that canine lymphocytes are able to survive transfer **and** produce IgG within tge26 mice **and** that the highest engraftment of immunoglobulin producing cells occurs 2 to 4 weeks post inoculation. The haif-life of canine IgG in tge26 mice is shorter than the half-life for IgG in dogs. Tge26 mice did not develop GVHD after canine PBMC transfer and therefore are suitable for experiments modeling canine demodicosis.

Figure 3.3-1: Line graph showing canine IgG concentration (μ g/mL) over time in plasma from IgG positive tge26 mice after intraperitoneal transfer of canine PBMC: Group-I (A, B) Group-II (C); Group-III (D); and Group-IV (E). Note that two high responders in Group-1 have been plotted separately (B), and that the scale on the y-axis is not the **same** for each graph. One 4-week data point for one high responding mouse (18,103 **pg/mL)** in Group-1 was plotted separately in (B) **and** was considered a measurement error.

 $\overline{}$

 \sim

Figure 3.3-2: Line graph showing the packed ce11 volume for tgs26 mice taken pnor to and at weekly intervals after intraperitoneal transfer of canine PBMC; Group-I, n=5 (A); Group-II, $n=3$ (B); Group-III, $n=5$ (C); and Group-IV, $n=4$ (D).

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

TRANSFER

Figure 3.3-3: Line graph showing canine IgG concentration (mg/mL; mean \pm SD) over **time in plasma tiom tg26 mice (n=6) after intraperitoneal transfer of canine IgG. The regression equation is plotted dong with the summary statistics.**

 \mathcal{L}

DAYS POST CANINE IgG TRANSFER

Figure 3.3-4: Line graph showing the packed cell volume for **tgc26 mice** (n=6) **taken prior to and at weekly intervals after intraperitoneal transfer of canine** IgG.

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 \bar{z}

 $\bar{\beta}$

3.4 DEMONSTRATION OF *CANDIE* **LEUKOCYTE FUNCTION IN THE SKIN XENOGRAFT ENVIRONMENT**

The skin xenograft mouse model provides an investigative tool for studying mechanisms invoived in specific inflammatory processes or skin diseases of animais and humans. Skin biopsies from the animal being studied can be isolated on immunodeficient mice after which specific skin donon factors, such as leukocyte subsets, can be reintroduced to skin grafts in a controlled manner. Using this approach, basic questions about the contribution of different factors to the pathogenesis skin diseases can be addressed.

Of particuiar interest in this **study** is the use of the xenograft model to assess the role of lymphocytes in canine demodicosis. In order to use the skin xenograft model for this purpose, it is important to determine if engrafted T-lymphocytes retain functional capabilities in the **skui** xenograft environment. Several studies have shown that human lymphocytes are capable of driving ceil-mediated immune reactions in skin xenografts on scid mice. Petzelbauer *et* al. (1996) dernonstrated that scid **mice** receiving human lymphocytes from tetanus toxoid sensitive patients were able to generate a delayed-type hypersensitivity response in **skin** xenografts der challenge **with** tetanus toxoid. Alegre et **al.** (1994) showed that human PBMC scid chimeras rejected allogenic **human** skin xenografts. In similar work by Greenwood et **aL** (1997), bovine leukocytes mediated allogeneic skin graft rejection on scid mice. There are no studies demonstrating the fûnction of canine T-lymphocytes in this situation.

A skin allograft rejection experiment was chosen to determine if canine lymphocytes are capable of rnediating a cell-mediated immune response and remain functional in the xenograft environment. The development of allograft rejection provides

a readily observable endpoint that indicates the presence of functional canine lymphocytes in **the** xenograft. A second objective of this expenment **was** to determine if direct injection of lymphocytes into skin grafts is a viable alternative to intraperitoneal delivery used in previous experiments. This method wodd insure that canine lymphocytes reach the xenografk

3.4.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.4.1.1 Animals

Male tge26 mice, age 9 to 12 weeks, were housed with one mouse per micro-isolator **cage.** A random-source, adult, intact-male dog **was** selected as the skin donor. Skin was collected immediately after the dog was euthanized for reasons other than this study. The blood donor **was** a second random-source, adult, intact-male dog purchased ftom Animal **Care** Services (University **of** Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

3.4.1.2 Experimental Protocol

Eight tg $=$ 26 mice were bled prior to experimentation and grafted with canine skin (Section 3.2). Ailogenic canine PBMC were isolated and injected directly into **skin** grafts on four mice. During PBMC injections, mice were anesthetized with methoxyflurane and 15 **x 106** lymphocytes, suspended in **PBS,** were deposited in three equally spaced parallel tracks in the graft using a 22-gauge needle. The inoculum contained 77% lymphocytes and 92% PBMC. Cell viability was 98%. Four control mice received similar injections of **PBS** only. Skin **grafts** were monitored **daily for** gross evidence of an ailograft rejection reaction.

Four weeks **post** PBMC transfer, skin grafts were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, trimmed perpendicular to injection tracts and processed for histology. **Skin** gras were evaluated for the presence of T-cells by irnmunohistochernistry using anti-CD3 antibody (Section 2.5.2). The PCV was recorded and canine IgG was measured in mouse plasma sarnples by ELISA. Ail mice were necropsied and tissues were processed for histology.

3.4.1.3 Statistical Methods

Using SAS@ software package (SAS Institute Inc., **Cary,** North Carolina, USA) the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare plasma canine **IgG** concentrations from this experiment to those observed for tg ϵ 26 mice previously (Section 3.3).

3.4.2 RESULTS

Skin grafts lacked evidence of inflammation prior to the injection of cells. By two weeks, the four **grafts** that received allogenic PBMC developed patchy areas of erythema and crusting on the surface, were as control **grafts** remained unchanged. Histological evaluation of PBMC injected grafts revealed lymphocytic interface dermatitis (Figure 3 **-4- 1A).** Lymphocytes infiltrated **the** basilar epidermis **and** there **was** vacuolar degeneration, single **ce11** necrosis, **and** a disruption of the architecture of the stratum basale (Figure 3 **-4-1B).** These grafts developed epidermal ulceration and surface crusting. The dermis contained a diffuse cellular infiltrate of mononuclear cells, including large numbers of lymphocytes. Control grafts lacked these histological changes and did not contain an inflammatory cell infiltrate (Figure 3.4-1C).

Immunohistochemical **staining** of sections for **CD3** identified T-cells **in** injected grafts. There were 100 to 200 CD3 immunoreactive cells per 10 high power fields **(HPF)** in PBMC treated grafts (Figure **3.4-2A).** A rare positive ce11 was detected in control grafts (Figure **3.4-2B).** A membrane pattern of staining ranged fiom light to intense. In **al1 grafts,** a few scattered positively stained cells were present in the mouse skin adjacent to skin grafts.

Six **out** of eight mice survived the duration of the experiment. One mouse in the control group became anorexic and was found dead. This mouse lacked significant histological changes at necropsy. One mouse in the PBMC treated group had an enlarged spleen, a focal area of coagulative necrosis in the liver, and reactive changes in the lung and **was** thought to have died fiom complications of a bacterial infection. Significant histological lesions were not observed for the six mice that completed the trial. There was no gross or histological evidence of hemolytic anemia in **any** mouse. Canine IgG concentration in plasma (collected at four weeks post inoculation) from the three surviving treated mice was 270, 150, and 260 μ g/mL (mean \pm SD, 226.66 \pm 66.58 pg/mL). Canine **IgG was** not detected in samples taken pnor to experimentation or in samples **from** control **mice** collected at the conclusion of the experiment. The PCV of treated **and** control mice at the terminaticn of the experiment were similar to preexperiment values and anemia was not detected.

3.4.3 DISCUSSION

The results of this experiment are consistent **with** an allograft rejection response mediated by transferred **canine** lymphocytes. Treated skin grafts developed gross and histological

changes consistent with skin graft rejection by two weeks post inoculation of allogenic canine PBMC. The time to onset of graft rejection was similar to that observed in human skin allograft rejection experiments using human leukocyte scid chimeras (Alegre et al., 1994; Christofidou-Solomidou et al., 1997a; Murray *et* al., 1998), but sooner than the onset of bovine skin allograft rejection using bovine leukocyte scid chimeras (Greenwood et al., 1997). The route of leukocyte delivery to mice differed in these experiments and direct inoculation of grafts in the current study may have led to an accelerated response. In the study by Greenwood *et al.* (1997), the inflammatory reactions were graded as a measure of the rejection response. The canine allograft rejection response in the current study was comparable in all grafts to the highest histological score of graft rejection used to characterize bovine skin allograft rejection. Similar to human skin allograft rejection on scid mice, large numbers of lymphocytes intiltraied allogenic grafts and were associated with epidermal cytotoxicity (Christofidou-Solomidou et al., 1997a).

CD3 staining indicated that a large number of T-cells were retained in canine skin grafts and that these cells were much more numerous in grafts in the PBMC treated group. This finding supports a T-ce11 mediated allograft rejection reaction in treated **grafts. Rare** cells stained positive for CD3 in the control **group.** These cells were either carrier leukocytes, as described for human skin grafts on scid mice (Kaufmann *et al.*, 1993), or possibly murine cells. The lack of an inflammatory reaction or evidence of cytotoxicity in the control grafts supported a **canine** skin donor origin for these cells.

Canine **IgG** concentration in **tgs26** mouse plasma at four weeks post allogenic canine PBMC transfer fùrther indicated that a canine lymphocyte mediated inflammatory response developed in skin grafts. There was a trend for higher canine **IgG** plasma

concentration at four weeks post PBMC transfer in tge26 mice supporting a skin allograft rejection response **than** plasma concentrations at four weeks for tg26 mice reconstituted with canine PBMC previously in this study (Section 3.3). The mean plasma canine IgG level in mice supporting allograft rejection reactions (n=3) was 226.66 μ g/mL \pm 38.44 $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SEM})$ at four weeks, whereas that of non-skin grafted tg ϵ 26 mice (Section 3.3) at four weeks (n=14) was 114.58 ± 90.33 μ g/mL (mean \pm SEM). Because of within experiment variation, there was no significant difference $(P > 0.05)$ between these two experiments. The presence of an antigenic stimulus-the allogenic skin grafts- is expected to either increase engraftment of canine lymphocytes, as measured by plasma canine IgG concentration, and/or increase production of canine IgG in the mouse environment. In addition, the finding of 150 to 270 μ g/mL of canine $\lg G$ in tge26 mouse plasma four weeks post PBMC transfer (given a hdf-Iife of **2.9** days for canine IgG in tge26 mice; **see** Section 3.3) indicates that canine IgG was actively being produced in mice after PBMC transfer.

Greenwood et *al.* (1997) reported a similar finding when evaluating bovine skin ailograft rejection on scid mice. Gross evidence of skin allograft rejection was observed on bovine-PBL-scid-chimeras with a bovine **IgG** concentration greater **than** 200 pg/mL and peak bovine IgG concentrations were associated with onset of graft rejection. Skin grafts on chimeric **mice** with bovine **IgG** serum levels less **than** 200 pg/mL did not show gross evidence of graft rejection, suggesting that IgG levels in mouse plasma are representative of the ability of the chimeric lymphocyte population to mount a graft rejection response.

The development of inflammation in grafts mediated by canine lymphocytes indicates that direct intra-graft transfer of canine leukocytes is possible and provides an alternative approach to delivering cells to skin grafts in the canine xenograft model. This method of lymphocyte transfer to skin xenografts was employed in experiments successfully modeling human inflammatory reactions (Gilhar et **al., 1998).**

This study demonstrates that the skin xenograft model is an appropriate system for investigating the fùnctional aspects of lymphocyte-mediated reactions in canine skin. The lymphocyte-mediated allograft rejection response is similar in character to the histological changes observed in the **wall** of D. *canis* infected hair follicles in demodicosis (reviewed in Chapter **1).** Both skin inflammatory reactions are characterized by a lymphocytic interface reaction with epidermal ce11 cytotoxicity. Thus, the skin xenogra£t rnouse model should be usefiil for evaluating the fùnctional role of **canine** lymphocytes in demodicosis.

Figure 3.4-1: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft from a tge26 mouse at 4 weeks after **direct grd** inoculation with allogenic canine PBMC **(Group-I).** (A) Large nurnbers of mononuclear inflammatory cells infiltrate the margin of the canine graft (large asterisks) and target hair follicles (small asterisks) (magnification = X10). **(B)** At higher magnification, lymphocytic interface folliculitis is associated **with** vacuolation (arrows) and degeneration of the basal keratinocytes (magnification $=$ X25). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections

Figure 3.4-2: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft from a tge26 mouse at 4 weeks **after** direct **inoculation with PBS (Group-Il). (A) Inflammation is absent (magnification** = **X10).** (B) **Higher magnification confirms the lack of inflammation and shows the normal appearing follicle structure (magnification = X25). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, parafin embedded, tissue sections**

 \bullet

 \bar{z}

Figure 3.4-3: Photomicrographs of CD3 immunostained canine skin grafts from tge26 mice at 4 weeks after direct inoculation with allogenic canine PBMC (Group-I) or PBS **(Group-II). (A) Many infiltrating lymphocytes with positive immunoreaction to CD3** antigen (arrow) are present (Group-I). **(B)** Rare lymphocyte with positive immunoreaction to CD3 antigen (arrow) in the control graft (Group-II). Avidin-biotin **complex peroxidase method, diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromagen, hematoxylin munterstain. (magni fication** = **X100)**

 \bf{B}

-TER 4: APPLICATION OF THE SKlN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL TO STUDY HOST RESISTANCE TO *DEMODEX CANIS* **AND THE PATHOGENESIS OF CANINE DEMODICOSIS**

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Factors controlling *D. canis* populations on the skin of dogs are not well understood. Previous studies suggest that a defect in cell-mediated immunity allows mites to proliferate unchecked and results in generalized demodicosis (reviewed in Chapter 1). However, there is little specific information about the rnechanisms of host resistance to \cdot *D. canis* in particular or to *Demodex spp.* in general.

Dogs with generalized demodicosis exhibit a decreased cutaneous response to mitogens and a decreased **in vitro** lymphocyte proliferation assay response (Scott **et** *al.,* **1995).** Puppies that received antilymphocyte serum and challenged with *D.* **canis** developed generalized disease (Healey & **Gaafar, 197%).** Similarly, adult onset demodicosis occurs in association with acquired immunosuppression (Duclos et al., 1994; Lemarie et al., 1996). This evidence has led to the widely referenced hypothesis that generalized demodicosis results fiom a specific defect in T-ce11 immunity (Scott, 1979; Mason, 1993a; Scott **et** al., 1995; Lemarie, 1996; Hill et al., 1999).

Lymphocytic interface folliculitis was identified as the significant inflammatory reaction pattern in canine demodicosis (Caswell et al., 1995; Caswell **et** al., 1997). **As** part of this reaction pattern, CD8+ lymphocytes infiltrate the wall of D. canis infected hair follicles (Caswell **et** al., 1995; Caswell **et** al., 1997). This observation suggests that a host lymphocyte response plays an important role in the pathogenesis of skin lesion

development and/or protedive immunity in demodicosis. These and previous studies have not evaluated the immune response to **D.** *canis* specific antigens and there is no direct evidence for a specific T-ce11 defect in generalized demodicosis.

Investigations into the factors that control mite populations on dogs have been limited by the lack of a viable experimentai test system. *Demodex* **cmis** is a fiagile obligate symbiote that does not survive off the host and **in vitro** culture techniques have not been developed. In **vivo** experiments are limited by difficulties associated with reproducing lesions of dernodicosis in healthy dogs.

The isolation of mites on skin xenografks, away fiom donor systemic factors, allows for controlled introduction of lymphocytes. The comparison of mite numbers on infected syngeneic xenografis between lymphocyte treated and control groups provides a direct quantitative measure of the effect of lymphocytes on mite populations. In addition, infection of skin xenografts provides an assessment of local **skin** innate defense mechanisms in resistance to *D. canis* colonization and the contribution of mites to skin lesion development in the absence of inflammation.

4.2 USE OF CANINE SKIN XENOGRAFT-ICR SCID MOUSE CHIMERAS TO MODEL CANINE DEMODICOSIS

The majority of studies that have utilized the skin xenograft mouse model to investigate inflammatory **skin** onditions have used the scid mouse or the scid mouse **with** a combined mutation known to augment immunodeficiency, such as the beige $(Lysf^{bg})$ mutation. Early attempts to model canine demodicosis using scid/bg mice were limited by the development of the "leaky" phenotype in these mice and/or graft-versus-host

disease (GVHD) (Caswell, 1995) (personal observations). An alternative mouse, the **ICR** scid, was developed at the laboratories of Taconic (Germantown, New York, USA) and was found to have a decreased incidence of the "leaky" phenotype and is commercially available. For this reason, the ICR scid mouse **was** chosen for **an** experiment using the skin xenograft mouse model to assess the role of canine lymphocytes in host resistance to **D. mis.** This experiment with **ICR** scid mice was performed concurrent with those assessing **te26** mice for use in the xenograft model.

4.2.1 **MATERIALS AND METaODS**

4.2.1.1 Animals

Male **ICR** scid **mice,** aged six to eight weeks, were housed with two to five per microisolator cage. **An** adult, intact-male, mixed-breed dog **was** purchased **fiom and** maintained by Animal Care Services (University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada). *Demodex canis* were collected fiom **three** different dogs in the Province of Ontario

4.2.1.2 **Experirnental Desien**

In general, the experimental design outlined here **was** foilowed for eacb of the experiments in this chapter with variation in specific components as indicated.

Recipient mice were grafted with fill-thickness canine skin and grafts were allowed to heal for several weeks. After topical application, mites were incubated on skin **grafts** to allow populations to expand. Mer the last mite transfer, **D. cmis** infected skin grafts (Group-I) received autologous PBMC by intraperitoneal injection from the skin donor dog. *D. canis* infected control grafks (Group-II) received **PBS.** A second

control group (Group-III) received only PBMC and no mites. Following a second incubation period after lymphocyte transfer, skin grafts were collected and evaluated for differences in mite populations. Grafts were monitored for development of gross and histological changes. The second control group that received only PBMC was evaluated for the development of **graft** inflammation in the absence of D. **conis.** The tirneline for **the** ICR scid experiment is given below (Figure **4.2-1).**

Figure 4.2-1: Timeline for ICR scid experiment

4.2.1.3 Experimental Protocol

Plasma samples fiom 30 ICR scid mice were screened by ELISA for murine immunoglobulin and the "leaky" phenotype. Twenty-seven mice were selected that had undetectable murine immunoglobulin (undetectable **was** defined as less **than** 2 times the average background absorbency reading on the ELISA plate).

Skin grafting: The 27 ICR scid mice were grafted with full-thickness canine skin according to section **2.3** and were bandaged for 12 days (Method-B, Section **2.3.4).** *Demodex graft infection:* Twenty-five mice, supporting grafts with more prominent hair growth, were subjectively allocated to three groups with comparable grafts. Skin grafts in Group-I (10 mice) and Group-II (10 mice) were infected on three occasions with D.

cmis collected fiom different dogs, while Group-III (5 **mice)** received minera1 oil only and were bandaged. At 44 days after **grafting,** GroupI and **-II** mice each received 40 to 60 viable mites with 95% adults and only occasional nymphs, protonymphs, larvae, and eggs. Three days after the first *D. canis* transfer, each graft received an additional 60 to 80 viable mites with 80% adults, **10** to 15% nymphs, and occasional protonymphs, larvae, and eggs. **Thirty** days after the **first** *D. mis* transfer, each graR received **80** to 120 viable mites with **85%** adults and 15% **mixed** nymphs, protonyrnphs, larvae, and eggs. Grafts were protected with tape bandages for five days (Method-B, Section 2.3.4). Lymphocyte *îransfer:* At 60 days afier the last mite transfer, skin donor **PBMC** were transferred by intrapentoneal injection to rnice in Group-1 and Group-III. Each mouse received **18 x 106 PBL.** Eâch inoculum contained 75% lymphocytes **and** 85% PBMC. The cell viability was **97%.** Mice in Group-II received **PBS** only.

Skin graft and mouse evaluation: At 87 days after the last *D. canis* transfer, plasma and skin grafts were collected. Skin graft digest samples were standardized by weight and tissue samples were collected for bacteriologic culture. A necropsy **was** perfomed on **al1 mice,** including histological evaluation of tissues.

4.2.1.4 Statistical Methods

Nunibers of mites were compared between **groups** by ANOVA using the **SAS@** computer software package (Section 2.9) and the model chosen fit the ANOVA assumptions. The means and confidence intervals for PCV values were calculated using Graphpad Prim (GraphPad Software, **San** Diego, California, USA).

4.2.2 **RESULTS**

Fifteen out of 25 ICR scid mice survived the duration of the trial: five out of 10 mice remained in Group-I; eight out of 10 mice remained in Group-II; and two out of five mice remained in Group-III. Ten mice died or were euthanized due to illness or skin graft complications. An additional mouse was found dead on the trial completion date and was included with the data for mice completing the trial.

Skin grafks healed **well** after transplantation. Compared to previous expenments, there was reduced central eschar formation afier bandage removal and although hair regrowth started at the graft margins, it soon involved central areas of the graft (Figure **4.2-2).** Subsequently, the majority of skin grafis in **each** group developed extensive surfàce cnisting **with** hair loss (Figure **4.2-3).** Crusts were composed of a mixture of proliferative keratin scale **and** serocellular exudate. Surface cmsts developed primarily after D. **mis** transfer; however, crusts were also observed on non-D. *canis* treated grafts of Group-III. Ulceration of some grafts necessitated euthanasia of affected mice.

Dernodex **canis** were recovered by NaOH digestion fiom 18/20 mite inoculated **grafts** Thirteen mice that survived the trial and that had **&rafts** supporting mites are described. In the PBMC treated group (Group-I, $n = 5$), the calculated total number of mites per skin graft digest sample ranged between 1,480 and 10,640 (mean \pm SEM; 4,512 \pm 1,588.12). For the PBS control group (Group-II, $n = 8$), mite numbers ranged between 40 and 3,720 (mean \pm SEM; 1,065 \pm 442). *Demodex canis* were not recovered from mice in Group-III. There was a significant treatment effect $(P = 0.0174)$ on mite numbers between Groups-1 and II. The presence of a significant interaction between treatment and stage type $(P = 0.029)$ indicated that this treatment effect was not uniform for the

different stages. This interaction occurred because there was a significant difference between the number of eggs ($P < 0.001$), larvae ($P = 0.014$), and nymphs ($P = 0.032$) but not adults ($P > 0.05$) for the two groups. There was no significant association ($P > 0.05$) between weight of the digest samples and calculated mite totals and for this reason Figure **4.2-4** shows the calculated mite and stage totals per digest sarnple- Adults and nymphs tended to be more numerous **than** eggs and immature stages (Figure **4-2-43).** Table 4.2-1 lists the calculated total mites per skin graft digest sample and the calculated totals for each life-cycle stage. Table 4.2-2 lists the calculated total mites per gram of skin graft digest sample and the stage totals per gram.

Histological analysis revealed extensive inflammation affecting the majority of grafts in all groups. Prominent aggregates of coccoid bacteria, typical of Staphylococcus spp., were associated with neutrophilic folliculitis and furunculosis as well as exudation, crusting, and epidermzl ulceration (Figure **4.2-5).** Micro-abscesses, vascular thrombosis and/or regional coagulation necrosis were present in the dermis of more severely affected grafts- A perivascular to diffuse lymphohistiocytic infiltrate **was** present in the dennis of grafts in the treatment group and both control groups and **was** generally more pronounced in PBMC treated grafts (Figure 4.2-6). Lymphocytes infiltrated the interfollicular and follicular epidermis and were associated with vacuolation and single cell necrosis of keratinocytes in several **grafls** that **did** not receive canine **PBMC** (Figure **4.2-7).** This lymphocytic interface reaction **was** often associated with focal infiltration by eosinophils. *Demodex canis* were observed in scattered hair follicles in sections from 11 of the 20 grafts receiving mites and in sections fiom eight of the 13 grafts on mice that completed the trial. A few mites were visible in sections fiom one skin **graft** that **was** negative for

mites by NaOH digestion, making the overall **D. cunïs** infection rate 95%. **Hair** follicles containing mites did not appear to be directly targeted by inflammation. In sections from several grafts, inflammation and ulceration disrupted skin graft architecture and only a few intact follicles were available for examination.

Bacterial culture of 19/25 skin grafis recovered 2 to **4+** pure growth of *Stuphylococcus intemedius* from 15 grafis- No bactena were recovered fiom 212 grafts cultured from Group-III that did not receive mites.

Plasma samples were available fiom 19/25 **rnice** for immunoglobulin evaluation. Murine IgG was detectable in plasma samples from 12 mice. The calculated concentration of murine IgG in mouse plasma ranged from 0.62 to 478.16 μ g/mL (mean f SD, 58.19 **f** 142.2 **pg/mL).** Canine **IgG** was detected in **6/7** mice that received PBMC (4/5 in Group-I, and 2/2 in Group-III). The calculated concentration of canine IgG ranged from 0.2 to 5,290 μ g/mL. For Group-I, the mean detectable canine IgG concentration $(\pm SD)$ was 11.42 μ g/mL (± 10.32) and for Group-III, 0.2 μ g/mL was detected in one mouse and 5290 μ g/mL was detected in a second mouse (Appendix 3). Canine IgG was not detected in plasma samples from mice in Group-II that did not receive canine PBMC or in pre-experiment plasma samples fiom al1 mice. Anemia was not detected and the PCV for **rnice** at completion of the trial was similar to that of preexperimental values and ranged between 41 and 49 (Table 4.2-3). Hemolysis, observed in one blood sample $(PCV = 36)$, was attributed to sample handling.

Necropsy evaluation revealed that three mice died of thymic lymphoma. The remaining seven mice that became il1 and were euthanized, or that died, had necropsy findings consistent **with** systemic bacterial infection. AfFected mice had rnultifocal **areas**

of hepatic necrosis and/or neutrophilic hepatitis, splenic enlargement, and prominent cellularity of pulmonary parenchyma. Affected mice supported skin grafts with extensive bacterial colonization and suppurative inflammation. **GVHD** was not detected.

4.2.3 **DISCUSSION**

PBMC administration was associated with a measurable effect on the *D. canis* populations on skin grafts. More mites were recovered from grafts on PBMC treated mice suggesting that lymphocytes had a trophic effect on mite populations.

The basic elements required to model demodicosis were successfully accomplished. Full-thickness canine skin grafts were developed with improved hair growth compared to previous experiments. A high **D. cmis** infection rate (95%) **was** achieved on skin grafts and mites were actively replicating on skin grafts, producing all life cycle stages. Canine lymphocytes survived transfer to **ICR** scid mice as indicated by the presence of circulating canine **IgG (6/7)** at four weeks post PBMC inoculation The concentrations of canine **IgG** detected were similar in range to those observed for **tg26 mice** (Section 3.3). Although **many** of the conditions required for testing the hypothesis were accomplished, the development of inflammation and extensive graft disruption, attributable to factors other **than** canine lymphocyte transfer or mite infection, made it difficult to interpret a causal relationship between the differences in **mite** numbers and Lymphocyte transfer. Skin lesions started after **D.** *mis* infection and prior to leukocyte transfer on many grafts and grafts in Group-III that did not receive mites also developed lesions. These changes were primarily attrïbuted to two causes. First, S. *intemedius* **graft infection was associated with suppurative graft inflammation and considerable**

architectural disruption. Repeated *D. canis* infection of skin grafts likely contributed to the high incidence of bacterial infection.

Second, a significant percentage of the **ICR** scid mice developed a degree of immunocompetence and were considered to have acquired the "leaky" phenotype. Murine IgG was detected by ELISA assay in 63% of mice (12/19) over the course of the experiment. The graft rejection reaction, identified as an interface dematitis and spontaneous infiltration of grafts with lymphocytes and macrophages in non-canine lymphocyte treated grafts, provided further evidence of the "leaky" phenotype. Graft rejection was considered to have directly contributed to gross lesions.

The development of the "leaky" phenotype in scid/bg and ICR scid mice was a limiting factor for the use of these strains in the skin xenograft mouse model of canine demodicosis (Caswell, 1995). Typically, 2 to 25% of **C.B.-17** scid mice become "leaky", have antigen receptor positive B and T lymphocytes, and produce immunoglobulin (Bosma **et** al., 1988; Carroll & Bosma, 1989; Mosier **et al.,** 1993). In this experiment, we attempted to minimize **any** effect of the "lealq" phenotype by prescreening mice and by choosing a mouse strain (ICR scid) considered more resistant to this complication than C.B.-17 scid mice (Taconic, Germantown, New York, USA).

The results of this experiment and preliminary experiments using scid/bg mice suggest that experimental conditions in this study favored the development of the "leaky" phenotype in scid mice. The incidence of the "leaky" phenotype in C.B.-17 scid mice increases with age and therefore the long duration of the current grafting experiments (over 5 months) could have been a contributing factor (Bosma et al., 1988; Carroll & Bosma, 1989; Mosier **et** al., 1993). One theory regarding the development of the **"leaky"**

phenotype is that the **V(D)J** recombinase altered by the scid mutation could revert to the wild-type phenotype under selective pressure (Petrini et al., 1990; Kotloff et al., 1993a; Kotloff et al., 1993b). An increased incidence of the "leaky" phenotype might be expected in long-term experiments in which mice are exposed to foreign antigens andior infiammation (Taylor, 1994). In the current study, scid mice were exposed multiple times to foreign antigens, including xenogeneic skin grafts and PBMC, *D. canis* infection, and, for several mice, bacterid infection, ail of which were likely associated **with** some degree of inflammation / tissue damage at the time of exposure. Finally, there is limited information on the properties of canine scid xenografts; this species interaction could favor the development of the "leaky" phenotype.

Given the number of changes that were present in skin grafts, other possible causes were considered that **could** have contributed to the differences in mite numbers between experimental groups. However, because of the 1ow numbers of mice and within group variation, a clear association could not be made between mite numbers and such changes as bacteriai infection, evidence of **graft** rejection, detection of **murine** IgG, etc.

Canine skin grafts healed well on ICR scid mice and the early healing period was considered improved over the results of full-thickness **graftïng** to tg26 mice (Section 3.2). Central eschar development occurred after bandage removal but was not as severe. **This** decrease in ischemic damage **was** attributed to the extended bandaging times (12 versus 7 days) and not to the difference in mouse types or skin donors. This conclusion is supported by the observation that full-thickness canine skin grafts on scid/bg mice, when bandaged for 7 days, healed similarly to those on tge26 mice (data not shown).

The survival rate for ICR scid mice in this experiment was low. Necropsy results identified systemic bacterial infection as the most common cause of mouse mortality, which developed secondarily to skin graft infection. **Thymic** lymphoma was associated with the death of 3 out of 25 ICR scid mice (12%) and a similar incidence was reported for C-B.-17 scid rnice (up to 15%) (Custeret **al.,** 1985).

Evidence of GVHD **was** not identified, although the extent of systemic bacterial infection in some mice complicated this interpretation. Hemolytic anemia, a significant complications of previous experiments with scid/bg mice, was not observed (Caswell, 1995). Caswell (1995) concluded that "leakiness" in scid/bg mice contributed to the development of GVHD. However, in the current experiment, a high incidence of the "leaky" phenotype was detected, suggesting that other factors related to dog, mouse **strain,** or mouse immunodeficiency contribute to the development of GVHD in canine PBMC scid chimeras.

Despite the complications of "leakiness" and S. *intermedius* pyoderma, there was a significant difference in the mite populations in Group-I that received canine lymphocytes compared to Group-II that did not. This raises the interesting question of the effects of local inflammation on mite reproduction. Further experiments to address this issue require the use of a new immunodeficient mouse strain with the skin xenograft mouse model in order to limit the effects of "leakiness".

Figure 4.2-2: Appearance of two representative full-thickness canine skin grafts on ICR scid mice prior the development of graft lesions. Improved grafting results are evident as **skin grafts developed hair growth in central and peripherd areas.**

Figure 4.2-3: Appearance of six representative full-thickness canine skin grafts on ICR scid mice at experiment completion; Group-I (A, B), Group-II (C, D) and Group-III (E, **F**). Skin grafts in each group have developed extensive surface crusting and hair loss.

 $\ddot{}$

Figure-4.2-2

Figure-4.2-3

Figure 4.2-4: (A) Bar graph showing the calculated total number of D. canis per digest sarnple **(mean f** standard error) recovered **fiom** canine **skui grafts** on **ICR** scid mice by NaOH digestion; PBMC treated group (Group-I) and PBS control group (Group-II). Mites were not recovered from skin graft digest samples for Group-III (not shown). (B) The calculated total number of each *D. canis* life-cycle stage per digest sample (mean \pm standard error) recovered from **grafks** in **Group-1** and Group-II.

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\ddot{}$

GROUP-1 (PBMC) EXECUP-II (PBS)

 \bullet

Figure 4.2-5: Photomicrographs of less severely affected full-thickness canine skin grafts from ICR scid mice at experiment completion; (A) D. *canis* **and PBMC treated graR** (Group-I); **(B)** *D. canis* and PBS treated graft (Group-II); **(C)** PBMC treatment only (Group-III). Neutrophilic folliculitis and furunculosis (A, arrow), as well as extensive **surface crusting, are evident.** Many **large bacterial colonies are present** (B, within **circle).** A perivascular to diffuse lymphohistiocytic infiltrate is present in the dermis of all grafts. Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections $(magnitude = X5)$

Figure 4.2-6: Photornicrographs of skin grafts fiorn ICR scid mice with prominent dermal infiltrate of mononuclear inflammatory cells; *D. canis* infection and PBMC treatment, Group-I (A) and after PBMC treatment only, Group-III (B). Hematoxylin and **eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections (magnification = X75)**

 \sim

Figure 4.2-7: Photomicrographs showing inflammation in skin grafts fiom two ICR scid mice that did not receive canine PBMC. A lymphocytic interface dermatitis (A) and interface folliculitis **(B)** are evident. Lymphocytes infiltrate the epithelium and are **associated with vacuolation and occasionai single cell necrosis of basal keratinocytes.** Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections (magnification $=$ X75)

 $\ddot{}$

Table 4.2-1: Calculated Number of *D. canis* **Per Skin Graft Digest Sample Collected from Skin Grafts on ICR Scid Mice**

Group-1 *(D. canis* **plus PBMC)**

Group-II *(D. canis* **pIus** *PBS)*

Group-III (PBMC treatment only)

Demodex canis was not recovered from any skin grafts in Group-III that were not infected with mites.

Group-1 *(D.* **canis plus PBMC)**

[*(D. canis* **plus PBS)**

Group-III (PBMC treatment only)

÷.

Demodex. canis **was** not recovered **fiom any** skin **grafls** in Group-III that did not receive mites.

Table 4.2-3: PCV Values for KR scid Mice at Experiment Onset and Completion

Group-I (*D. canis plus PBMC*)

Group-II (*D. canis* plus PBS)

Group-III (PBMC treatment only)

Mean PCV and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for **mice (n=25)** at the onset of the ICR scid experiment: Mean = 44.08 , Lower 95% CI = 42.88 , Upper 95% CI = **45.28**

* - SampIe hemolyzed after blood collection

t - Mouse died **pnor** to completion of the experiment

4.3 USE OF CANINE SKIN-XENOGRAFT Tgg26 MOUSE-CHIMERAS TO MODEL CANINE DEMODICOSIS

The use ICR scid or scid/bg mice for xenograft experiments modeling canine demodicosis **was** complicated by the development of the "leaky" phenotype (Section 4.2) (Caswell, 1995). Other mouse mutants have not been investigated in detail for modeling inflammatory skin conditions with the xenograft modei, particularly not with canine tissues. The current study identified the tge26 transgenic mouse (Wang et al., 1994; Wang *et al.*, 1995) for use in the xenograft model, demonstrating that it could support canine skin grafts and lymphocytes.

Two experiments were perforrned with tg26 **mice.** The first foliowed the design of the ICR scid mouse experiment (Section **4.2).** In the second experiment, canine lymphocytes were transferred to tg26 **mice** before and after *D.* **mis** infection was established on skin grafts, to more closely mimic naturaily occurring demodicosis where lymphocytes are present prior to mite population expansion.

4.3.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.3.1.1 Animals

Male transgenic tge26 mice, aged eight to 12 weeks, were housed with two to three mice per micro-isolator cage. Adult, intact-male, mixed-breed dogs were purchased fiom Animal Care Services (University of Guelph, **Ontario,** Canada). *Demodex cunis* were collected fiom dogs in the Province of Ontario.

4.3.1.2 Experimental Design

Experiment one: The experimental design followed that of the ICR scid experiment (Section 4.2), except that skin grafts were infected with *D. canis* only once. Group-I received *D. canis* and autologous PBMC intraperitoneally, after mite infection had been established. Group-II received D. **canis** and PBS. A time-line for experiment one with tge26 mice is given below (Figure 4.3-1).

Figure 4.3-1: Timeline for experiment one with $tez26$ mice

Experiment two: Skin grafts were infected with *D. canis* only once. Group-I received autologous PBMC by intraperatoneal injection one day prior to *D. canis* infection and **again** after infection Group-II received **D. canis** and PB S. A **timeline** for expenment two is given below (Figure 4.3-2).

Figure 4.3-2: Timeline for experiment two with tge26 mice

4.3.1.3 ExperimentaI Protocol

Skin grafting: Experiment one: Twenty tge26 mice were grafted with full-thickness canine skin according Section 3.2. Skin grafts were protected with cast bandages for nine to 12 days (Method-A, Section 2.3.4). **Experiment two:** Fifteen tge26 mice were grafted according to Section **2.3.3** and were protected with tape bandages for seven to nine days (Method-B, Section 2.3.4).

Demodex graft infection: **Experiment one:** Twelve mice, supporting grafts with adequate **hair** growth, were subjectively allocated to two groups (six mice each) with grafts of comparable quality. Skin grafis were infected withD. **cmis** 70 days after grafting. Each graft received 250 to 350 mites with 80% viable adults, 15% nymphs, and occasional protonymphs, larvae, and eggs. Grafts were protected with cast bandages for five days (Methoa-A, Section 2.3 -4). **Experiment two:** Ten **skin** grafts were selected and distributed into **two** groups with comparable graf3s. At 56 days after **grafting,** each graft received 90 to 110 D. canis composed of 85% viable adults, 10% nymphs, and occasional protonymphs, Iarvae, and eggs. **Grafts** were protected with tape bandages for five days (Method-B, Section 2.3.4).

Lymphocyte **tramfer: Experiment one: At 60** days **poa D. canis** infection, PBMC were transferred fiom the skin donor dog to **six mice** in the treatment group (Group-I) by intraperitoneal injection. Each mouse received 29×10^6 PBL. The inoculum contained 70% lymphocytes and **90% PBMC.** The ce11 viability **was** 98%. The remaining six mice (Group-II) received PBS. Experiment **two:** Five mice in Group-1 were inoculated wth PBMC one day prior to *D. canis* infection and then again 60 days after infection. On the first leukocyte inoculation, each mouse received 36×10^6 viable PBL by intraperitoneal injection. This inoculum contained 71% lymphocytes and 90% PBMC. Cell viability was 97%. On the second leukocyte inoculation, each mouse received 35 x 10⁶ viable

PBL. This second inoculum contained 65% lymphocytes and 86% PBMC. The **cell** viability was **98%. Mice** in Group-II received **PBS** at both transfer times.

Skin graft and mouse evaluation: Experiment one and two: plasma samples and skin grafts were collected 90 days after *D. canis* infection. Skin graft digest samples were standardized by the number of active hair follicle units. For **experiment two**, graft tissue sections were stained with anti-CD3 antibody to identify T-cells (Section 2.5.2). Tissue sections were aIso stained with mouse specific anti-CD45 antibody.

4.3.1.4 Statistical Methods

Statistical evaluation was performed according to Section 4.2.1.4.

4.3.2 **RESULTS**

In experiment one, 10 out of 12 tg ϵ 26 mice survived the duration of the experiment; six **mice** remained in **the** PBMC treated group and four mice remained in the control group. In experiment two, six mice survived and three out of five mice remained in both the PBMC treated group and the control group. Four mice died or were euthanized due to illness prior to the second leukocyte transfer.

Skin grafts **healed** on **mice** and hair density and length were variable between **skin &rafts** and were most prominent for all grafts at the completion of the trial (Figure **4.3-3).** A central eshar developed on most grafts and was associated with scarring and alopecia. This change **was** fess prominent in skin grafts in experiment one. In experiment one, the surfiace appearance or haïr growth did not change appreciably afer **D. mis** infection or after PBMC transfer. In experiment two, the majority of **skin** grafls developed very mild

scaling prior to **D. cmis** infection or lymphocyte **transfer** and this became slightly more prominent on skin grafls by completion of the trial.

In experiment one, NaOH digestion of skin graft samples recovered D. canis from 12/12 grafk. The following information pertains to the 10 mice that completed the experiment. For the **PBMC** treated mice (Group-1), the calculated number of mites per skin graft digest sample ranged from 540 to $6,153.27$ (mean \pm SEM, $2,100.56 \pm 858.96$). For the controls (Group-II), the calculated number of mites per skin graft sample ranged between 185 and 4,959.50 (mean \pm SEM, 1,691.12 \pm 1,115.64). In Group-I, the average number of mites per active hair follicle unit ranged between 10.57 and 60.32 (mean \pm SEM; 25.75 ± 7.85). For Group-II, the average number of mites per follicle unit ranged from 7.5 to 59.75 (mean \pm SEM, 26.20 \pm 11.49). There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) between Group-1 and Group-II when calculated mite totals or mites per follicle unit were compared, nor **was** there a significant difference betweeo individual life-cycle stages. There **was** a significant positive **tinear** association (P = **0.017)** between the **number** of hair follicle **units** and the calculated numbers of mites per skin graft digest sample. For this reason, Figure 4.3-4 illustrates the calculated mite and stage totals per hair follicle unit for each group. Immature stages were more numerous and more eggs were recovered than larva ($P \le 0.001$) nymphs ($P \le 0.001$) or adults ($P \le 0.001$) on skin grafts within a group (Figure 4.3-4B). The average number of each life cycle stage had a similar distribution in the control and PBMC treated groups (Figure 4.3-4B). For comparison to other experiments in this chapter, Figure 4.3-5 shows the calculated mite **and** stage totals per digest sample for both groups. Table **4.3-1** Iists the calculated

number of mites **and** stages per digest sample and Table 4.3-2 lists these numbers per hair follicle unit,

In experiment two, mites were recovered fiom 7/10 **skin** grafls by **NaOH** digestion. Of the 6 mice completing the tnal, mites were recovered fiom 2/3 grafts in the PBMC treated group and from 3/3 grafts in the control group. For the PBMC treated group, the calculated total mites, per graft sample, ranged between 0 and 787.5 (mean \pm SEM, 420.83 ± 228.93). In the control group, the calculated mite totals per graft sample ranged between 175 and 550 (mean \pm SEM, 337.50 \pm 111.10). For the PBMC treated group, the calculated number of mites per active hair follicle ranged between O **and** 8.85 (mean \pm SEM, 4.68 \pm 2.56). In the control group, the calculated number of mites per follicle unit ranged from 2.43 to 5.91 (mean \pm SD, 4.11 \pm 1.00). Because of the low numbers surviving in experimental groups and because analysis was sensitive to the smoothing factor chosen, statistical evaiuation of treatment effect **was** not possible. There did not appear to be a difference between the calculated mite (or **stage)** totals per digest sample, or between the number of mites (or stages) per follicle unit, for Group-1 and Group-II (Figure 4.3-6). Despite the smoothing factor chosen $(1, 0.1, 0.01)$, there **was** a significant positive association between the number of mites and the number follicle units ($P = 0.014$, smoothing factor = 1). Figure 4.3-6 shows the mite and stage totals per hair follicle unit. Immature stages tended to be more numerous **than** mature stages (Figure 4.4-6B). There were significantly more eggs on skin grafts than nymphs $(P = 0.006)$ or adults $(P < 0.001)$, and although there tended to be more eggs than larvae, this difference was not significant $(P = 0.201$, smoothing factor = 1). The number of each life cycle stage had a similar distribution in the PBMC treated and control groups (Figure

4.4-6B). Figure 4.3-7 shows the calculated mite and stage totals per digest sample for the Group-I and Group-II. Table 4.3-3 lists the calculated number of mites and stages for each skin graft digest sample and Table 4.3-4 reports these totals per hair follicle unit. The number of active hair follicle units ranged between 18 and 1 15 for experiment one and between 63 and 91 for experiment two (Table 4.3-1 and 4.3-3)

Histological anaiysis confirmed **D. canis** infection in al1 grafts in experiment one and in 8/10 grafts in experiment two. One mouse that completed experiment two, and that **was** negative for mites when assessed by NaOH digestion, had several mites in histological section and therefore the infection rate was **8û%.** Mites were located in the superficial $1/3$ to $1/2$ of primary and secondary hair follicles (Figure 4.3-8 and Figure 4.3-**9).** Mites were also observed in sebaceous ducts **and** occasionally in sebaceous glands (Figure 4.3-10). Mites led to mild dilation of the follicle lumen and thinning of the external root sheath epithelium but were separated from viable follicular keratinocytes by an intact keratinized layer, even when located in **thin** walled sebaceous ducts. In experiment one, inflammation was absent or minimal in skin grafts and was not associated with PBMC treatment and did not target *D. canis* infected hair follicles. Occasional aggregates of mixed inflammatory cells, predominately histiocytes, were present at the margins of sorne grafts, often around a hair shaft fiee in the dermis. In a few skin grafts, mild focal disruption of the epidermis (likely by mild graft trauma) was accompanied by focal granulocyte infiltration.

In experiment **two** however, a more prominent infiammatory ce11 infiltrate was observed in skin grafts from both the treatment and control groups. In one graft in the PBMC treated group (Group-I), a lymphocytic mural folliculitis targeted follicles,

including those containing mites (Figure 4.3-1 **1).** Lymphocytes infiltrated the foilicle epithelium and were associated with vacuolation, occasional single ce11 necrosis and disorganization of the extemal root sheath keratinocytes. Eosinophils infiltrated the perifollicular dermis around several affected follicles. A similar lymphocytic reaction targeted patchy areas of the surface epidermis and a penvascular infiltrate of lymphocytes and macrophages was present in **the** dermis (Figure **4.3-1 1).** A skin graft in the contrd $group (Group-II) had a similar prominent mononuclear cell infinite in the dermis that$ **was** associated with scattered lymphocytic exocytosis in the surface epidermis and occasional single ce11 necrosis of keratinocytes (Figure 4-3-12}. Additional skin grafts in the control group had a mild perivascular rnononuclear ce11 infiltrate, as **did** grafts in the treatment group.

In both treatment and control groups for the two experiments, graft architecture resembled pregrafted skin. **Primary** and secondary hair follicles were present (usually near the **rnargin** of grafts) and 25 to **75%** of follicles were in the anagen phase of the hair cycle. Hair follicles, sebaceous glands and apocrine glands were morphologically similar to donor skin. Normal basket weave orthokeratotic keratinization predominated. Additional histological findings included the presence regional to diffuse **demal** fibrosis with central atrophy of adnexa in several grafts. **Mast** cells were scattered individually throughout the supeficial and deep dermis. Aggregates of melanomacrophages were present in areas where hair follicles had atrophied. Mild irregular epidermal hyperplasia was common. Follicular keratosis was mild to moderate, affected the majority of skin grafts and **was** associated with cystic dilation of follicles in some grafts. Similar changes were observed in uninfected grafts that were not included in the trial.

Immunohistochemical staining of sections with **anti-CD3** antibody confïrmed the presence of T-lymphocytes in grafts from both the treatment and control groups for experiment two (Figure 4.3-13). CD3 positive cells surrounded hair follicles and infiltrated extemal root sheath epithelium. Positive cells also infiltrated the surface epidermis and were scattered in the dermis. Staining of serial sections with mouse specific anti-CD45 antibody identified mouse cells in the same areas as the CD3 positive cells (Figure $4.3-14$).

In experiment one, canine IgG was detected (0.70 μ g/mL) in 1/6 PBMC treated mice. In experiment two, canine **IgG** was detected in 3/3 surviving PBMC treated mice and the concentrations were 2,270 μ g/ml, 0.3 μ g/mL and 3.66 μ g/ml. Canine IgG was also detected $(1.32 \mu g/mL)$ in plasma from one mouse in the control group. Canine IgG was not detected in plasma samples fiom **al1** other control **mice** in both experiments or from pre-graft plasma samples for all mice.

Anemia was not detected. The PCV at completion of the trial was similar to that of pre-treatment values and ranged between 42 and 47 (Table 4.3 -5 and Table 4.3-6).

At total of six tg26 mice died over the course of the two experiments. In experiment one, two mice died in Group-II and these mice lacked gross or histological tissue changes; a cause of dath **was** not determined. For experiment **two,** one mouse in Group-I died with splenic lymphoma, while a second mouse died from complications of incisor overgrowth. In Group-II of this second experiment, the cause of death for one rnouse was not detemined and adequate diagnostic samples were **not** available fiom a another mouse. Gross and histological examinations did not reveal evidence of GVHD.

4.3.3 DISCUSSION

PBMC treatment of mice with *D. canis* infected skin grafts did not have a significant effect on mite numbers compared to controls. The highest numbers of mites recovered from a graft in experiment one was from the only mouse that had measurable plasma levels of canine **IgG.** Similarly, in experiment two, the highest numbers of mites were associated with a mouse with the highest levels of canine **IgG,** suggesting that lymphocytes may have interacted with mites.

Canine **IgG** was measured in only 1/6 mice (16%) in experiment one this was considered a low reconstitution rate compared to previous experiments with **tg26** mice where 1 5/17 (88%) **mice** had measurable **IgG** at four weeks after inoculation (Section 3.3). Ifthis result reflects reconstitution of mice with canine T-cells, then the low survival of canine lymphocytes in tge26 mice in experiment one could explain the lack of a significant treatment effect on mite numbers.

Ail of the mice (3/3) in experiment **two** had detectable levels of circulating **canine IgG** and this was expected given that mice were inoculated on two occasions with canine PBMC. In this experiment, the introduction of canine lymphocytes into tge26 mice just prior to **D. amis** infection more closely parallels the interaction of mites and the host during naturally occurring disease. Few **mice** survived this experiment and wide intragroup variation in mite numbers made it difficult to determine if PBMC treatment affected the number of mits on skin grafts. The impact of PBMC treatment was further complicated by evidence that some tg26 **mice** developed functional lymphocytes.

Tp26 mice were considered to have fùnctional lymphocytes because histological evidence of graft rejection was present in skin grafts from both the PBMC treated and

control group. An interface dermatitis and interface folliculitis was associated with infiltration of **CD3+** T-cells. **IHC** staining with murine specific anti-CD45 monoclonal antibody identified murine cells within these lesions. Similar to the graft rejection reaction observed with ICR scid mice (Section 4.2), eosinophils accompanied the lymphocytes targeting the epidermis. **CD3+** T-cells were subsequently identified in lymphoid tissues of tgs26 rnice that did not receive **any** foreign tissues (neither canine skin grafts nor PBMC) (personal observation, data not shown). **This** would suggest that T-cell "leakiness" **is** intnnsic to the tge26 mice and was not induced by experimental conditions.

As part of this graft rejection reaction, a prominent murine lymphocytic interface folliculitis developed in a canine skin graft supporting D . canis. This graft supported the highest number of *D. canis* and the graft rejection reaction, similar histological changes of naturally occurring demodicosis, did not appear to limit mite colonization (Caswell et **aL,** 1997).

Similar to the previous experiment with **ICR** scid mice (Section **4.2), high** D. **canis** infection rates were **achieved using** *te26* **mice** in experiment one (100%) and experiment **two (80%).** In contrast to the ICR scid experiment, more immature stages were present than adult mites on skin grafts in both experiments with tge26 mice, suggesting that the kinetics of mite replication **was** different. Because mites were collected from different sources, the differences in mite populations between experiments could not be attributed to other experimental parameters. There was a significant positive linear association between mites recovered from skin grafk digest samples and the number of follicle units on each digest sample. This finding was expected because **D. cmis**

require the hair follicle environment to survive and reinforces the need for uniform hair growth on grafts when modeling canine demodicosis.

In experiment one, skin grafts did not develop gross lesions der **D.** *cmis* infection or after lymphocyte transfer. Grafts continued to grow hair, despite the presence of large numbers of actively proliferating mites, and, by histological evaluation, mites did not appear to directly damage hair foliicles. This observation provided evidence that *D. canis* overgrowth on skin grafts is not associated with morphologic or fiinctional alterations of hair follicles. Surface **scaling was** considered to be **slightly** more prominent for **the** majority of skin grafts in experiment two at the end of the trial and this change was attributed to inflammation associated with graft rejection.

After healing, skin grafts varied in quality in both experiments. Central eschar formation, indicative of ischemic damage, was similar to that observed for full-thickness skin grafts on tg26 mice (Section **3.2).** This finding confirmed that the variability in graft quality was not due to differences between canine skin donors. However, graft healing in experiment one **was** considered improved, showing less severe eshar formation and better hair regrowth, compared to experiment two. This improvement was attributed to longer bandaging times (9-12 days versus 7-9 days) and was similar to that observed for grafts on ICR scid mice bandaged for 12 days (Section 4.2).

Tg26 mice were not hardy and did not tolerate the multiple procedures and longterm nature of these experiments well. Death of tgs26 mice over the course of experiments (17 and 40%) **was** a significant complication. Mice were lost for a variety of reasons and no cornmon, preventable, cause of death was identified. One mouse died due to splenic lymphoma, which was observed previously for two tg26 mice (Section 3.3).

As with previous expenments using tg26 **mice,** the development of GVHD was not observed and hemolytic anernia was not identified.

In summary, a significant difference in mite numbers was not observed after the introduction of canine PBMC to mice supporting *D. canis* infected grafts. Although, higher numbers of mites were associated with higher **mouse** plasma canine **IgG** levels suggesting that, like the experiment with ICR scid mice, canine PBMC stimulated mite proiiferation. The grafting resuits point to increasing the bandaging time to improve the quality full-thickness canine skin xenografts and reinforce the need to develop **well** haired uniform skin grafts for the purposes of modeling demodicosis. The development of functional mouse lymphocytes, similar to "lealq" scid/bg and ICR scid **rnice,** and the decreased longevity of tge26 mice makes this mouse type unsuitable for experiments modeling demodicosis; a new immunodeficient mouse must be sought for these purposes. **Figure 4.3-3: Appearance of representative canine skin grafts on tg26 mice that received canine PBMC (Group-I) or PBS (Group-III) once after** *D. canis* **infection (experiment one); Group-1 (A), and Group-II** (B). **Skin grafls did noit develop gross changes after** *D. cunis* **infection or after canine PBMC transfer.**

and the state of the state of the state

 \bar{z}

Figure 4.3-4: Bar **graph** showing the results of D. **canis** enurneration, by NaOH digestion, for canine skin **grafts** on **te26** mice that received canine PBMC or **PBS** once after mite infection (experiment one). (A) Calculated total number of **D.** *canis* per hair follicle unit (mean \pm standard error) recovered grafts in the PBMC treated group (Group-**1)** and PBS control group (Group-II). **(B)** The calculated total number of each *D. canis* life-cycle stage per hair follicle unit (mean \pm standard error) recovered from graft samples for Sroup-1 and Group-II.

 $\overline{}$

 \sim

 \bar{z}

MITES PER FOLLICLE

 $\ddot{}$

Figure 4.3-5: Bar graph showing the results of *D. canis* enumeration, by NaOH **digestion, for canine skin grafts on te26 mice that received canine PBMC or PBS once** after mite infection (experiment one). (A) Calculated total number of D . *canis* per graft **digest sample (mean t standard error) recovered nom grafls in the PBMC îreated group (Group-1) and PBS control group (Group-II). (B) The calculated total number of each D.** *canis* life-cycle stage per graft digest sample (mean \pm standard error) recovered from graft **in Group-1 and Group-II.**

Figure 4.3-6: Bar graph showing the results of D . *canis* enumeration, by NaOH digestion, for **canine skin gr& on te26 mice that** received canine PBMC or **PBS** twice, before and after mite infection (experiment two). (A) The calculated total number of D . *canis* per follicle unit (mean \pm standard error) recovered from grafts in the PBMC treated group (Group-I) and PBS control group (Group-II). (B) The calculated total number of **each** *D. canis* life-cycle stage per follicle unit (mean \pm standard error) recovered from grafts in Group-1 and Group-II.

Figure 4.3-7: Bar graph showing the results of *D. canis* enumeration, by NaOH **digestion, for canine skin gras on tg26 mice that received canine PBMC or PBS twice, before and after mite infection (experiment two). (A) The calculated total number of D. amis per skin gr& digest sample (mean i standard error) for the PBMC treated group** (Group-I) **and PBS control group (Group-Il).** (B) **The calculated total number of each D. canis life-cycle stage per graft digest sample (mean** \pm **standard error) recovered from** grafts in Group-I and Group-II.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\overline{}$

 \bar{z}

Figure 4.3-8: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft from a tge26 mouse that received PBMC once (Group-I), after mite infection (experiment one). (A) Low magnification shows the overall graft morphology and lack of inflammatory cell infiltrates (magnification $=$ X10). **(B)** At higher magnification, numerous cross sections of D . *canis* (arrow) are visible within follicle lumens (magnification = $X25$). **Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections**

 $\ddot{}$

Figure 4.3-9: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft from a tg ϵ 26 mouse that received PBS once (Group-II), after mite infection (experiment one). (A) Low magnification shows the overall graft morphology and lack of inflammatory cell **infiltrates (magnification** = **X10).** (B) **At higher magnification, numerous cross sections of** *D. mis* **(arrow) are visible within follicle lumens (magnification** = **X25).** Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections

Figure 4.3-10: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft from a tge26 **mouse that received PBMC (Group-1) or PBS (Group-II) once, der mite infection** (experiment one). (A) Tissue section from a graft in Group-I with several *D. canis* **(arrow) within a dilated sebaceous gland duct (magnification= X50).** (B) **Tissue section** from a graft in Group-II shows *D. canis* (arrow) within a sebaceous gland lobule **(magnincation** = **X40). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections**

 \mathbf{B}

 \mathbf{A}

Figure 4.3-11: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft from a tge26 mouse that had received canine PBMC twice (Group-1), before and der mite infection (experiment two). (A) Low magnification shows the overall graft morphology and generalized nature of inflammatory cell infiltrates (magnification $=$ X10). **(B)** At higher magnification, a **ly** mp hocytic interface folliculitis is observed to target a follicle containing *D.* **canis** (arrow) (magnification = **X70).** (C) Lymphocytes also infiltrate the surface epidemis (magnification =X70). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections

Figure 4.3-12: Photomicrographs of a canine skin graft on a tge26 mouse that had **received canine PBS twice (Group-II), before and after mite infection (experiment two). (A) Low magnification shows the overall graft morphology and generalized nature of inflammatory ce11 infiltrates (magnification** = **X10).** (B, **C) Higher magnification shows lymphocytes infiltrating the epidermis. Lymphocytes are associated with occasiond single cells necrosis of keratinocytes (arrows)(magnification** = **X50). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections**

Figure 4.3-13: Photomicrographs of CD3 immunostained canine skin grafts from tge26 **mice that had received canine PBMC (Group-1) or PBS (Group-II), before and after mite infection (expenment two). Positive immunoreaction with anti-CD3 antibody identifies many T-cells (arrows) in skin grafts from Group-I (A) and Group-II (B)** (magnification = **X100).** Avidin-Biotin complex peroxidase method, diaminobenzidine (DAB) **chrornagen, hematoxy lin counterstaui.**

Figure 4.3-14: Photomicrographs of CD45 immunostained canine skin grafts from tge26 **mice that had received canine PBMC (Group-1) or PBS (Group-Q, before and after mite** infection (experiment two). Positive immunoreaction with anti-CD45 antibody identifies murine mononuclear cells consistent with T-cells (arrows) in canine skin grafts from Group-I (A) and Group-II **(B)** (magnification $=$ X100). Avidin-Biotin complex peroxidase method, diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromagen, hematoxylin counterstain.

 $\ddot{}$

Table 4.3-1: Calculated Number of *D. canis* Per Follicle Unit on Digest Samples from Skin Grafts on Tge26 Mice that Received PBMC or PBS Once After Mite Infection (Experiment One) \mathbf{r}

$Group-I$ (*D. canis plus PBMC*)

$Group-II (D. can is plus PBS)$

 $FU - Active hair follicle unit$

Table 4.3-2: Calculated Number of D. canis Per Skin Graft Digest Sample from Grafts on Tge26 Mice that Receiwed PBMC or PBS Once After Mite Infection (Experiment One)

$Group-I(D. canis plus PBMC)$

Group-II (D. canis plus PBS)

 \sim

Table 4.3-3: Calculated Number of D. canis Per Follicle Unit on Digest Samples Collected from Skin Grafts on Tge26 Mice that Received PBMC or PBS Before and After Mite Infection (Experiment Two)

Mouse (FU) Graft)	Calculated Stage Totals / Follicle				Calculated Mite
	Egg	Larva	Nymph	Adult	Totals/ FU

Group-I (D. canis plus PBMC)

$Group-II (D. can is plus PBS)$

FU - Active hair follicle unit

Table 4.3-4: Calculated Number of D. canis Per Skin Graft Digest Sample Collected from Grafts on Tge26 Mice that Received PBMC or PBS Before and After Mite Infection (Experiment Two)

Group-I (D. canis plus PBMC)

$Group-H(D, canis plus PBS)$

 $\overline{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

Table 4.3-5: Tge26 Mouse PCV Values at the Onset and at Completion of Experiment One Where Mice Received PBMC or PBS Once Before Mite Infection

Group-1 (D. *canis* **pIus PBMC)**

Group-Xt *(D. canis* **plus PBS)**

Mean PCV and 95% Confidence **Intervals** (CI) for mice (n=12) at the onset of tge26 experiment one: **Man** = 44.42, Lower 95% CI = **41 -97,** Upper **95%** CI = **46.86**

t - Mouse died **pnor** to completion of the experiment

Table 4.3-6: Tge26 Mouse PCV Values at the **Onset and at CompIetion of Experiment Two Where Mice Received PBMC or PBS Before and After Mite Infection**

Group-1 *(D. canis* **plus PBMC)**

Group-II *(D. canis* **plus PBS)**

Mean PCV and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for **mice** (n=10) at the onset of **tg26** experiment two: **Mean** = 44.00, Lower 95% CI = 43.25, Upper 95% $CI = 44.75$

t - Mouse **died** pnor to completion of the **experiment**

4.4 USE OF CANINE Sm-XENOGRAFT *RAG2* **MOUSE-CHIMERAS TO MODEL CANINE DEMODICOSIS**

Sufficient numbers of tge26 mice did not survive the lengthy experiments modeling demodicosis and some **mice** developed functional lymphocytes; both factors limiting the use of this mouse mutant for this study.

The **Ragl** and *Rag2* knockout mice have nearly an identical phenotype and lack T-cells and B-cells and do not suffer from the "leaky" phenotype (Mombaerts et al., 1992; Shinkai **et** al., 1992). **Ragl** nul1 **mice will** support human skin **grafts** for 10 months without evidence of rejection (Atillasoy et al., 1997). Both of these mouse mutants are sold commercially; only *Rag2* mice were available for this study.

In order to ensure that canine lymphocytes accessed skin grafts in adequate numbers, direct intragraft injection (instead of intraperitoneal injection) **was** chosen as the method of delivering canine PBMC for **this** experiment. Canine lymphocytes were previously shown to survive intragraft inoculation **and** remained functional after transfer (Section 3 **-4).** To ensure that adequate numbers of lymphocytes were activated in skin grafts, and in an attempt to increase engraffrnent, an experimental group **was** added in which lymphocytes were stimulated **in vitro** prior to injection into skin **grafts.** A lymphocyte stimulation protocol using human recombinant interleukin-2 (hr-IL-2) and phytohemagglutinin **(PHA)** was **chosen** for this purpose. The primary objective of this expenment was to evaluate the effect of canine lymphocytes on **D.** *anis* populations on canine skin.

4.4.1 MATERIALS ANI) METHODS

4.4.l.l Animals

Male *Rag2* knockout mice, aged five to six weeks, were housed with two to three per micro-isolator cage. An adult, intact-male, golden retriever dog was purchased from Animal Care Services (University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada). *Demodex canis* were collected from a dog in the Province of Quebec.

4.4.1.2 **Experimental Design**

The experimental design followed that of the ICR scid experiment (Section 4.2), except for the route of lymphocyte transfer. Skin grafts were infected with D. *canis* only once and leukocytes were transferred once by direct intragrafl injection instead of by intrapentoneal injection. There were four experimental groups; **two** received leukocytes and one received PBS after *D. canis* infection. Group-I received PBL that were stimulated in vitro, while Group-II received unstimulated PBMC. Group-III received PBS. Group-IV received *in vitro* stimulated PBL only, but no mites. The timeline for the **Rag2** experiment is given in Figure 4.4-1.

Figure *4.4-1:* **Timeline for** *Rag2* **experiment**

Experimental Protocol $4.4.1.3$

Skin grafting: Thirty-three *Rag2* null mice were grafted with full-thickness canine skin and grafts were protected for 24 days with tape bandages (Method-B, Section 2.3.4).

Larger skin grafts **(16** to **18 mm** in diameter) were used compared to previous experiments.

Demodex graft Infection: At *51* days after skin grafting, grafts were subjectively graded and mice were allocated to four groups with skin grafts of comparable hair growth. Skin grafts on **mice** in Group-L, **II,** and III were infected with *D. canis* and received 150 to 250 viable mites with 80% adults and 20% nyrnphs, protonymphs, larvae, and eggs. Grafts were protected with tape bandages for five days (Method-B, Section 2.3.4). Skin grafts in Group-IV received mineral oil only.

Lymphocyte stimulation and *lymphocyte transfer:* Sixty days post 0. **amis** infection, PBMC were isolated from the skin donor dog, placed into culture and stimulated in **vitro** with PHA and hr-IL-2. At 63 days post *D. canis* infection, 15 x 10⁶ viable stimulated PBL were directly injected into each skin graft of Group-I (9 mice) and Group-IV (8 mice). The inoculum contained 99% lymphocytes with a cell viability of 81%. The calculated stimulation index **was 32.3.** At 66 days post **D. mis** infection, PBMC were again isolated from the skin donor dog and 25×10^6 unstimulated viable lymphocytes were directly injected into each skin graft of Group-II (8 mice). This second inoculum contained 76% lymphocytes and 93% PBMC, with a ce11 viability of 98%. **Skin** grafts in Group-III (8 mice) were injected with PBS only.

Skin graft and mouse evaluation: Mouse serum samples and skin grafts were collected 93 days after mite transfer. Skin graft hair growth was subjectively scored as 1 (>50% or more of graft) or as 2 (<50% of skin graft). A sample of each skin graft was collected for bacterial culture. **Skin** grafk, as **weil** as liver, lung and spleen, were evaluated for the

stained with anti-CD3 antibody to detect T-cells. Necropsy examination **was** perforrned for **ail** mice and the liver, lung, **and** spleen were examined by histology.

4.4.1.4 Statistical Methods

Statistical evaluation was performed as described for Section 4.2.1.4. For statistical purposes, skin grafts were considered culture positive if **S.** *intemedius* was recovered.

4.4.2 RESULTS

Thirty-two out of 33 *Rag2* knockout mice survived the five month experiment in good health. The skin graft on one mouse in Group-II developed crusting 85 days after *D*. canis infection; the mouse subsequently appeared ill and was removed from the study.

Skin grafks heaied well after mild centrai crusting and were 13 to 15 **mm** in diameter. Grafts started to regrow hair by three to four weeks after transplantation and hair length and hair density were highest at the completion of the trial, resembling that of the donor. Approximately 75% of grafts grew hair over more than 95% of the graft surface and there was little or no visible scarring. Three skin grafts grew very little hair. Twenty-six grafts had a hair growth score of 1 and six grafts had a score of 2 (Appendix 4). The gross appearance of skin grafts did not change appreciably after infection with D. canis or after leukocyte injection. Similarly, there were no appreciable gross differences between the four experimental groups (Figure **4.4-2** through Figure 4.45).

Demodex **crmis** was recovered from 25 out of *25* **grafts** infected with mites by NaOH digestion. Large numbers of mites were recovered from grafts and the range of calculated mite totals per graft sample were as follows: Group-I, 2,250 to 39,125; Group-

II, 2,425 to 10,750; and Group-IU, 3,675 to 15,675. The calculated **mean** total number of mites per digest sample (mean \pm SEM) for Groups-I, -II, and -III were 15,330.55 \pm 3,583-55,6,582.14 **f** 1,118.92, and **8,93** 1-25 t 1,716.72, respectively. Mites were not recovered fiom the eight grafts in Group-IV that did not receive **D. canis.** Figure 4.4-6 shows the calculated number of mites and stages per digest sample. Immature **stages** tended to be more nurnerous on **grafts** than adults (Figure **4.443).** The calculated number of mites per digest sarnple are listed in Table 4.4-1.

There was a significant effect of treatment on calculated mite numbers $(P = 0.018)$ that depended on the presence of bacteria and haïr growth score in a three-way interaction. This **was** due to both a significant interaction between the presence of bacteria (as determined by culture) and treatment $(P = 0.008)$ and a significant interaction between hair growth score and treatment $(P < 0.001)$. Regardless of the hair growth score, when bacteria were not present, there were significantly more mites on grafts in Group-I than Group-II (hair growth score $= 1$, $P = 0.016$; hair growth score $= 2$, $P <$ 0.001) or Group-III (hair growth score = 1, P = 0.049; hair growth score = 2, P < 0.001). Similarly, when bacteria (S. *intermedius*) were present and the hair growth score was equal to 2, there were significantly more mites in Group-I than Group-III ($P = 0.041$). However, when bacteria were present and the hair growth score was equal to 1, there were significantly fewer mites on skin grafts in Group-I than Group-III ($P = 0.012$). In summary, treatment with *in vitro* stimulated lymphocytes led to a significant increase in the number of mites on skin **grafts,** except when bacteria were present on grafts with good hair growth, then there were significantly fewer mites. There were no significant differences detected between Group-II and Group-III.

Histological evaluation did not identify differences between treatment and control groups and confirmed follicular D. **canis** infection of al1 25 **gr&s** that received mites (Figure **4.4-7** through Figure **4.4-10).** Mites were located in the follicular lumen in the superficial 1/3 to 1/2 of primary and secondary hairs and were found occasionally in sebaceous gland ducts. Minimal changes were associated with mite colonization and included dilation of the hair follicle lumen with thinning of the hair follicle wall. Mites did not penetrate the haïr follicle wall and an intact layer of keratin always separated mites fiom viable cells of the extemal root sheath. Mild to moderate follicular keratosis was present in nearly all grafts but did not appear to be associated with mite infection or lymphocyte treatment. Other than scattered dermal mast cells and histiocytes, inflarnmatory cells were rarely observed. A lymphocytic folliculitis was absent and inflammation did not target mite-infected hair follicles.

In 3 out of **32** skin grafts, regional to diffuse perivascular infiltrates of granulocytes and mononuclear cells, predominately histiocytes, were observed. These grafts were culture positive for S. *intermedius* and in **two** grafts inflarnmatory ceIl infiltrates were associated with bacterial colonies -consistent in morphology for **S.** *intermedius.* Epidermal hyperplasia accompanied these inflammatory changes. In **occasional grafts, small focal accumulations of histiocytes at the graft margin** accompanied hair shaft or keratin fragments.

Regardless of experimental group, 50 to 75% or more of hair follicles were in the anagen phase of the hair cycle. Remaining hair follicles were in the telogen phase or were atrophied. fIair follicles, sebaceous glands and apocrine glands resembled those of pregrafted normal skin. The surface epidermis resembled pregrafted skin and

keratinization of the stratum comeum was of the orthokeratotic basket weave type. Focal irregular epidermal hyperplasia was occasionally present at the margin of grafts. Mast celIs were scattered individually throughout the dermis. **Mild** to moderate dermal fibrosis was present in several grafts.

Irnmunohistochemical staining for CD3 identified rare T-cells **within** skin **grafts** in al1 groups. Typically, 1 to 3 cells with positive membrane staining and lymphocyte cellular morphology were present in the entire skin graft section (less than 1 cell per 20 **HPF).** Individual cells were located in the derrnis, surface epidermis and foIlicular epithelium. A few mice in each group had an occasional CD3 positive cell in the spleen.

Canine IgG was detected in mouse serum samples collected at completion of the trial fiom **al1 surviving** rnice that were inoculated with canine leukocytes **(24/24)** (Appendix **5).** Canine IgG mouse serum concentrations in Group-1 **(mean** k SEM, 34.01 + 4.91) were significantly higher **than** canine **IgG** concentrations in either Group-II **(mean** \pm SEM, 1.07 \pm 0.25; P < 0.001) or Group-IV (mean \pm SEM, 11.42 \pm 5.42; P = 0.002). Canine IgG mouse serum levels **in** Group-N were significantly higher then those in Group-II ($P = 0.007$). Figure 4.4-11 shows the mean IgG concentrations for the three groups. Canine IgG was not detected in serum samples from mice in Group-III **that** did not receive canine lymphocytes or in pre-experiment samples for **al1** mice. The PCV for mice at the completion of trial ranged between 39 and 49 and was similar to that of preexperimental values (Table **4.4-2).**

Bacteriologic **culture was** performed **for al1** 33 **graRs.** No bacterial growth was observed from 18 grafts. S. *intermedius* was recovered at 1 to 4+ colony growth from nine grafts (Appendix 6). These were distributed with between two to four culture

positive grafts in Group-I, -II, and -III, often affecting more than one graft per cage. A *Bacillus sp.* was recovered with 1+ growth from one graft in Group-I and from one graft in Group-II. There **was** bacterial growth for grafts in Group-IV.

There were no significant necropsy findings for the 32 *RagZ* null mice completing the study and there **was** no evidence of **GVHD.** One mouse had changes consistent with systemic bacterial infection, including multifocal hepatic necrosis and neutrophilic hepatitis (S. *intermedius* was cultured from the crusted skin graft of this mouse).

4.4.3 DISCUSSION

In the early 1970s, Scott **et aL** proposed that generalized demodicosis results fiom a defect in T-ce11 immunity **(Scott** et **al., 1974; Scott** et **al.,** 1976). This widely referenced **T-ce11** dysfunction hypothesis has generally found support from descriptive and in **vitro studies** (reviewed **in** Chapter 1). One objective of this study **was to** diiectly test the tacit hypothesis that Lymphocytes of normal dogs control **D. mis** overgrowth on skin.

Direct transfer of in *vitro* stimulated canine **PBL** to *D. canis* **infected skin grafts** on **RagZ** null mice led to significantly more mites on **grafts than** control grafts or grafts receiving unstimulated lymphocytes. Similar to previous experiments (Section 4.2 an3 **4.3),** these results provided evidence that canine lymphocytes could have a trophic effect on mite growth. The results were unexpected given that **the** literature supports a protective role for lymphocytes in demodicosis.

Aithough a sustained lymphocytic tissue reaction was not observed, semm canine **IgG** levels were significantly higher fiom *Rag2* **null mice** with mite infected gras, confiming that lymphocytes interacted with mites. **Only** rare lymphocytes were

identifled in nearly al1 skin grafts on *Rag2* null mice by CD3 **staining,** consistent **with** the presence of carrier lymphocytes in graf3s. Carrier **graft** lymphocytes have been shown to survive human skin xenografting (Kaufmann *et al.*, 1993). Alternatively, an interaction between mites and lymphocytes **may** have resulted fiom the release of chemical mediators by mites, lymphocytes and/or graft cells and a tissue inflammatory response need not have necessarily developed to explain the results.

Because eggs out numbered adults on skin **grafts** on *hg2* null mice, it is unlikely that the treatment caused retention of the more mobile mature mite stages on grafts. It is more likely that lymphocytes led to stimulation of mite replication. The finding of increased immature stages on grafts, compared to adults, after a 33 day incubation period is also more consistent with the estimated life cycle of *D. canis* (24 to 30 days) (Sako, **1964).** Female mites have a sperm storage **area** in the uterus. Therefore,-it is possible that female mites could produce a large number of fertile eggs in a short period of **time** (Desch, **1984).**

The paradoxical stimulatory effect of lymphocytes on mite fecundity could be an adaptive mite response to increase **mite** survival after activation of host defense mechanisms. Comparative evidence fiom studies of unicellular or other multicellular parasites indicate that host cytokines could fünction as parasite growth factors and suggests a mechanism by which lymphocytes could **lead** to an increase in mite numbers **(Damian, 1997;** McKerrow, **1997). A** related situation occurs with the rabbit flea - this ectoparasite responds **to** host hormone signals to cue its own replication (Rothschild & Ford, **1966;** Rothschild & Ford, **1972).** Given the evidence of extensive coevolutionary history of **Demodex** spp, molecular signaling interactions between **Demodex** *spp.* and

their host are expected and further investigations should focus on identifying these interactions (Nutting, 1985). Alternatively, experimental conditions may have selected for a lymphocyte population capable of stimulating mites. The relative balance of fùnctional lymphocyte populations in demodicosis may **affect** mite proliferation and disease progression, similar to **munne** and **human** Th-1 and Th-2 lymphocyte modeIs of parasite resistance (Kuby, 1997). Residual PHA in the washed lymphocyte inoculum could have stimulated mites.

There **was** no conclusive evidence that the canine lymphocytes homed to **D.** *canis* infected **hair** follicles in this experiment. Nonnal dogs were used in this study; the lack of a sustained cellular response in skin **grafts** could simply reflect the naturai interaction of adult dogs with D. **canis,** that is a state of anergy. *Dernodex canis* alone **may** not be antigenic/immunogenic $-$ this finding is supported by the observation that mites do not appear to damage hair follicles on skin grafts. In the Rag2 experiment, S. *intermedius* **was** present on several lymphocyte treated skin grafts yet a cellular response to **D. canis was** not observed. Bactenal interactions may not trigger an immune response to mites.

Expenmental conditions could account for **the fact** that lymphocytic inflammation did not persist in D. **cmis** infected **skin** grafts on *Rag2* nul1 mice. **First,** it is entirely possible that a lymphocyte tissue reaction developed in grafts after transfer, but subsided by the **the** grafts were collected, 30 days later. Second, the lack of a canine regional lymph node in the **mouse** could have limited **canine** lymphocyte expansion in this mode1 and the ability to generate a sustained cellular response. Third, expansion of a lymphocyte response could have been limited if dendritic cells did not survive in **skin** grafts after transplantation. While this possibility exists, monocytes present in human

PBMC transferred to scid mice differentiate into tissue dendritic cells capable of mediating aspects of allergic reactions in the mouse lung (Hammad et *al.,* **2000).** Therefore, it is likely that monocytes transferred in the PBMC inocula could act as the antigen presenting cells needed. Furthermore, Kaufmann et **al.** (1993) demonstrated that human dentritic cells and macrophages survive in human skin grafts on scid mice for 12 months. Fourth, adequate numbers of *D. canis* specific lymphocyte clones might not have been delivered to skin grafts, survived transfer or circulated to skin grafts on mice. **In** this study, lymphocytes **survived** transfer to grafts and lymphocytes were delivered directly to skin **grafts** on *Rug2* nul1 mice, thereby circurnventing the need for lymphocyte recirculation to deliver effector cells to grafts. Finally, in some human studies, the lymphocytes transferred to scid mice appeared to have develop anergy in the mouse environment Vary-Lehmann & **Saxon,** 1992; Tary-Lehmann **et** al., 1994; Taylor, 1994). However, the presence of the test antigen in the mouse at the time of lymphocyte transfer and the presence of syngeneic host tissues can rescue lymphocytes from this anergic effect (Taylor, 1994). Delhem and others demonstrated an antigen specific **human** lymphocyte response to HIV glycoprotein in skin grafts on scid mice (Delhem et al., **1998).** *In* the current **study,** mite antigens and syngeneic skin **grafts** were present at the time of canine lymphocyte transfer.

Staphylococcus intermedius graft infection appeared to negate the stimulatory effect of lymphocytes on mite numbers in the *Rag2* experiment. This interaction was also affected by the degree of hair growth of skin grafts, perhaps because grafts with less hair were colonized differently by bacteria. The effect of bacteria on the lymphocytemite interactions is interesting in light of the clinical evidence that bacterial skin infection

impacts **the** severity of demodicosis **(Scott** et al., **1995)** and is associated with decreased in vitro lymphocyte blastogenesis for dogs with generalized demodicosis (Barta et al., **1983).** This experiment **was** not specifically designed to assess the effects of bacteria on lymphocyte mite interactions and further studies should address this interaction.

Rag2 nul1 mice reconstituted with **in vitro** stimulated canine PBL **in** *vitro* had significantly higher levels of serum **canine IgG** (regardless of the presence or absence of mites) **îhan mice** reconstituted with PBMC. This finding is similar to human studies in which treatment with cytokines, including **IL-2,** or non specific **in** *vitro* activation of lymphocytes prior to transfer, led to increased engraftment of human lymphocytes in scid mice (Murphy et **al., 1993;** Kaul **et al., 1995;** Delhem **et** al., **1998). In** some human studies, exogenous **IL-2 was** delivered to the mouse environment in order to increase lymphocyte engraftment (Kaul et al., 1995; Delhem et al., 1998). Injection of skin grafts with **hr-IL-2** after canine lymphocyte transfer in the current study would have necessitated an additional control group to evaluate the effect of hr -IL-2 on mite growth; this option was lirnited by the number of **grafted** mice and by the number of mites available. It does however, suggest another potential protocol for further investigation.

The level of canine IgG in sera from *Rag2* null mice reconstituted with unstimulated canine PBMC was low compared to previous experiments with tg ϵ 26 mice. The mean canine IgG concentration (\pm SEM) for *Rag2* null mice after intragraft injection of canine PBMC was a low 1 **.O7 pg/mL (rt** 0.25) compared to 1 **14.6 pg/mL** (k **90.34) 4** weeks after IP injection of tge26 mice with canine PBMC (Section 3.3). Direct inoculation of skin **grafts** on **tgs26 mice** with canine PBMC (Section **3.4)** resulted in higher canine **IgG** titers (mean **f SEM 226.66 f 38.44) than was** observed after intragraft

inoculation using *Rag2* nuli mice. Although a different antigenic stimulus **was** present in the two experiments, this result suggests that the route of injection **was** not the reason for lower **canine IgG** levels after transfer of unstimulated canine **PBMC** to *hg2* null mice. Rather, individual dog factors or characteristics of *Rag2* null mice may have limited canine lymphocyte engraftment. Alternatively, the kinetics of canine IgG production or clearance in *Rag2* null mice may be altered compared to tge26 mice. Finally, in the current experiment, the presence of bacteria on skin grafts (as detennined by culture) did not appear to correlate with the level of canine **IgG** detected in *hg2* mouse serum.

The *Rag2* null mice supported good quality, relatively uniform, canine skin grafts. At completion of the trial, the majority of the skin grafts had grown abundant hair that **was** comparable to that of the donor. Grafts developed less ischemic damage after transplantation and the improvement in graft quality was attributed primarily to the extended bandaging time (24 days versus 7 to 12 days for previous experiments). Furthemore, the full-thickness canine skin grafts used in this experiment were 16 to 18 mm in diameter at grafting and were significantly larger (over 50%) than **has** been previously reportai (Caswell et **al., 1996).** These results support the conclusions of the previous chapter, that stabilization and reperfusion of full-thickness grafts are essential to creating good quality grafts, and that graft diameter may not be a primary limiting factor for grafting canine skin to mice.

Rag2 null mice proved to be hardier than tge26 mice and all of the 33 mice survived the duration of the experiment. The *Rag2* mice were also larger and more robust than tge26 mice (data not shown) and were easier to manipulate surgically. Unlike scid/bg mice, ICR scid mice or tge26 mice, there was no evidence of host graft rejection

by *Rag2* mice. *Rag2* null mice did not develop evidence of GVHD or hemolytic anemia, which complicated experiments with scid/bg mice (Caswell, 1995) and ICR scid mice (Section 4.2).

This experiment provided evidence, contrary to Iiterature reports, that canine Iyrnphocytes can stimulate the growth of **D.** *canis* on canine skin (Scott **et** al., 1974; **Scott** *et al.,* **1976; Scott** *et* **al., 1995).** In **vitro** stimulation of canine lymphocytes led to increased production of **canine IgG** in *Ra@* null **mice.** *Rag2* null mice do not support unstimulated lymphocytes to the same degree as tg $E26$ mice, as measured by immunoglobulin levels. *Rag2* null **mice** are usefùl for the long-term experiments modeling demodicosis and do not succurnb to the complications associated with other mice used this study. A large number of good quality skin xenografts were created, thereby demonstrating that the difficulties associated **with** grafting full-thickness canine **skin** to mice are no longer a limitation of the canine skin xenograft mouse model.

Figure 4.4-2: Appearance of canine skin grafts on *Rag2* null mice in Group-I at experiment completion. Skin lesions did not develop after *D. canis* infection or after **injection of grafts with in vitro stimulated canine lymphocytes. Abundant hair regrowth** is evident in central and peripheral areas on most grafts.

 $\mathbf C$

 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$

 \mathbf{H}

Figure 4.4-3: Appearance of canine skin gras on *Rug2* null **mice in Group-II at experiment completion. Skin lesions did not develop after** *D.* **cnnis infection or after injection of grafks with unstimulateci canine PBMC. Prominent hair** growth **is present on the majority of skin grafts.**

 \mathcal{A}_1

 \mathbf{A}

 \mathbf{D}

 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$

Figure 4.4-4: Appearance of canine skin grafts on *Rag2* null mice in Group-III at **experiment completion. Skin lesions did not develop after** *D.* **canis infection or after** injection of grafts with PBS. Prominent hair growth is present on the majority of skin **grafts.**

 $\Delta \phi$ and ϕ is a simple of $\Delta \phi$

 $\overline{}$

Figure 4.4-5: Appearance of canine skïn grafks on *Rag2* nuil **mice in Group-IV at** experiment completion. Skin lesions did not develop after uninfected grafts were **injected** *in vitro* **stimulated canine lymphocytes. Prominent hair growth is present on the majorîty of skïn gras.**

x

Figure **4-44:** Bar graphs showing the results of *D.* **canis** enumeration for **canine** skin grafts, by NaOH digestion, at completion of the *Rag2* experiment. (A) The calculated total number of *D. canis* per graft digest sample (mean \pm standard error) recovered from **grafts** that received in **vitro** stimulated **PBL** (Group-I), unstimulated PBMC (Group-II), or PBS (Group-III). Mites were not recovered from grafts that only received unstimulated PBMC **(Group-IV) (not shown).** (B) The **calculated** total number of each **D. canis** lifecycle stage per graft sample (mean \pm standard error) recovered from grafts for Group-I, Group-II, and Group-III.

Figure 4.4-7: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft, treated with a in vitro stimulated PBL (Group-I), from a *Rag2* null mouse. (A) Low **magnification shows graft morphology and lack of inflammation (magnification** $=$ **X10). (B)** Higher magnification shows cross sections of D. *canis* (arrow) in hair **follicles (magnification** = **X25). Mites are associated with dilation of the follicle** lumen. Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, tissue sections

Figure 4.4-8: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft, treated with unstimulated PBMC (Group-II), from a *Rag2* null mouse. (A) Low magnification shows graft morphology and the lack of inflammation (magnification $= X10$). **(B) Higher magnification shows cross sections of D. conis (arrow) in hair foilicles (magnification** = **X25). Mites are associated with dilation of the follicle lumen.** Inflammation is not present. Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin embedded, **tissue sections**

 \bf{B}

Figure 4.4-9: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft, treated with **PBS** (Group-III), from a *Rag2* null mouse. (A) Low magnification shows graft **morphology and lack of inflammation (magnification** = **X10).** (B) **Hïgher** magnification shows D. canis (arrow) infection of hair follicles (magnification = **X25). Mites are associated with dilation of the follicle lumen. Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paragin embedded, tissue sections**

 \bar{z}

 $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$

 \mathbf{A}

Figure 4.4-10: Photomicrographs of a representative canine skin graft, treated with *in vitro* stimulated PBL (Group-IV) but not infected with *D. canis*, from a **RagZ nul1 mouse. (A) Low magnification shows lack of inflammation** or *D.* **crmis infection (magnification =** $X10$ **). (B)** Higher magnification shows hair follicle morphology (magnification = X25). Hematoxylin and eosin stained, paraffin **embedded, tissue sections**

 $\overline{}$

Figure 4.4-11: Bar graph showing canine IgG concentration (pg/mL, mean f standard error) in sera from *Rag2* mice at experiment completion, approximately 4 weeks after intragraft transfer of canine leukocytes. Group-I $(n = 9)$ and Group-IV $(n = 8)$ received in *vitro* stimulated PBL, where as Group-II $(n = 7)$ received unstimulated PBMC. Canine IgG was not detected in sera from mice in Group-III ($n = 8$) that did not receive canine **lymphocytes (data not shown).**

Table 4.4-1: Calculated Number of D. canis Per Skin Graft Digest Sample Collected from Grafts on Rag2 Mice at **Experiment Completion**

Group-IV Demodex canis were not recovered from any skin grafts in Group-IV that received only in vitro stimulated PBMC.

Table 4.4-2: RagZ Mouse PCV Values at the Onset and at Experiment Completion

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\langle \rangle$

Mean PCV and 95% Confidence Intewds (CI) for mice **(n=33)** at the **omet** of the $Rag2$ experiment: Mean = 44.97, Lower 95% CI = 44.32, Upper 95% $CI = 45.62$

 $\overline{8}$

40

44

42

e - Mouse euthanised due to illness

45

 $\overline{8}$

 $\ddot{}$

CHAPTER 5: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1 **INTRODUCTION**

Investigating the pathogenesis of complex in£lammatory skin conditions is often limited by the ability to recreate aspects of the natural disease (in a meaningful way) experimentally. Progress in our understanding of canine demodicosis suffers from this limitation and there have been few in **vivo** studies reported. Because of **this,** basic questions about the pathogenesis of this complex inflammatory disease and about the biology of **D.** *anis* remain unanswered. The **first** objective of this thesis **was** to develop the skin xenografl **mouse** model for experimentally recreating aspects of canine demodicosis. The second objective was to apply this model to assess the role of lymphocytes in host resistance to demodicosis, thereby addressing a central question in the pathogenesis of this disease. The results established the model as a useful tool for studying **canine** demodicosis and challenge the accepted dogma that lymphocytes (at least the population transferred fiom peripheral blood) play a protective role in this disease. New insights are provided about the relative role of local and systemic mechanisms of innate defense in limiting mite popdations and about the contribution of **D.** *canis* to the development of skin lesions.

5.2 **DEVELOPMENT OF THE CANINE SKIN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL**

Although the skin xenograft mouse model is well established in human dermatology, this model **has not** been optirnized sufficiently for use **with** canine tissues. This thesis developed the basic components of the canine model.

5.2.1 Immunodeficient Mice

Four different genetically immunodeficient mice were used for experiments supporting canine tissues: scid/bg, ICR scid, tge26 and *Rag2* knockout mice. Of these mice, *Rag2* mice were found to be **most** usefül for the skin xenograft mouse inodel. *RagZ* null mice were robust; none of the 33 mice used in this study developed spontaneous complications. Unlike scid/bg, ICR scid, or tge26 mice, development of the "leaky" phenotype and skin graft rejection were not observed. *Rag2* null mice did not develop evidence of GVHD after injection **with** canine lymphocytes, that **was** seen in scidhg mice. Over the 5-month course of experirnents, neoplasia **was** not detected in *Rag2* null mice. **Table 5.1** compares **the**

Table 5.1: Cornparison of Skin Xenografting Results, D. canis Infection Rates and

"Skin grafting methods **according to Section 3.3 (CameIl et al., 1996)** ^bSkin grafting methods according to materials and methods

'Graft quaiity score;

 $t = \text{hair growth at margin, extensive central scanning, wide variation}$

i+ = bair **growth at** margin **and center, mild** / **moderate centrai** scarring, **wide variation**

+tt = **hair** growth **over entire** *graft,* **ïittle or no scarring, uniform grafts**

d~verage viable D. *amis* **(adults and nymphs) dose applied to each** *gmft.* **Each dose containeci approxirnately 8045% adults and 1520% combineci** eggs, **Ianae** and **nymphs "ïotai mite dose givea GraRs were infectai on three occasions GVHD: Graft-versus-host disease, NA: Not available**

grafting results, **gr&** idections and mouse qualities for the mice used in this study.

In preliminary experiments, scid/bg mice were found to accept canine skin and/or lymphocyte grafts; however, the development of the "lealq" phenotype and GVHD Limited the use of this mouse type for modeling demodicosis. Although ICR scid rnice did not develop GVHD, a large percentage of these **mice** (63%) produced munne immunoglobulin and rejected skin grafts and were considered "leaky". Similar to previous reports of scid **mice** in a CB-17 background **strain, ICR** scid mice appear prone to developing thymic lymphoma, observed in 12% **mice** in this study. For these reasons, scid/bg and ICR scid mice were found unsuitable for xenograft experiments modeling demodicosis.

The tge26 mouse were found to accept canine skin and lymphocyte grafts and these mice did not develop GVHD. However, subsequent use of **tg26** mice for modeling demodicosis identified characteristics of this mouse that limit their use in long term studies. **Te26 mice** were not hardy; between 17 **tu** 40% **did** not survive the duration of experiments, over 5 months. A common cause of death was not identified; however some mice developed splenic lymphoma, consistent with the **finding** that CD3-epsilon subunit (over-expressed in **tg26** mice) **can act** as an oncogene **(Wang** et **al., 1997).**

In this study, **CD3+** T-cells were identified in **canine** skin **grafts** on control tg26 mice (that did not receive canine leukocytes) in association with graft rejection. Subsequently, immunohistochemical staining of serial graft sections with mouse specific anti-CD45 antibody identified the infiltrating T-cells to be of mouse origin. These findings indicated that a percentage of **tgcZ6** mice produced functiond mouse T-cells and had become "leaky" for T-cells.

The finding of "leaky" tg26 mice couid explain another observation made during this study. Occasional tg26 mice in the mouse colony developed a wasting syndrome that was associated with colitis. A similar colitis was reported in adult tee 26 mice that had been reconstituted with wild type mouse bone rnarrow (Hollander **et al.,** 1995). The authors showed that thymic grafts protected mice from colitis and concluded that colitis in marrow-grafted mice resulted from auto-reactive T -cells. In the current study, tg ϵ 26 mice that developed the wasting syndrome had likely become "leaky", and because these mice lack a fùnctional thymus **(Wang et aL,** 1994; Wang **et** al., 1995), auto-reactive lymphocytes were not eliminated and induced colitis. This was supported by identifying CD3+ T-cells in colonic lesions of tg26 rnice that had not received **any canine** tissue grafts. One possible explanation is that the CD3-epsilon transgene copy number may not be stable in te26 mice **and** changes in copy number could **affect** the degree of immunodeficiency. Transgenic mice that were created with lower copy numbers of the CD3-epsilon gene (compared to tg ϵ 26 mice) do not show complete ablation of T-cells and NK-cells during development, as was reported for tg E^{26} mice (Wang *et al.*, 1994; **Wang et** al., 1995). Instability of the immunodeficient phenotype and variable longevity limit the use tp26 mice for long term experiments.

5.2.2 Canine Skin Xenografting

Canine skin xenografüng has received little attention in veterinary derrnatology and techniques were not available that produced adequate and reliable results needed for modeling demodicosis (Caswell **et** al., 1996). The factors that are important for

developing good quality full-thickness skin grafts on immunodeficient mice have not been identified in the literature.

In this study, a comparison of split-thickness and full-thickness canine skin grafts identified the importance of maintaining vascular perfusion for reducing early ischemic damage and thereby promoting more rapid healing, better **hair** growth and decreased scarring. It was concluded that full-thickness grafts do not favor the formation of vascular anastomoses, do not stabilize well in the graft bed and are more susceptible to mouse grooming-related graft trauma, compared to split-thickness grafts. As a consequence, these thicker grafts suffer severe ischemic damage.

A simple solution was created for improving the quality of full-thickness canine skin grafts. Graft bandages were redesigned and **mice** were bandaged for longer periods of time thereby allowing for more robust vascular connections and increased **graft** anchoring to the **gra£t** bed. Success **was** greatest when bandages were left in place for the longest time (24 days) on *Rag2* null mice. More than 75 % of skin grafts in this experiment developed prominent hair regrowth involving over 95% of the skin graft surface. Furthermore, larger healed canine skin xenografts were created, 13-15 mm in diameter; these grafts were more than 50% larger when healed **than grafts** in previous canine xenografting experiments (Rosenquist et al., 1988; Caswell **et al.,** 1996). The development of good quality, well-haired, full-thickness skin xenografts from animals with relatively thick skin has not been previously described and **has** eluded hurnan researchers for **years** (Van Neste, 1996). The draw back of prolonged bandaging **is** an **increase** in experiment duration, which **can** increase cost and mouse mortality.

To accommodate the extended bandaging times, it was essential that skin graft bandages be redesigned to be lighter and to allow for more flexibility of mice. This **was** necessary to decrease stress of mice **and** the negative impact that excessive bandaging **can** have on mouse weight gain and wound heaiing. The new bandages did not lave adhesive residue on the graft therefore tended not to induce excessive mouse grooming and graft trauma. The tape bandage procedure finally adopted for these experiments is outlined in Method B (Section 2.3 **-4)**

These experiments demonstrate for the **fïrst** time that full-thickness canine skin can be grafted to ICR scid, tge26 transgenic and Rag2 knockout mice and will survive for extended periods of time, greater than 4 months.

5.2-3 Canine Leukocvte Mouse Chimeras

Caswell (1995) reconstituted scid/bg mice with canine PBMC and described the development of GVHD in the majority of mice. Circulating canine immunoglobulin **was** identified in scid/bg mice, indicating the mice partially reconstituted with canine lymphocytes. Preliminary experiments in the present study repeated these findings. Since the onset of this **study, only two** abstracts have been published descnbuig the reconstitution of scid mice **with** canine **PBMC** (DeBoer *et* al., 1998; DeBoer et **al,** 1999). Specific results were provided in one of these abstracts (DeBoer *et* al., 1998). Scid mice were reconstituted with 10 to 100 x 1 **o6 canine PBMC** and canine IgG and **IgE** were subsequently measured in mouse sera. Canine IgG peaked at 1 to 6 mg/mL, 1 to 2 weeks post PBMC inoculation, and declined over 6 to 8 weeks. Canine **IgE** levels peaked **at** 2 to 9 μ g/ml and declined similarly. The peak canine IgG levels reported were similar to

those in the ment **study** using scidlbg mice and tg26 **mice,** and the duration of immunoglobulin production was similar to that observed with tg ϵ 26 mice. Although not specifically addressed, evidence of GVHD was not reported. Scid mice were not evaluated at the end of the trial for development of the "leaky" phenotype (D. DeBoer, personal communication).

The current study is the first attempt to reconstitute tge26 mice with canine lymphocytes. The detection of canine IgG in tge26 mouse plasma for several weeks indicated that canine lymphocytes survived transfer. In addition to intraperitoneal injection, canine lymphocytes were shown to survive direct injection into canine skin grafts on tge26 mice and to be capable of mediating skin allograft rejection. Tge26 mice did not develop GVHD, but were found to be sub-optimal for use with the skin xenograft mouse mode1 for other reasons, **e.g.** limited life span and development of functional lymphocytes.

Rag2 nu11 mice were only reconstituted by direct injection of canine lymphocytes into canine skin grafts. Because canine IgG was detected in mouse serum after cell transfer, the experimental goal of delivering viable lymphocytes to *D. canis* infected **skin gr&s** on *Rag2* null mice was achieved. However, low concentrations of **canine IgG** were detected, compared to intraperitoneal reconstitution experiments with scid or scid/bg mice (DeBoer *et al.*, 1998), (personal observation) or tge26 mice reconstituted by intragraft injection. Lymphocytes were rarely identified in the spleens from these mice. The results suggest that the level of canine lymphocyte engraftment **was** low in *Rag2* null mice. *Rag2* **mice** were successfùlly engrafted with human lymphocytes, but the level of engraftment was also considered reduced compared that of scid mice (Steinsvik et al.,

1995). Ifcanine lymphocyte recirculation in mice **was** required to develop a sustained lymphocyte response to mites on skin grafss, then the ability of *Rag2* **mice** to support systemic canine lymphocyte engraftrnent **could have** been **a** limiting factor in this experiment. Further development of the xenograft model for studying inflammatory skin conditions will depend on optimizing **canine** leukocyte chimeras.

In addition to varying the leukocyte inoculum and route of delivery, **two** general techniques **have** been used to improve engraftment of hurnan lymphocytes in immunodeficient mice. First, different methods of treating mice have been attempted and include for example whole body irradiation, NK-ce11 depletion or cytokine administration (Taylor, 1994). Second, difFerent recipient **mice** have been used that **vary** in their immunodeficient phenotype.

5.2.4 Newer Imrnunodeficient Mice

The development of immunodeficient mouse mutants over the last decade has provided potentially useful mice for skin xenografting studies. Importantly, several newer mouse mutants have been shown to **support** higher levels of engraftment with human leukocytes. Non-obese diabetic/scid (NOD/LtSz-scid/scid, hereafter referred to as NOD/scid) mice lack B-cells and T-cells, and exhibit diminished macrophage and NK-cell function (Shultz et **al.,** 1995). NOD/scid **mice** support higher levels of human leukocyte engraftment compared **with** scid mice and have been popular for studying human leukocyte chimeras and hematopoiesis (Greiner et al., 1995; **Wang** *et al.,* **1998a; Rice** *el* $al.$, 2000). Addition of the null mutation for beta2-microglobulin (b2m) to create $NOD/scid/b2m$ null mice (NOD/LtSz-scid/scid + $B2m^{null}$) improved human leukocyte

engraftment (Christianson et al., 1997). However, due to a **high** incidence of thymic lymphomas, the **mean** Iife span ofNOD/scid rnice is only 8.5 months **and** that of NOD/scid/b2rn null **mice** is only 6.5 months, limiting the use of both mice for the long expenments required for modeling demodicosis (Greiner *et* al., 1995; Shultz **et** al., 1995; Christianson **et** al., 1997).

Two potentially useful alternative mice were recently developed. First, NOD/RagI mice have delayed onset of lymphoma, do not develop the "leaky" phenotype, and retain the ability to support higher levels of human leukocyte engraftment (Shultz et al., 2000). Combined *Rag2* and yc **(cornmon** cytokine receptor **chah** gamma) double knockout mice are T-cell, B-cell and NK-ce11 deficient (Goldrnan **et** al., 1998). RagZ/yc null **mice** appear to have a stable immunodeficient phenotype and support increased levels of human lymphocyte engraftment compared to NOD/scid mice (Goldman et al., 1998; Mazurier et **al.,** 1999). The mean life span of Rag2/yc **nuII** mice is pater **than** 12 months and these mice do not exhibit the increased spontaneous neoplasia that is observed **with** NOD/scid or *NOD/Rag1* mice (Goldman **et al.,** 1998; Mazurier **et** al., 1999).

Improved human leukocyte engraftment in some newer mice with combined mutations (compared to scid or *Rag* null mice) has been attributed to innate defense mechanism defects (diminished macrophage and/or NK-cell function) that are present in addition to T-ce11 deficiencies (Shultz *et* aL, 1995). These new mice have severe deficits in host defense (affecting innate defense mechanisms and acquired immunity) and may **not** survive the multiple procedures that are typically required of the xenograft model. Systemic bacterial infections are likely to become a more significant issue.

5.3 APPLICATION OF THE SKIN XENOGRAFT MOUSE MODEL TO STUDY *CAMNE* **DEMODICOSIS:**

5.3.1 The Skin Xenoeraft Mouse Mode1 of Canine Demodicosis

The development of a usefùl expesmental model of a disease **can** be judged by a number of criteria. These include the bioIogic relevance, reproducibility, and availability of the model. The skin xenograft mouse rnodel is biologically relevant because the skin xenogafks represent **an in vivo** system using skin from the species being studied and because **D. canis** infections of **gras mimic** naturaily occurring demodicosis (discussed below).

The reproducibility of the xenograft model for demodicosis depends on the ability to establish adequate canine skin **gnafis** and active **D. mis** infections. **As** described above, this study established that replicas of full-thickness **canine** skin grafts, which morphologically resemble donor skin and actively grow abundant **haïr,** cm **be** generated on immunodeficient mice. Canine **skin** grafts survive for the extended periods needed to culture **D. canis.** To achieve the skin grafling results needed for controlled experiments requires carefùl attention to surgical technique and the skills that are needed **could** be a limiting factor in the model's general use.

The ability to reproduce skin infections with *D. canis* was demonstrated using *D.* canis from different sources as well as donor skin from different dogs. Graft infection rates in the four experiments were 9 5% (1 **9/20}, 100% (12/12), 80% (811 O),** and **100% (25/25).** Large numbers of mites, including all life-cycle stages, were recovered from **grafts at 90** to **117** days after infection, comparable **to** naturally canine demodicosis (Unsworth, 1946). The highest calculated total for one half of a skin graft (the approximate *size* of digest samples) was over 39,000 mites. The techniques developed in

this study significantly improved the graft infection results over those of Caswell *et al.* (2996), who reported an overall **D.** *canis* infection rate of 53% and generally less **than** 100 mites per digest sample. Because skin grafts were inoculated with between approximately 90 and 350 viable mites, it could be concluded that extensive mite proliferation occurred on grafts. More mites were generally recovered from grafts inoculated with higher mite doses. In the tg ϵ 26 and *Rag2* experiments, immature stages (eggs and larvae) were present on skin grafts in large nurnbers (greater **than** 50% of the population), whereas the mite inocula used to infect grafts contained few immature stages (15% or less). This finding suggested that mite populations on skin **grafts** were still expanding at the time graft digestion, 90 to 117 days after infection.

The components of the skin xenograft mouse model of canine demodicosis are readily accessible to researchers. The genetically immunodeficient mice used in these experiments are sold commercially, and *Rag2* knockout **mice,** identified as most usefil, are currently available from Taconic (Germantown, New York, USA). Based on the preliminary work in this study, new mouse mutants should be sought to improve use of the model for inflarnmatory skin reactions, as discussed above. The cost of these specialized mice and the need for a barrier housing facility may limit the utility of the mode1 for some researchers. Canine skin **grafts can** be collected fiom dogs without the need for euthanasia and availability is not a limiting factor. *Demodex canis* are retrievable, albeit intermittently, fiom dogs with natwally occurring demodicosis throughout North America (Sischo et al., 1989). For this study, **D. canis** were collected fiom dogs with demodicosis by non-invasive methods. The ability to **coliect** sufftcient

numbers of mites for adequate infection of skin grafts at a desired point in time limited the number of skin grafts that could be infected in each experiment.

This study establishes the skin xenograft mouse model as the **first** reliable means for culturing *D. canis* in the laboratory and as a reliable method of recreating skin infections. Furthemore, the techniques developed make the canine skin xenograft mouse model a viable system for investigating the pathobiology of other canine skin diseases.

5.3.2 Investigating the Pathogenesis of Canine Demodicosis

Fundamental questions remain unresolved regarding the contribution of different host or mite factors to *D. canis* overgrowth and skin lesion development in canine demodicosis. Aithough the literature supports a central role for T-cells in mediating host resistance to the development of demodicosis, direct evidence is lacking. Other host mechanisms of resistance or mite factors have received little attention.

5.3.2.1 *Host resistance to Demodex canis overgrowth*

The experiments reported in Chapter 4 were designed to directly assess the effect of lymphocytes on D. *mis* populations on canine skin using a quantitative approach. **This was** accomplished by comparing mite numbers on lymphocyte treated **and** control grafts. Four replicas of these experiments were performed. While each experiment provided valuable information the *Rag2* experiment was considered the best assessrnent of the lymphocyte hypothesis. In this experiment, high numbers of mice survived in experimental groups, **D. canis** infection was 100% and complications, such as development of the **"leaky"** phenotype, were not detected.

The first important observation from these experiments was that the introduction of canine lymphocytes into the mouse **skin** chimera either had no effect on *D.* **amis** numbers or, in two experiments, **was** associated with a significant increase in mite numbers on skin grafts. These findings, which suggest that a lymphocytic response does not controI *D. canis* overgrowth on the host but rather may exacerbate it, contradict conventional ideas about the role of lymphocytes in canine demodicosis (reviewed in Chapter 1).

The results of **this** study require a re-examination of the evidence that T-cells are responsible for controlling **D-canis** populations on the host. Dogs with demodicosis are not lymphopenic, do not have depleted lymphoid tissues and do not **appear** to be at increased risk of developing other infectious conditions known to be controlled by a lymphocyte response (Scott et **al.,** 1974; Scott et al., 1976; Scott, 1979; **Scott** et **al,** 1995). Therefore, dogs that develop generalized demodicosis do not have an overt lymphocyte deficit or a generalized T-ceil dysfunction (Scott et *al.,* 1995). Dogs with generalized demodicosis were shown to have a decreased in **vitro** lymphocyte blastogenesis response and decreased cutaneous response to mitogens (reviewed in Chapter 1). However, these mitogen tests are not specific, and depressed lymphocyte responses observed with generalized disease do not clearly precede the onset of generalized demodiw sis. Rather, it is **likely** that the depressed lymphocyte response observed is a consequence of severe inflammation in generalized demodicosis.

Owen (1972) and subsequently Healey and Gafaar (1977), associated experimental immunosuppression using antilymphocyte serum with the development of generalized demodicosis. In their experiments, antilymphocyte serum was derived from

animals treated with whole lymph node, spleen or thymus extracts. It is likely **that this** antiserum contained antibodies to many cellular and humoral components of the immune system (and possibly common tissue antigens) in addition to lymphocytes. Although not measured in these experiments, more than just lymphocyte suppression must have occurred, leaving open the possibility that other mechanisms, in addition to a T-ce11 response, were interrupted **in** these dogs, thus allowing generalized demodicosis to develop. In addition, in these studies, mites were collected from dogs with generalized disease, leaving open the possibility that intrinsic mite factors were important for controlling population size and lesion developrnent.

The clinical evidence suggesting generalized demodicosis, especially in older dogs, is associated with immunosuppressive treatment or concurrent debilitating disease has been reported as indirect evidence that immune system dysfunction contributes to the development of demodicosis (Duclos *et* **al.,** 1994; **Scott** et *al.,* 1995; Lemarie, 1996; Lemarie et al., 1996). Again, systemic alterations associated with immunosuppressive treatment in dogs or with debilitating disease are not lirnited to the T-ceil **arm of** the immune system and are generally considered **to** impact multiple host systems in addition **to** other components of the immune system (Kuby, 1997). Immunosuppressive corticosteroid treatment is very common in veterinary medicine, yet it appears that dogs receiving this treatment rarely develop generaiized demodicosis despite widespread Mage of **D.** *canis* **(Scott** *et al-,* **1995).**

Dogs with acquired immunosuppression or dogs with naturally occurring immune deficiency syndromes (for example, dogs with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency) have not been investigated to determine if normal carriage of D .

canis is altered in these conditions. **In** a **human** study, immunosuppressed rend transplant patients did not have increased numbers of *D. folliculorum* on their skin surface when compared to healthy controls (Aydingoz et **al.,** 1997). Numerous surveys of patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome **(AIDS)** have not identified **an** increased incidence of skin lesions associated **with** *Demodex* spp.(Cockerell, 1993; Mirowski *et* al., 1998; Munoz-Perez et al., 1998; Aftergut & Cockerell, 1999; Jing & Ismail, 1999) despite widespread carriage of *D. folliculorum* and *D. brevis* on humans. These acquired conditions are known to exhibit severe T-cell deficiencies, yet *Demodex spp.* populations appear unaffected (Aydingoz et al., 1997; Kuby, 1997). These findings suggest that **T**-cell function is not required to limit *Demodex spp*. populations on the human host.

While studying ectoparasite treatments for mice, Hill and colleagues discovered D. *musculi* on mice and subsequently transferred **this** mite to several different mouse mutants (Hill *et* al., 1999). Although limited, non quantitative, data were reported, **D.** *musculi* were recovered from tge26 mice (T-cell and NK-cell deficient) and prad-1 mice (epidermal proliferation defect, but immunocompetent). *Demodex* musculi were then successfully transferred **to** scid mice (T-ce11 and B-ce11 deficient), but did not appear to establish on *Rag1* knockout mice (T-cell and B-cell deficient), nude mice (T-cell deficient) or SSIN mice (immunocompetent). Mites were reported to be more readily recovered from tge26 mice and scid mice (data not provided) than from immunocompetent prad-1 mice. This study does not identify a clear association between T-cell, NK-ceil, or B-ce11 deficiency and increased colonization by **D.** *musadi.* Rather,

these experiments suggest that other host factors, aside from acquired immunity, could be involved in controlling mite numbers on mouse skin.

Finally, although there is good histological and immunohistochemical evidence that a lymphocyte mediated tissue response targets **D. canis** infected hair follicles, it is not clear that these lymphocytes limit mite populations (Caswell et *al.,* **i995;** Caswell et **al.,** 1997). Mite numbers could be controlled by another mechanism, mediated either by the host or by mite factors, and lymphocytes are merely responding to mite antigens released by this mechanism and are not directly involved in mite clearance. Altematively, **LI. canis** could alter presentation of host antigen and induce a tissue specific autoimmune-like reaction mediated by lymphocytes that is not directed towards mites. The similarity between the interface folliculitis reaction pattern that occurs in demodicosis and the skin inflammatory reactions observed in autoimmune conditions, such as lupus, or conditions such as **GVHD** supports this latter possibility (Yager & Wilcock, 1994). The evidence in the literature leaves room for an expanded interpretation of the pathogenesis of demodicosis and the role of lymphocytes in this disease.

What mechanisms limit or promote *D. canis* overgrowth on dogs with demodicosis? In the experiments in this study, *D.* **canis grew** to large numbers on skin grafts that were derived from normal adult dogs and mite numbers reached levels similar to that of naturally occurring disease. Because skin grafts were isolated from systemic host factors, this observation suggests that local innate skin mechanisms of host resistance are not sufficient to control mite populations on the **skin.** Rather, it appears that either systernic host mechanisms or possibly intrinsic mite-related factors are

important in controlling mite numbers on canine skin. Regarding the possible systemic factors, lymphocyte treatment **was** not associated with a decrease in **D.** *mis* numbers on canine skin grafts. Other systemic factors, such as serum proteins or hormones should be investigated for the ability to limit mite colonization of skin grafts. In **this** study, **D.** *canis* continued to proliferate to high nurnbers on skin grafts after removal fiom the diseased host. This finding suggests that a continued stimulus from the diseased **biost** is not **required** for mite replication and the role that intrinsic mite factors play in mite proliferation should be investigated further.

5.3.2.2 *Skin Lesion Development in Canine Demodicosis*

Controversy remains regarding the contribution of *Demodex spp*. to skin lesion development. Previous reports contend that mites contribute to the formation of skin lesions by directly damaging **haïr** follicles, probably as a result of feeding **(Nutting,** 1976b; Nutting **et** al., 1989). Hair loss, follicular wall erosion, extemal root sheath and epidermal hyperplasia, hyperpigmentation and follicular rupture are some of the changes that have been attributed to mite activity in hair follicles. These mites **are** thought to **feed** by piercing cells with their stylet-like chelicera and to ingest cellular contents after preoral digestion (Desch *et al.*, 1989). Except for the presence of epidermal "pits" associated with **D.** *cricefi* on hamsters, there is minimal direct evidence **for** a cell-piercing mode of feeding or for direct darnage to the hair follicle by mites **(Nutting** et al., **1989).** The functional effect of mites on hair growth or the effect of a large population of mites on hair follicle rnorphology, in the absence of host humoral or cellular immunity, has not been reported.

In the current study, *D. canis* infected skin xenografts provided a means to assess mite induced hair follicle damage and developrnent of skin lesions in the absence of host inflammation. The conditions were suitable for allowing mite-related foliicle damage to occur. Mite numbers reached into the thousands on skin grafts and mites were actively replicating on **&rafts,** producing all life-cycle stages. There **was** ample time for follicular damage to occur; mites were incubated on grafts for at least 3 months.

Gross or histological lesions of demodicosis did not develop despite the presence of thousands of actively proliferating mites on grafts (Table **4.4-1).** Besides dilation of the haïr follicle lumen and attenuation of the hair follicle wall around larger numbers of mites, degenerative or adaptive changes were not observed for infected hair follicies. **The** number of mites on grafts, and their location in the superficial follicle, and less ofien in sebaceous gland **ducts,** was similar to naturally occurring cases of demodicosis (Unsworth, 1946; Nutting, 1976a). Instead of loosing hair, as is seen in demodicosis, grafts continued to grow more hair (when not complicated by bacterial infection) even **though** mite populations were expanding in hair follicles. **As** many as 60 mites occupied a hair follicle unit for grafts on tge26 mice and did not induce hair loss (Table 4.3-1). Therefore, **D. canis** does not appear to have a tùnctiond effect on hair growth. These fmdings indicate that infiammation, and not mite colonization, is responsible for lesion development in demodicosis (Caswell et al., 1995; Caswell et al., **1997).** The presence of mites in the dermis, observed in demodicosis, likely results from lymphocyte mediated destruction of the hair follicle wall, or the consequences of bacterial pyoderma, and not from active mite penetration (Caswell et al , 1995). The results also support the conclusions by Sheahan and **Gafiiar** that *D.* **canis** do not feed by piercing viable

keratinocytes but feed most likely on the lipid rich surface film and/or non-viable keratinocytes at the **skin** surface (Sheahan & **Gaafar,** 1970).

The observation that mites do not appear to directly damage haïr follicles impacts Our understanding of host recognition of *Demaiex pp.* Keratinocytes *are* known to constitutively express pro-inflammatory mediators within the cytoplasm, such as interleukin-1 alpha (IL-1 α), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and **can** be induced to express several others (Luger *et* **aL,** 1997). For example, feeding and burrowing by *Sarcoptes scabiei* on *in vitro* constructed human epidermis is associated **with** release of **IL-la** and **IL43** fiom keratinocytes (Arlian *et al.,* **1996). ED. cmis** feed without disrupting keratinocytes, then mites may avoid activation of the host immune response by this mechanism. Furthemore, *Demodex* spp. do not have a posterior opening to their gastrointestinal tract and do not excrete feces that are potentially antigenic (Desch et **aL** , **1989).** Finally, sebaceous dwelling *D. brevis* from humans are coated **with** a carbohydrate antigen that is also expressed in sebaceous glands and this may be an example of antigenic mimicry or host antigen acquisition being exploited by mites to avoid immune recognition (Kanitakis *et al.*, 1997). Together, these findings suggest that *Demodex spp.* may have evolved to evade host recognition as a means to avoid elimination by the host immune response.

Mite antigens could possibly be released and recognized by the host through two mechanisms. First, if mites die and their exoskeleton ruptures while the mite rernains on the skin surface, **then** interna1 mite antigens could be released and become available for host recognition. Second, *Demodex qp.* require pre-oral digestion of their food and appear to secrete enzyme rich saliva from large paired salivary glands to accomplish this

@esch et **al.,** 1989). Externalized salivary antigens may be available for host recognition. If mite antigens are recognized by the host, then the lack of mite induced tissue trauma may actually favor the development of tolerance, thereby facilitating maintenance of *D. canis* on the skin surface (Grabbe et al., 1996; Matzinger, 1998; McFadden & Basketter, **2000).** Further investigation is required to determine the specific mechanisms of host recognition of *Demodex spp.* antigens. These events at the host parasite interface are important for understanding the development of inflammation in demodicosis and the apparent host tolerance observed in normal dogs that harbor *D. canis* (Scott *et* **al.,** 1995).

5.3.3 Future Investigations of Canine Demodicosis

The results of the current study bring into question the accepted dogma that lymphocytes limit D. *canis* populations on canine skin and are protective for demodicosis. Future experiments should be directed towards: (1) confirming or refuting the findings of the current study with regard to the functional role of lymphocytes in demodicosis; (2) assessing other systemic factors (humoral, endocrine, etc.) in the control of **D.** *cmis* on canine skin; and (3) evaluating **D.** *canis* biology and intrinsic mite factors that affect proliferation.

Three in **vivo** experimental techniques could be used **to** address mechanisms of host resistance to *D. canis* and the biology of this mite. First, in the current study, the **skin** xenograft mouse mode1 was developed sufficiently that it could be used to assess the role of systemic humoral or endocrine factors in limiting mite populations on canine skin. Using the xenograft model, basic questions, such as ''what is the life span of **D. amis?"**
could finally be addressed, Mites derived trom normal dogs and those with localized or generalized demodicosis codd be compareci on syngeneic grafts to assess for population differences in proliferative capacity and to identify replication stimuli or inhibitors.

To address lymphocyte hnction, newer immunodificient mice, such as *RagI/jc* double knockouts, could be used in attempts to improve canine lymphocyte engraftment. Coengraftment of a canine lymph node, in addition to canine skin, may enhance the ability to generate a more robust lymphocyte mediated tissue reaction by facilitating expansion of reacting clones (Carballido et *al.,* 2000). To insure that adequate numbers of *D. canis* specific lymphocyte clones are available for reconstituting mice, tissues could be collected from dogs that had recently recovered from localized demodicosis. These dogs would theoretically be known capable of clearing mites from the skin surface. These experiments are difficult because it is difficult to acquire acceptable dogs. Stimulation of lymphocytes in *vitro* with **D.** *canis* antigens, an alternative method for generating **increased** numbers of mite specific T-ce11 clones, is complicated by difficulties in obtaining mite antigens fiee of bacterial and/or allogeneic keratinocyte antigens (personal observation; T. Bell, persona1 communication).

A second method would be to experimentally deplete normal adult dogs of lymphocyte subsets using monoclonal antibodies before D. **cmis** infection. Previous studies have used this method to immunosuppress dogs for organ transplant studies using antibodies to **CD4** and CD8 lymphocyte cell surface antigens (Watson *et* **al.,** 1993; Watson et al., 1995). The immunologic defect induced would be more specific than the severe immunosuppression induced in dogs with antilymphocyte serum in the **D.** *canis* studies of the 1970s (Owen, **1972;** Healey & **Gaafar,** 1977a). However, dogs develop an

194

immune response to the foreign antibody and this complication has iimited antibody treatment in **canine and human** organ transplant studies and **has** spurred the development of **human** chimeric antibodies (Watson et **al.,** 1993; Mourad **et** *al.,* **1998).** A comparative benefit of the xenograft model is the ability to obtain larger numbers in treatment and control groups with syngeneic grafts. Additionally, *D.* **amis** replication is restricted to the skin xenograft (personal observation), whereas after infection of dogs, mites could disperse over the body **making** it difficult to assess a quantitative treatment effect.

Finally, a third in vivo approach is comparative and based on assessing the resistance of different immunodeficient mutant mice to *D. musculi* (introduced above) (Hill *et al.*, 1999). The use of mice with specific gene mutations affecting different host mechanisms of interest offers an attractive **means** to delineate those factors involved in host resistance to *Demdex spp.* skin colonization. A number of relevant knockout mice are commercially available for use in **this** approach; however, little is known about the **natural** distribution **and** availability of *D. musculi* (Hill *et al.,* **1999).** Mouse sttaïns exhibit different immune responses and therefore different mutations should be compared on the same background strain and to the same strain lacking the mutation (Charles et al., **1999).**

5.4 CONCLUSIONS

- 1) The skin xenograft mouse mode! was successfùlly adapted for use with canine tissues.
	- a) Techniques for graRing full-thickness canine **skin** were developed **that** allowed the production of well-haired skin **grafts** on immunodeficient mice. Factors affecting **early** vascular perfiision of full-thickness skin **grafts, gr&** stability and

195

mouse-related trauma were important for achieving good quality grafts. The first macroscopic and microscopie descriptions of canine skin xenografts are provideci. Full-thickness and split-thickness canine skin grafts contract significantly and similarly during healing. Larger canine skin grafts were developed than previously reported. Canine skin was successfùlly grafted to **tg26** rnice, ICR scid **mice** and *Rag2* knockout mice for the first tirne.

- b) Canine lymphocytes **can** survive transfer to the immunodeficient mouse and are capable of producing immunoglobulin and mediating effector fùnctions **within** canine skin grafts. **Survival** of **canine** lymphocytes, delivered in the form of PBMC, was generally higher in tge26 mice than in *Rag2* null mice or ICR scid mice, as demonstrated by circulating canine **IgG** levels. In **vitro** stimulation of canine lymphocytes with PHA-P and hr-IL-2 was associated with increased production of canine IgG in *Rag2* mice. The half-life of canine **IgG** in tgc26 mice is **2.9** days.
- 2) **It** was demonstrated that the **skin** xenograft mouse model of **canine** demodicosis recreates aspects of the naturally occurring disease and is a useful experimental tool for studying canine demodicosis.
	- a) Canine skin grafts from different dogs, on different mouse mutants, could be repeatedly infected with *D. canis* and mites replicated to high numbers on grafts, similar to those observed in demodicosis. This study refined the skin xenograft mouse model into the first useful system for culturing *D. canis* in the laboratory.
	- b) Of the imrnunodeficient **mice** evaluated in this study, *Rag2* knockout mice were most usefùl for skin xenograft experiments modeling canine demodicosis.

196

- 3) In the canine skin xenograft mouse model, lymphocytes did not limit *D. canis* proliferation on skin grafts. Inoculation with canine lymphocytes, stimulated nonspecifically in **vitro,** led to an increase in mite numbers on skin grafts.
- 4) Grafting experiments demonstrated that local mechanisms of **skin** innate defense alone do not control D. **cmis** proliferation on canine **skin.** Systemic host mechanisrns and/or intrinsic mite factors control mite proliferation on dog skin.
- 5) *Demodex canis*, collected from dogs with generalized demodicosis, continued to proliferate to high numbers when transferred to skin grafts from normal dogs on mice. Mite proliferation in demodicosis does not require a continued stimulus from the diseased canine host.
- *6) Dernodex* **canis** alone did not induce skin lesions in canine xenografts. *Dernociex* **conis** activity does not contribute to skin lesion development and does not induce hair loss in demodicosis. Inflammation is required for development of skin lesions in demodicosis.

REFERECES:

Anonymous (1989) *Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources (U.S.)* Commitee on Immunologically Compromised Rodents. Immunodeficient rodents: a Guide to Their Immunobiology, Husbandry, and Use. National Academy Press, Washington D. C. p 69-71.

Abedi, M.R, Christenson, B., Islam, **K.B.,** Hammarstrom, L. & Smith, CI. (1992) Immunoglobulin production in severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice reconstituted with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Eur J Immunol*, 22, 823-828.

Aftergut, K. & Cockerell, **C.J.** (1999) Update on the cutaneous manifestations of **HIV** infection. Clinical and pathologic features. Dermatol Clin, 17, 445-471.

Alegre, M.L., Peterson, L.J., Jeyarajah, D.R., Weiser, M., Bluestone, J.A. & Thistlethwaite, J.R (1994) Severe combined immunodeficient **mice** engrafted **with** human splenocytes have functional **human** T cells and reject human allografis. J Immunol, 153, 2738-2749.

Arlim L.G., Vyszenski-Moher, D.L., Rapp, **C.M** & Hull, B.E. (1996) Production of IL-**¹**alpha and **IL1** beta by **human** skin equivalents parasitized by Sarcoptes scabiei. J Parasitol, 82, 719-723.

Atillasoy, ES., Elenitsas, R, Sauter, **ER,** Soballe, P.W. & Herlyn, M. (1997) UVB induction of epithelial tumors in human skin using a RAG-1 mouse xenograft model. J Invest Dermafol, 109, 704-709.

Aydingoz, I.E., Mansur, T. & Dervent, B. (1997) Demodex folliculorum in renal transplant patients. Dermatology, 195, 232-234.

Baker, D.W. & Fisher, W.F. (1969) The incidence of demodectic mites in the eyelids of **various** manmalian hosts. *JEcon* Entomol, 62, 942.

Baker, K.P. (1969) The histopathology and pathogenesis of demodicosis in the dog. J of Comp Path, 79, 321-327.

Baker, K-P. (1970) Observations on the epidemiology, diagnosis **and** treatment of demodicosis in dogs. Vet Rec, 86, 90-91.

Baker, K.P. (1975) Hyperpigmentation of the skin in canine demodicosis. Vet Parasitol, 1, 193-197.

Balson, **GA,** Yager, **I.A.** & Croy, B.A. (1992) Scidheige mice in the **study** of immunity to Rhodococcus equi. In: W. Plowright, P.D. Rossdale and J.F. Wade (Eds), Equine Infectious Diseases, Vol. VI. R & W Publications, Ltd., Newmarket, p. 49-53.

Balson, **G.A,** Croy, **B.A,** Ross, **T.L.** & Yager, LA (1993) Demonstration ofequine immunoglobulin in sera **fkom** severe combined immunodeficiencyheige **mice** inoculated with equine lymphocytes. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 39, 315-325.

Bancroft, G.J., Schreiber, R.D. & Unanue, E.R. (1991) Natural immunity: a T-cellindependent pathway of macrophage activation, defined in the scid mouse. *Immunol Rev*, 124, 5-24.

Barriga, *O.O.,* **Al** Khalidi, N.W., Martin, S. & Wyman, **M-** (1 **992)** Evidence of immunosuppression by *Demodex canis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol*, 32, 37-46.

Barta, O., Waltman, C., Shaffer, L.M. & Oyekan, P.P. (1982) Effect of serum on lymphocyte blastogenesis- **1.** Basic charactenstics of action by diseased dog serum. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 3, 567-583.

Barta, O., Waltman, C., Oyekan, PX, McGrath, **RK.** & Hribernik, **T.N.** (1983) Lymphocyte transformation suppression caused by pyoderma-failure to demonstrate it in uncomplicated demodectic mange. *Comp Immunol, Microbiol and Infect Dis*, 6, 9-18.

Barta, O. & Barta, V. (1993) Immunological techniques evaluating cells and their functions. In: O. Barta (Ed) Veterinary Clinical Immunology Laboratory, Vol. 2. BAR-LAB **Inc.,** Blacksburg. p. B 1-7 - B5-12.

Bhalerao, **D.P.** & Bose, **D.A** (1990) Cutaneous response to intradermal injection of phytohemagglutinin - M in dogs infested with *Demodex canis. J Bombay Vet Coll*, 2,61-63.

Billingham, RE. & Medawar, P.B. (1951) The technique of skin **grafting** in mammals. *J* Exp Bio, 28, 385-402.

Bivin, S. & Smith, *G.* (1984) Techniques of experimentation. In: J. Fox, B. Cohen and F. **Loew** (Eds), Laboratoy *animal* medicine. Academic Press, Inc, San Diego. p. 563-594.

Bhck K.E. & Jederberg, W.W. (1985) Athymic nude mice and human skin grafting. In: H.I. Maibach and N.J. Lowe (Eds), *Models in Dermatology*, Vol. 1. Karger, Basel, p. 228-239,

Blunt, T., Finnie, NI, Taccioli, G.E., Smith, *G.C.,* Demengeot, J., Gottiieb, T.M., Mizuta, R., Varghese, A.J., Alt, F.W., Jeggo, P.A. & et al. (1995a) Defective DNAdependent protein kinase activity is linked to $V(D)J$ recombination and DNA repair defects associated with the murine scid mutation. Cell, 80, 813-823.

Blunt, **T.,** Taccioli, G.E., Priestley, **A, Hafezparast,** M., McMïllan, T., Liu, **J.,** Cole, **C.C.,** White, J., Alt, F.W., Jackson, S.P. & et al. (1995b) A YAC contig encompassing the

XRCCS (Ku80) DNA repair gene and complementation of defective cells by YAC protoplast fusion. Genomics, 30, 320-328.

Boehncke, W.K, Sterry, W., Hainzl, A, Scheffold, W. & Kaufmann, R (1994) Psoriasiform architecture of murine epidermis overlying human psoriatic dermis transplanted onto **SCID mice.** Arch Dermatol Res, 286,325-3 3 0.

Boehncke, W-K, Zollner, **T.M.,** Dressel, D. & Kaufmann, R (1997) Induction of psoriasiform inflammation by a bactenal superantigen in the SCID-hu xenogeneic transplantation model. *J Cutan Pathol,* 24, 1-7.

Bosca, AR, Tinois, **E.,** Faure, **M.,** Kanitakis, **J-,** Roche, P. & Thivolet, **J.** (1988) Epithelial differentiation of human skin equivalents after **grafting** onto nude mice. *J* Invest Dennatol, 91, 13 6- **14** 1,

Bosma, *G.C.,* Custer, **RP.** & Bosma, M. **J.** (1983) A severe combined immunodeficiency mutation in the mouse. Nafure, 301, 527-530.

Bosma, G.C., Fried, M., Custer, RP., Carroll, A, Gibson, D.M. & Bosma, M.J. (1988) Evidence of functional lymphocytes in some (leaky) scid mice. *J Exp Med*, **167**, 1016-1033.

Bosma, M.J. (1992) B and T cell leakiness in the scid mouse mutant. *Immunodefic Rev*, 3, 261-276.

Brandsma, **J.L.,** Brownstein, **D.G.,** Xao, W. & Longley, B. J. (1995) Papilloma formation in **human** foreskin xenografts **after** inoculation of human papillomavirus **type** 16 DNA J Virol, 69, 2716-2721.

Braverman, **1.M-** (1989) Ultrastructure and organization of the cutaneous microvasculature in normal and pathologie States. **JImest Dermafol** 93, **2s-9s.**

Breyere, **E. J.** (1 **972)** A quantitative experimental **skui-grafking** technique in mice. Med *Ann* Dist Columbia, 41, 51-55.

Briggaman, R.A. & Wheeler, C.E., Jr. (1976) Lamellar ichthyosis: long-term graft studies on congenitally athymic nude mice. *J Invest Dermatol*, 67, 567-576.

Bnggaman, RA (1980) Localization of the defect in skin diseases analyzed in the human skin graft-nude mouse model. *Cum* Probl Dennafol, 10, 11 **5-126.**

Briggaman, R.A. (1985) Human skin graft-nude mouse model: techniques and application. In: D. Skerrow and C.J. Skerrow (Eds), Methods of Skin Research. Chischester, Toronto, p. 25 1-276.

Bukva, V. (1991) Structural reduction and topological retrieval: problems in taxonomy of demodecidae. In: F. Dusbabek and V. Bukva (Eds), Modern Acarology, Vol. 1. SPB Academic Publishing, Prague, p. 293-300.

Buller, R.M., Burnett, J., Chen, W. & Kreider, J. (1995) Replication of molluscum contagiosum **virus.** Virology, 213,655-659.

Burketf *G.,* **Frank, L-A,** Bailey, E.M., Schmeitzel, **L.P.** & **Kania,** S.A. (1996) Immunology of dogs with juvenile-onset generalized demodicosis as determined by **Lymp** hoblastogenesis and CD4:CD8 analysis. J Vet Aller Clin Immunol, **4,4642.**

Carballido, J.M., Namikawa, R., Carballido-Perrig, N., Antonenko, S., Roncarolo, M.G. & de Vries, LE. (2000) Generation of **prirnary** antigen-specific human T- and B-ce11 responses in immunocompetent SCID-hu mice. Nar *Med,* 6,103- 106.

Carpenter, B **.A** (1 992) Enzyme-Linked Immunoassays. In: N.R Rose, **E.** C. DeMacrio, J.L. Fahey, H. Friedman and G.M. Penn (Eds), Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology . **American** Society for Microbiology, Washington, p. **2-9.**

Carroll, A.M. & Bosma, M.J. (1988) Detection and characterization of functional T cells in mice with severe combined immune deficiency. *Eur J Immunol*, 18, 1965-1971.

Carroll, AM. & Bosma, M.J. (1989) Rearrangement of **T** ce11 receptor delta genes in thymus of scid mice. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol, 152, 63-67.

Carroll, AM., Hardy, RR & Bosma, M. **J-** (1989) Occurrence of mature B *(IgM+,* **8220+)** and T (CD3+) lymphocytes in scid mice. *J Immunol*, **143**, 1087-1093.

Caswell, 1. (1995) Investigation of the imrnunopathology of canine demodicosis **@VSc** Thesis). In: *Pathology*. University of Guelph, Guelph.

Caswell, **KL.,** Yager, J-A, Ferrer, L. & Weir, **J.A.M.** (1995) **Canine** demodicosis: a reexamination of the histopathologic lesions and description of the immunophenotype of infiltrating cells. Vet Dermatol, 6, 9-19.

Caswell, **J.L.,** Yager, **J.A., Barta,** J.R & Parker, W. (1996) Establishment of *Demodex* canis on canine skin engrafted onto scid-beige mice. J Parasitol, 82, 911-915.

Caswell, J.L., Yager, **J.** A, Parker, **W.M.** & Moore, **P.F.** (1 997) A prospective study of the immunophenotype and temporal changes in the histologic lesions of canine demodicosis. Vet Pathol, 34,279-287.

Cayatte, S.M., **Scott,** D.W. & Miller, **W.H.** (1992) Perifollicular melanosis in the dog. Vet Dermatol, 3, 165-170.

Charles, P.C., Weber, KS., Cipriani, B. & Brosnan, C.F. (1999) Cytokine, chemokine and chemokine receptor **mRNA** expression in different strains of normal mice: implications for establishment of a Th1/Th2 bias. *J Neuroimmunol*, 100, 64-73.

Chen, **C.** (1995) A short-tailed demodectic mite and Demodex cmis infestation in a Chihuahua dog. Vet Dermatol, 6,227-229.

Chesney, **C.J.** (1999) Short form of *Demodex* species mite in the dog: occurrence and measurements. *J Small Anim Pract*, 40, 58-61.

Christensen, ND. & Kreider, LW. (1990) Antibody-mediated neutralization in vivo of infectious papilIomaviruses. *J Virol,* 64, 3 1 5 1-3 156.

Christensen, N.D., Kreider, **J.W.,** Cladel, N.M., Patrick, S.D. & Welsh, **PA** (1990) Monoclonal antibody-mediated neutralization of infectious human papillomavirus type 11. J Virol, 64, 5678-5681.

Christensen, N.D. & Kreider, J.W. (1991) Neutralization of CRPV infectivity by monoclonal antibodies that identify conformational epitopes on intact virions. *Virus Res*, 21, 169-179.

Christensen, N.D. & Kreider, J.W. (1993) Monoclonal antibody neutralization of BPV-1. *VirusRes,* 28, 195-202.

Christianson, S.W., Greiner, D.L., Hesselton, R.A., Leif, J.H., Wagar, E.J., Schweitzer, I.B., **Rajan, T.V., Gott,** B., Roopenian, **D.C.** & Sh* **LD.** (1997) Enhanced **human** CD4+ T cell engraftment in beta2-microglobulin-deficient NOD-scid mice. J Immunol, 158,3578-3586.

Christofidou-Solornidou, M., Murphy, G.F. & Albelda, S.M. (1996) Induction of Eselectin-dependent leukocyte recruitment by mast **ceIl** degranulation in human skin grafls transplanted on **SCID mice.** Am J *Pathol,* 148, 177-1 88.

Christofidou-Solomidou, M., Albelda, SM., Bennett, F.C. & Murphy, G.F. (1997a) Experimental production and modulation of human cytotoxic dermatitis in human-murine chimeras. Am *JPathol,* 150, 63 1-639.

Christofidou-Solomidou, M., Longley, B.J., Whitaker-Menezes, D., Albelda, S.M. & Murphy, G.F. (1997b) **Human** skin/SCID mouse chimeras as an in vivo mode1 for human cutaneous mast cell hyperplasia. *JImest* Dennafol, 109, 102-107.

Cockerell, C.J. (1993) Organ-specific manifestations of **HIV** infection. II. Update on cutaneous manifestations of **HW** infection. **Ai&,** 7, S2 13 -S2 1 8.

Coleman, S.R. (1997) Facial recontouring with lipostructure. *Clin Plast Surg*, 24, 347-367.

Corbett, R., Banks, K., Hinrichs, D. & Bell, T. (1975) Cellular immune responsiveness in dogs with demodectic mange. Transplant Proc, 7, 557-559.

Cubie, H.A. (1976) Failure to produce warts on human skin grafts on 'nude' mice. Br J Dermatol, 94, 659-665.

Custer, **RP.,** Bosma, *G.C.* & Bosma, M.J. (1985) Severe combined immunodeficiency **(SCID)** in the mouse. Pathology, reconstitution, neoplasms. Am **J** Pathol, 120,464-477.

Damian, **KT.** (1997) Parasite immune evasion and exploitation: reflections and projections. Parasitology, **115 Suppi,** S 169-S **175.**

Davisson, **M-T.** (1996) Rules and guidelines for gene nomenclature. In: M.F. Lyon, S. Rastan and S.D.M. Brown (Eds), *Genetic Variants and Strains of the Laboratory Mouse*, Vol. 1. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, p. 1-16.

Day, M.J. & Penhale, W.J. (1988) Serum immunoglobulin A concentrations in normal and diseased dogs. *Res Vet Sci*, 45, 360-363.

Day, M.J. (1997) An immunohistochemical study of the lesions of demodicosis in the dog. *J Comp Pathol*, 116, 203-216.

DeBoer, D., Volk, **L.** & **Olivry, T.** (1998) Canine antibody production in immunodeficient mice reconstituted with peripheral blood mononuclear cells fiom hypersensitive dogs **(ABSRACT).** *FASEB J,* 12, 1062.

DeBoer, D., Volk, L., Blum, **J.** & Lawton, **T.** (1999) Anti-IgE **induced** reduction of **canine** immunoglobulin-E concentrations in a scid-canine chimeric mouse model. In: Proceedings of the *AAVD /ACVD* annual meeting, Maui, Hawaii, p. 103.

DeBoer, D.J., Ihrke, **P.J.** & Stannard, **kk** (1988) Circulating immune cornplex concentrations in selected cases of skin disease in dogs. Am J Vet Res, 49, 143-146.

DeBoer, D.J. (1994) Immunomodulatory effects of staphylococcal antigen and antigenantibody complexes on canine mononuclear and polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Am **J** Vet Res, 55, 1690-1696.

Delhem, N., Hadida, F., Gorochov, G., Carpentier, F., de Cavel, J.P., Andreani, J.F., Autran, B. & Cesbron, J.Y. (1998) Primary Th1 cell immunization against HIVgp160 in SCID-hu mice coengrafted with peripheral blood lymphocytes and skin. *J Immunol*, 161, 2060-2069.

Demarchez, M., Sengel, P. & Prunieras, M. (1986) Wound healing of human skin transplanted onto the nude mouse. **1.** An immunohistological **study** of the reepithelialization process. *Dev Biol*, 113, 90-96.

Demarchez, M., **Hartmann,** D.J., Herbage, D., %lie, *G.* & Prunieras, **M.** (1987a) Wound healing of human skin transplanted onto the nude mouse. II. An immunohistological and ultrastructural study of the epidermal basement membrane zone reconstruction and connective tissue reorganization. *Dev Biol*, 121, 119-129.

Demarchez, M., **Hartmann,** D.J. & Prunieras, M. (198%) An immunohistologicd study of the revascularization process in human skin transplanted ont0 the nude mouse. Transplantation, 43, 896-903.

Demarchez, M., Asselineau, D. & Czemielewski, J. (1993) Migration of Langerhans cells into human epidemis of "reconstructed" **skin,** nomal **skm,** or **healing** skin, after grafhg onto the nude mouse. *J Invest Dermatol*, 100, 648-652.

Desch, C. & Nutting, W.B. (1972) Demodex folliculorum (Simon) and *D. brevis* akbulatova of man: redescription and reevaluation. J Parasitol, 58, 169-177.

Desch, C.E. (1973) The biology of the demodicids of man (PhD Thesis). In: *Zoology*. University of Massechusetts, Boston.

Desch, C.E. & Nutting, W.B. (1978) Morphology and functional anatomy of Demodex folliculorum (Simon) of man. Acarologia, 19,422-462.

Desch, C.E., Jr. (1984) The reproductive anatomy of *Demodex folliculorum* (Simon). In: D.A. Griffiths and C.E. Bowman (Eds), Acarology VI. Chichester, Toronto, p. 464-469.

Desch, C.E., Jr., ChannaBasavanna, **G.P.** & **Viaktamath,** C.A. (1989) The digestive system of *Demodex folliculorum* (Acari: Demodicidae) of man: a light and electron microscope study. Progress in acarology, 1, 187-195.

Desch, C.E., Jr. & Stewart, T.B. (1999) *Demodex gatoi:* new species of hair follicle mite **(A&:** Demodecidae) fiom the domestic cat (Carnivora: Felidae) . *JMed* Entomol, 3 6, 167-170,

Duchosal, **M-A, Eming, S.A,** McConahey, **P.L** & Dixon, F.J. (1992) The hu-PBL-SCID mouse model. Long-term human serologic evolution associated with the xenogeneic transfer of human peripheral blood leukocytes into **SCID mice.** Cell Immunol, 139,468- 477.

Duclos, D.D., Jeffers, J.G. & Shanley, **K.J.** (1994) Prognosis for treatment of adult-omet demodicosis in dogs: 34 cases (1979-1990). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*, 204, 616-619.

Eaton, **G.J.** (1976) Hair **growth** cycles and wave patterns in "nude" mice. Transplantation, 22, 217-222.

Eming, S.A., Lee, J., Snow, R.G., Tompkins, R.G., Yarmush, M.L. & Morgan, J.R. (1995) Genetically rnodified **human** epidermis overexpressing PDGF-A directs **the** development of a cellular and vascular connective tissue stroma when transplanted to athymic mice-implications for the use of genetically modified keratinocytes to modulate dermal regeneration. J Invest Dermatol, 105, 756-763.

Evans, S.S., Bain, M.D. & Wang, W.C. (2000) Fever-range hyperthermia stimulates alpha4beta7 integrin-dependent lymphocyte-endothelial adhesion. Int J Hyperthermia, 16, 45-59.

Farooqui, **J.Z.,** Robb, E., Boyce, S.T., Wardek G.D. & Nordlund, **J. J.** (1995) Isolation of a unique melanogenic inhibitor from human **skin** xenografts: initial in vitro and in vivo characterization. J Invest Dermatol, 104, 739-743.

Fife, **K.H.,** Whitfeld, M., Faust, H, Goheen, M.P., Bryan, **J.** & Brown, DR (1996) **Growth** of moluscum contagiosum virus in a human foreskin xenograft model. Virology, 226,95-101.

Flanagan, S.P. (1966) 'Nude', a new hairless gene with pleiotropic effects in the mouse. Genet Res, 8,295-309.

Fo~z, S.D., Geng, S., Nowakowski, L.H. & **Conklin,** RD. (1978) Evaluation of a new treatment for canine scabies and demodecosis. *J Vet Pharmacol Therap*, 1, 199-204.

French, F.E. (1 **962)** Biology **and** Morphology of Demodex *canis* **(PhD** Thesis). In: Entomology. Iowa State University, Ames.

French, F.E. (1963) Two Larva Stadia of Derndx canis Legdig **(Acarina:** Trombidiformes). Acarologia, 5, 34-38.

French, F.E., **Raun,** E. S. & Baker, D.L. (1 964) Transmission of Demodex *canis* leydig to pups. *Iowa St J Sci*, 38, 291-298.

Frey, M., Packianathan, N.B., Fehniger, T.A., Ross, M.E., Wang, W.C., Stewart, C.C., Caligiuri, M.A. & Evans, S.S. (1998) Differential expression and function of L-selectin on CD56bright and CD56dim natural killer cell subsets. *J Immunol*, 161, 400-408.

Friedman, T., Shimizu, A., Smith, RN., Colvin, RB., Seebach, **J.D.,** Sachs, **D.H.** & Iacomini, J. (1999) Human CD4+ T cells mediate rejection of porcine xenografts. *J* Immunol, 162, 5256-5262.

Gafaar, S.M., Smalley, N.D. & **Turk,** RD. (1958) The incidence of Demodex species on skins of apparently normal dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc, 133, 122-123.

Gilhar, A & Krueger, *G.G.* (1987) **Hair** growth in scalp grafts **nom** patients with alopecia **areata** and alopecia universalis **grafted** ont0 nude mice. *Arch* Derrnaiol, 123,44- 50.

Gilhar, A., Pillar, T., Eidelman, S. & Etzioni, A. (1989a) Vitiligo and idiopathic guttate hypomelanosis. Repigmentation of skin following engraftment onto nude mice. Arch Dermatol, 125, 1363-1366.

Gilhar, A., Pillar, T., Winterstein, G. & Etzioni, A. (1989b) The pathogenesis of lichen planus. *Br* JDermatoi, 120, 541-544.

Gilhar, A., Pillar, T. & David, M. (1991a) Aged versus young skin before and after transplantation onto nude mice. Br *J Dermatol,* 124, **168-** 17 1.

Gilhar, A, Pillar, T., David, M. & Eidelman, S. (199 1b) Melanocytes and Langerhans cells in aged versus young skin before and after transplantation onto nude mice. J Invest *Demol,* **96,2** 10-214,

Gilhar, A, Pillar, T., Assay, B. & David, M. (1992) Failwe of passive transfer of serum from patients with alopecia areata and alopecia universalis to inhibit hair growth in transplants of **human** scalp skin grafted on to nude mice. Br J *DematoI,* 126, 166-171.

Gilhar, A, David, M., **Ullmann,** Y., Berkutski, T. & Kalish, RS. (1997) T-lymphocyte dependence of psoriatic pathology in human psoriatic skin grafled to **SCID** mice. J Invest Dematol, 109, 283-288.

Gilhar, A, Ullmann, Y., Berkutzki, T., **Assy,** B. & Kalish, **RS.** (1998) Autoimmune hair loss (alopecia areata) transferred by T lymphocytes to human scalp explants on SCID mice. *J Clin Invest*, 101, 62-67.

Goldman, **J.P.,** Blundell, **M.P.,** Lopes, L., Kinnon, **C.,** Di Santo, J.P. & **Thrasher,** AI. (1998) **Enhanced** human ce11 engraftrnent in mice deficient in **RAG2** and the cornmon cytokine receptor gamma chain. Br J Haematol, 103, 335-342.

Grabbe, S., Steinert, M., Mahnke, K., Schwartz, A, Luger, **T.A.** & **Schwarz,** T. (1996) Dissection of antigenic and irritative effects of epicutaneously applied haptens in mice. Evidence that not the antigenic component but nonspecific proinflammatory effects of haptens determine the concentration-dependent elicitation of allergic contact dermatitis. J Clin Imest, 98, 1158-1 164.

Graem, N., Dabelsteen, E. & Tommerup, N. (1984) Blood group substances, T6 antigen and heterochromatin pattern as species markers in the nude mouse/human skin model. *Exp Cell Biol*, **52**, 251-259.

Green, F.D., Lee, K.W. & Balish, E. (1982) Chronic *T. mentagrophytes* dermatophytosis of guinea pig skin grafts on nude mice. *J Invest Dermatol*, 79, 125-129.

Greenwood, ID. & Croy, *B.k* (1993) A study on the engraftment **and** trafficking of bovine peripheral blood leukocytes in severe combined immunodeficient mice. Vet *Imrnunol* Immunopahol, 38,2 1-44.

Greenwood, J.D., Bos, N.A. & Croy, B.A. (1996) Offspring of xenogeneicallyreconstituted scid/scid mice are capable of a primary xenogeneic immune response to DNP-KLH. Vei Immunol Imrnunoputhol, 50, **145-** 155.

Greenwood, J.D., Croy, B.A., Trout, D.R. & Wilcock, B.P. (1997) Xenogeneic (bovine) peripheral blood leukocytes engrafted into severe combined immunodeficient mice retain **primary immune function.** Vet *Immunol Immunopathol*, 59, 93-112.

Greiner, D.L., Shultz, L.D., Yates, **i.,** Appel, MC, Perdrizet, G., Hesselton, RM., Schweitzer, I., Beamer, W.G., Shultz, **K.L.,** Pelsue, S.C. & et al. (1995) Improved engraftment of human spleen cells in NOD/LtSz-scid/scid mice as compared with C.B-17-scid/scid mice. Am *J* Pathol, 146, **888-902.**

Greve, J.H. & **Gaafar, S.M.** (1966) Natural transmission of Demodex canis in dogs. JAm Vet Med Assoc, 148, 1043-1045.

Gross, T., Ihrke, P. & Walder, E. (1992) Veterinary Dermatopathology: a Macroscopic and Microscopic Evaluation of Canine and Feline *Skin Disease*. Mosby, St. Louis. p. 252-270.

Hammad, H., Duez, C., Fahy, O., Tsicopoulos, A., Andre, C., Wallaert, B., Lebecque, S., Tonnel, A.B. & Pestel, J. (2000) Human dendritic cells in the severe combined immunodeficiency mouse model: their potentiating role in the allergic reaction. *Lab* Invest, 80, 605-614.

Hammerberg, B., Bevier, D., DeBoer, **D. J.,** Olivry, T., Orton, **S.M.,** Gebhard, D. & Vaden, **S.L.** (1997) Auto **IgG** anti-IgE and **IgG x IgE** immune complex presence and effects on ELISA-based quaniitation of **IgE** in canine atopic dermatitis, demodectic acariasis and helminthiasis. Vet *Immunol Immunopathol*, 60, 33-46.

Hashimoto, **T., Kazama,** T., Ito, M., Urano, **Ki,** Katakai Y. & Ueyama, Y. (1996) Histological examination of human hair follicles grafted onto severe combined immunodeficent (SCID) mice. In: J. Van Neste and V. Randell (Eds), *Hair Research for* the Next Millenium. Elsevier Science, New York, p. 141-145.

Healey, M.C. & **Gaafar, S.M-** (1977a) Immunodeficiency in canine demodectic **mange. 1.** experimental production of lesions using antilymphocyte serum. Vet Parasitol, 3, 121-**13** 1.

Healey, **MC.** & **Gaafar, S.U** (1977b) Immunodeficiency in canine demodectic mange. **1.** Experimental production of lesions using antilymphocyte serum. II. Skin reactions to phytohemagglutinin and concanavalin A. Vet Parasitol, 3, 121-140.

Hendrickson, **E.A** (1993) The SCID mouse: reIevance as an animal model system for studying human disease. Am J Pathol, 143, 1511-1522.

Herz, U., **Schnoy,** N., Borelli, S., Weigl, L., Kasbohrer, U., Daser, A, **Wahq U.,** Kottgen E. & Renz. H. (1998) A human-SCID mouse model for allergic immune response bacterial superantigen enhances skin inflammation and suppresses IgE production. *J Invest Dermatol*, 110, 224-231.

Heslop, B.F. & Shaw, J.H. (1986) Early vascularization of syngeneic, allogeneic and xenogeneic skin **grafls.** *Aust N Z* **J** *Surg,* **56,357-3** *62.*

Hill, L., Kille, P., Weiss, D., Craig, T. & Coghlan, L. (1999) *Demodex musculi* in the skin of transgenic mice. Confemp Topics, **38,** 13- 18.

Hill, P.B., Moriello, K.A. & DeBoer, D.J. (1995) Concentrations of total serum IgE, IgA, and IgG in atopic and parasitized dogs. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 44, 105-113.

Hillier, A. & Desch, C.E. (1997) A new species of demodex mite in the dog: case report. In: 13th annual proceedings of the *ACVD and AAVD*, Nashville, Tennessee, p. 118-119.

Himonas, **CA,** Theodorides, **J.T.** & **Alexakis,** AE. (1975) Demodectic mites in the evelids of domestic animals in greece. *J Parasitol*, 61, 766.

Hirsh, D.C., Baker, B.B., Wiger, N., Yaskulski, S *.G.* & Osbum, B.I. (1975) Suppression of in vitro lymphocyte transformation by serum from dogs with generalized demodicosis. Am J Vet Res, 36, 1591-1595.

Hoefakker, S., Baik, **HP.,** Boersrna, W.J., van **Joost,** T., Notten, **W.R** & Claassen, E. (1995) Migration of **human** antigen-presenting cells in a human skin **grafl** ont0 **nude mice** model after contact sensitization. Immunology, 86, 296-303.

Hollander, G.A, Simpson, S.J., Mizoguchi, E., Nichogiannopouloy A, She, J., Gutierrez-Ramos, J.C., Bhan, A.K., Burakoff, S.J., Wang, B. & Terhorst, C. (1995) Severe colitis in mice with aberrant thymic selection. *Immunity*, **3**, 27-38.

Holub, M. (1989) Lyrnphatic tissues **and** cellular immune reactions. In: M. Holub (Ed) Immunology of *Nude* Mice. CRC Press **Inc.,** Boca Raton, p. 1 1 1-129.

Jain, N. (1993) *Essentials of Veterinary Hematology.* Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia.

Jing, W. & Ismail, R (1999) Mucocutaneous manifestations of **HIV** infection: a retrospective analysis of 145 cases in a Chinese population in Malaysia. Int J Dermatol, **38,457-463,**

Johnson, C.M., Selleseth, **D.W.,** Ellis, M.N., Childers, **T.A.,** Tompkins, M.B. & Tompkins, W.A (1994) Feline lymphoid tissues engrafted into scid mice maintain morphologic structure and produce feline immunoglobulin. Lab Anim Sci, 44, 313-318.

Juhasz, I., Murphy, **G.F-, Yan,** H.C., Herlyn, M. & Albelda, **S.M.** (1993) Regdation of extracellular matrix proteins and integrin ce11 substratum adhesion receptors on epithelium during cutaneous human wound healing in vivo. Am J Pathol, 143, 1458-1469.

Juhasz, I., Simon, M., Jr, Herlyn, M. & Hunyadi, J. (1996) Repopulation of Langerhans cells during wound healing in **an** experimentd human skin/SCID mouse model. *Immunol* Lett. 52, 125-128.

Kaestner, **K.H.,** Knochel, W. & Martinez, D.E. (2000) Unified nomenclature for the winged helix/forkhead transcription factors. Genes Dev, 14, 142-6.

Kakutani, **H.** & Takahashi, S. (1987) Serial observations of tissue reaction in dermatophytosis experimentally induced in human skin graft to nude mouse. Jap J Med Mycol, 28, 175-183.

Kamboj, D.S., Singh, K.B., Banga, H.S., Naresh, S., Nauriyal, D.C. & Sood, N. (1993) Study on the histopathological changes of canine demodicosis. *Int J Anim Sci*, 8, 119-**121.**

Kanitakis, J., **Al-Rifai,** I., Faure, M. & Claudy, **A.** (1 997) Demodex mites of human skin express Tn but not T (Thomsen-Friedenreich) antigen immunoreactivity [letter]. *J Cutan* Pathol, 24, 454-5.

Kaufinann, R, Mielke, V., Reimann, J., Klein, C.E. & Sterty, W. (1993) Cellular and molecular composition of human skin in long-term xenografts on **SCID** mice. *Exp Dermafol,* 2, **209-2** 16.

Kaul, **R,** Sharma, A, Lisse, J.R & Christadoss, P. (1995) Human recombinant IL-2 augments immunoglobulin and induces rheumatoid factor production by rheumatoid arthritis Lymphocytes engrafted into severe combined immunodeficient mice. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol, 74, 271-282.*

Kim, Y.H., Woodley, D.T., Wynn, K.C., Giomi, W. & Bauer, **E.A.** (1992) Recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa phenotype is preserved in xenografks using SCID **mice:** development of an experimental in vivo model. J Invest Dermatol, 98, 191-197.

Kotloff, D.B., Bosma, M.J. & Ruetsch, N.R. (1993a) V(D)J recombination in peritoneal B cells of leaky scid mice. *J Exp Med*, 178, 1981-1994.

Kotloff, D.B., Bosma, M.J. & Ruetsch, N.R. (1993b) Scid mouse Pre-B cells with intracellular mu chains: analysis of recombinase activity and **IgH** gene rearrangernents. Int Immunol, 5, 383-391.

Koutz, F.R, Groves, **H-F.** & Gee, C.M. (1960) A survey of Demodex canis in the skin of clinically normal dogs. Vet Med, 55, 52-53.

Krawiec, DK & **Gaafar, S.M.** (1980) Studies on the immunology of canine demodicosis. JAm *Anim Hosp* Assoc, 16, 669-676.

Kreider, J.W., BartIett, **G.L.** & Sharkey, F.E. (1979) Primary neoplastic transformation in vivo of xenogeneic skin grafts on nude mice. Cancer Res, 39, 273-276.

Kreider, J.W., Howett, M.K., Wolfe, S .A, Bartlett, G.L., Zaino, RJ., Sedlacek T. & Mortel, R. (1985) Morphological transformation in vivo of human uterine cervix with papillomavirus from condylomata acuminata. Nature, 317, 639-641.

Kreider, J.W., Howett, **M.K.,** Lill, N.L., Bartlett, G.L., Zaino, **RJ-,** Sedlacek, **T.V.** & Mortel, R (1986) In vivo transformation of human skin with **human** papillomavirus type 11 from condylomata acuminata. J Virol, 59, 369-376.

Kreider, J.W., Howett, M.K., Leure-Dupree, A.E., Zaino, R.J. & Weber, J.A. (1987) Laboratory production in vivo of infectious human papillomavirus type 11. *J Virol*, 61, 590-593.

Kreider, J. W., Patrick, S .D., Cladel, N.M. & Welsh, P. **A.** (1 **990)** Experimental infection with human papillomavirus type 1 of human hand and foot skin. *Virology*, 177, 415-417.

Krueger, G-G., Manning, D.D., **Malouf,** J. & Ogden, B. (1975) Long-tem maintenance of psonatic human skinon congenitally athymic (nude) mice. Jlmest *Demafol,* 64,307- 312.

Krueger, *G.G. & Briggaman, R.A.* (1982) The Nude Mouse in Experimental and Clinical Research. In: J. Fogh and G. B.C. (Eds), *The Nude Mouse in the Biology and Pathology* of *Skin,* Vol. 2. Academic Press, New York, p. 30 1-322.

Kuby, **1.** (1997) *Imunologv.* WH- Freeman **and** Company, New York. p. 3 11-321.

Kwochka, K.W. (1987) Mites and related disease. In: F. Dusbabek and V. **Bulcva** (Eds), Veterinary Clinics of North America, Small Animal Practice, Vol. 17. SPB Academic Publishing, Prague, p. 1263-1284.

Kyoizumi, S., Suzuki, T., Teraoka, S. & Seyama, T. (1998) Radiation sensitivity of human hair follicles in SCID-hu mice. Radiat Res, 149, 11-18.

Lambert, P.B. (1971) Vascularization of skin grafts. Nature, 232, 279-280.

Lane, AT-, Scott, **G-A** & Day, **K.H.** (1989) Development of human fetal skin transplanted to the nude mouse. *J Invest Dermatol*, 93, 787-791.

Latimer, **K.S.,** Prasse, **K.W.,** Mahaffey, **E-A,** Dawe, D.L., Lorenz, **M.D.** & Duncan, T.R (1983) NeutrophiI movement in selected canine skin diseases. Am *J* Vef Res, 44, **601-605.**

Lemarie, S.L. (1996) Canine demodicosis. *Comp* Cont *Edu* Pract Vef, 18, 3 **54-3 69.**

Lemarie, S.L. & Horohov, D.W. (1996) Evaluation of interleukin-2 production and interleukin-2 receptor expression in dogs with generalized demodicosis. Vet Dermatol, 7, 213-219,

Lemarie, S.L., Hosgood, G. & Foil, C. S. (1996) A retrospective **study** of juvenile- and adult-onset generalized demodicosis in dogs (1986-91). Vet Dermatol, 7, 3-10.

Lemmens, P., Schrauwen, E., Rooster, H.d. & De Rooster, H. (1994) An uncommon species of *Demodex* in a dog. *Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift*, **63**, 19-21.

Limat, A, Stockhammer, E., Sreitkreutz, **D.,** SchafTher, T., Egehd, T., Salomon, D., Fusenig, N.E., **Braathen,** L.R & Hunziker, T. (1996) Endogeneously regulated sitespecific differentiation of human palmar skin keratinocytes in organotypic cocultures and in nude mouse transplants. Eur J Cell Biol, 69, 245-258.

Lin, **RY., Sullivan,** KM., Argenta, **P.A,** Meuli, M., Lorenz, H.P. & **Adzick,** N.S. (1995) Exogenous transforming growth factor-beta amplifies its own expression and induces scar formation in a model of human fetal **skin** repair. *Ann* Surg, 222, 146-154.

Lobe, D.C., Kreider, J.W. & Phelps, W.C. (1998) Therapeutic evaluation of compounds in the SCID-RA papillomavirus model. Anfiviral *Res,* 40,57-7 1.

Luger, T., Beissert, S. & Schwarz, T. (1997) The epidermal cytokine network. In: J. Bos (Ed) *Skin* immune systern (SIS). CRC Press, New York, p. **27 1-3** 1 **O.**

MacDougaIl, **J-R,** Croy, B.A., Chapeau, C. & Clark, **D.A** (1990) Demonstration of a splenic cytotoxic effector cell in mice of genotype SCID/SCID.BG/BG. Cell Immunol, 130, 106-1 17.

Manning, D.D., Reed, N.D. & Shaffer, C.F. (1973) Maintenance of skin xenografts of widely divergent phylogenetic origin of congenitally athymic (nude) mice. *J Exp Med*, 138,488-494.

Mason, K. (1993a) Clinical and pathophysiological aspects of parasitic skin diseases. In: **P- Lrkhie, 1.** Mason and S. White (Eds), *Advances* **in** Veferimny Dermatology, Vol. **2.** Pergamon, Oxford, p. 177-206.

Mason, K.V. (1993b) A new species of demodex mite with D. canis causing canine demodecosis: a case report. Ver Demratol, 4, **37.**

Matsumoto, **K-,** Robb, **E.,** Warden, *G.* & Nordlund, J. (1996) Hyperpigmentation of human skin grafted on to athymic nude mice: immunohistochemical study. Br J Dermatol, 135, 412-418.

Matzinger, P. (1998) An innate sense of danger. Semin *Immunol*, 10, 399-415.

Mazurier, F., Fontanellas, A., Salesse, S., Taine, L., Landriau, S., Moreau-Gaudry, F., Reiffers, J., Peault, B., Di Santo, J.P. & de Verneuil, H. (1999) A novel immunodeficient mouse model--RAG2 x common cytokine receptor gamma chain double mutants-requiring exogenous cytokine administration **for** human hematopoietic stem ce11 engraftment. J Interferon Cytokine Res, 19, 533-541.

McCloghry, C.E., Hollis, D.E., Foldes, **A,** Rintod, **A.J.,** Baker, P., Vaughan, J.D., Maxwell, **CA,** Kennedy, J.P. & Wynn, P.C. (1993a) The effects of exogenous melatonin and prolactin on wool follicle development in ovine foetal skin grafts. *Ausi* JAgric *Res,* 44,993-2002.

McCloghry, C.E., Hollis, D.E., Raphael, K.A., Marshall, R.C., Foldes, A., Kennedy, J.P. & **W~M,** P.C. (1993b) Wool follicles initiate, develop and produce wool fibres in ovine fetd skin grafts. *JAgrïc Scî,* 121,247-253.

McCune, **J-M, Namikawa,** R, Kaneshirna, **H.,** Shultz, L.D., Lieberman, M. & Weissman, I.L. (1988) The SCID-hu mouse: murine model for the analysis of human hematolymphoid differentiation and function. Science, 241, 1632-1639.

McFadden, J.P. & Basketter, **D.A** (2000) Contact allergy, irritancy and 'danger'. *Contact* Dermatitis, 42, 123-127.

McKerrow, J.H. (1997) Cytokine induction and exploitation in schistosome infections. Parasifology, 115 **Suppl,** S107-S112.

Medalie, **DA,** Eming, S.A, Tompkins, RG., Yarmush, **M.L.,** Krueger, G.G. & Morgan, J.R (1996) Evaluation of human **skin** reconstituted fiom composite grafts of cultured keratinocytes and human acellular dermis transplanted to athymic mice. J Invest Dermatol, 107, 121-127.

Miller, W.H., Jr., Wellington, **J.R** & Scott, D.W. (1992) Dermatologie disorders of Chinese Shar Peis: 58 cases (198 1-1 989). *JAm* Vet *Med* Assoc, 200, 986-990.

Minato, **N,,** Reid, **L.,** Cantor, **IX,** Lengyel, P. & Bloom, **BR** (1980) Mode ofregulation of natural killer cell activity by interferon. *J Exp Med*, **152**, 124-137.

Mïrowski, **G.W.,** Hilton, J.F., Greenspan, **D.,** Canchola, AJ., MacPhail, **L.&4,** Maurer, **T.,** Berger, T.G. & Greenspan, J-S. (1998) Association of cutaneous and oral diseases in HIV-infected men. Oral Dis, 4, 16-21.

Mizuno, S., Fujinaga, T. & Hagio, M. (1993) Characterization of dog interleukin-2 activity. **J** Vet *Med Sci,* 55, 925-930,

Moffat, J.F., Stein, M.D., Kaneshima, H. & Arvin, A.M. (1995) Tropism of varicellazoster virus for human CD4+ and **CD8+** T lymphocytes and epidermal cells in SCID-hu mice, **J** Virol, 69, 5236-5242.

Moffat, J.F., Zerboni, L., Kinchington, P.R., Grose, C., Kaneshima, H. & Arvin, A.M. (1998a) Attenuation of the vaccine **Oka** strain of varicella-zoster virus and role of glycoprotein C in alphaherpesvirus virulence demonstrated in the SCID-hu mouse. *J* Virol, 72, 965-974.

MoEat, J.F., Zerboni, **L.,** Sommer, MH., Heineman, TC., Cohen, J.I., Kaneshima, **H.** & **Amin,** AM. (1998b) The **ORF47** and ORF66 putative protein kinases of varicella-zoster Mnis detexmine tropism for human T cells and skin in the **SCID-hu** mouse. **Proc** Natl *Acad Sci* USA, 95,11969-1 1974.

Mombaerts, P., **Iacomini,** J., Johnson, **R** S., Herrup, K., Tonegawa, S. & Papaioannou, **V.E.** (1992) RAG-1-deficient mice have no mature B and T lymphocytes. Cell, 68, 869- **877.**

Mond, **JI,** Scher, L, Cossman, J., Kessler, S., Mongini, **P.K., Hansen, C-,** Finkelmaq **F.D.** & Paul, W.E. (1982) Role of the thymus in directing the development of a subset of B lymphocytes. *J Exp Med*, 155, 924-936.

Moore, F.M., White, S **.D.,** Carpenter, **J.L.** & Torchon, **E.** (1 987) Locaiization of immunoglobulins and complement by the peroxidase antiperoxidase method in autoimmune and non-autoimmune canine dermatopathies. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*, 14, 1-9.

Mosier, D.E., Gulizia, R J., Baird, **S.M.** & Wilson, D.B. (1988) Transfer of a fiinctional human immune system to mice with severe combined immunodeficiency. *Nature*, 335, 256-259.

Mosier, **DE,,** Stell, **K.L.,** Gulizia, **R** J., Torbett, B.E. & Gilmore, **G-L.** (1993) Homozygous scid/scid;beige/beige mice have low levels of spontaneous or neonatd T cell-induced B cell generation. *J Exp Med*, 177, 191-194.

Mourad, G.J., Preffer, F.I., Wee, S.L., Powelson, **J.A, Kawai,** T., Delmonico, FL, Knowles, **RW.,** Cosimi, **AB.** & Colvh, RB. (1998) **Humanized** IgGl and IgG4 **anti-**CD4 monoclonal antibodies: effects on lymphocytes in the blood, lymph nodes, and renal allografts in cynomolgus monkeys. *Transplantation*, 65,632-641.

Munoz-Perez, M. A, Rodriguez-Pichardo, A, Camacho, **F.** & Colmenero, **M.A** (1 99 8) Dermatological findings correlated with CD4 lymphocyte counts in a prospective 3 year study of 1161 patients with human immunodeficiency virus disease predominantly acquired through intravenous drug abuse. Br J Dermatol, 139, 33-39.

Murphy, W.J., Conlon, K.C., Sayers, T.J., Wiltrout, RIE, Back, **TC,** Ortaldo, T.R & Longo, D.L. (1993) Engraftment and activity of anti-CD3-activated human peripheral blood lymphocytes transferred into mice with severe combined immune deficiency. J Immunol, 150, 3634-3642.

Murphy, **WJ.,** Taub, **D.D.,** Anver, M., Conlon, K., Oppenheim, J.J., Kelvin, D.J. & Longo, DL. (1994) **Human** RANTES induces the migration of human T lymphocytes into the peripheral tissues of **mice** with severe combined immune deficiency. **Eur** *J Immunol,* 24, 1823- **1827.**

Murray, A.G., Schechner, J.S., Epperson, D.E., Sultan, P., McNiff, J.M., Hughes, C.C., Lorber, **MI., Askenase, P.W.** & Pober, J.S. (1998) Dermal microvascular injury in the human peripheral blood lymphocyte reconstituted-severe combined immunodeficient (HuPBL-SCID) mouse/skin allograft model is T cell mediated and inhibited by a combination of cyclosporine and rapamycin. Am J Pathol, 153, 627-638.

Nehls, M., Pfeifer, D., Schorpp, M., Hedrich, H. & Boehm, T. (1994) New member of the winged-helix protein family disrupted in mouse and rat nude mutations. Nature, 372, **203-107.**

Nickoloff, B.J., Kunkel, S.L., Burdick, M. & Strieter, R.M. (1995) Severe combined immunodeficiency **mouse** and human psoriatic skin chimeras. Validation of a new animal model. Am JPathol, 146, **580-588.**

Nonoyama, S., Smith, F.O., Bernstein, ID. & Ochs, **H.D.** (1993) Strain-dependent leakiness of mice with severe combined immune deficiency. *J Immunol*, 150, 3817-3824.

Nutting, W.B. (1965) Host-parasite relations: demodicidae. Acarology, 7, 301-317.

Nutting, **W.B.** (L976a) Hair follicle mites (Demodex spp.) of medical and veterinary concem. Comell Vef, **66,2** 14-23 1.

Nutting, W.B. (1976b) Pathogenesis associated with hair follicle mites (Acari: Demodicidae). *Acarologia,* 17,493-507.

Nutting, W.B. & Desch, C.E. (1978) *Demodex* **canis:** redescription and reevaluation. Cornell Vet, 68, 139-149.

Nutting, W.B. (1985) Prostigmata-mammalia: validation of coevolutionary phylogenies. In: K. Kim (Ed) Coevolution of Parasitic Arthropods and Mammals. John Wiley & Sons, New York p. 569-640.

Nutting, W.B., Firda, K.E., Desch, C.E., Jr., ChannalBasavanna, **G.P.** & Viaktamath, C.A. (1989) Topology and histopathology of hair follicle mites (Demodicidae) of man. Progress in Acarology, 1, 113-121.

Okada, **T.** (1986) Revascularisation of free fil1 thiclcness **skin** grafis in rabbits: a scanning electron microscope study of microvascular casts. *Br J Plast Surg*, 39, 183-189.

Owen, L.N. (1972) Demodectic mange in dogs immunosuppressed with antilymphocyte serum. Transplantation, **13**, 616-617.

Paradis, M. (1999) New approaches to the treatment of canine demodicosis. In: Veterinary Clinics of Norih America: Small *Animal* Practice, Vol. 29. **SPB** Academic Publishing, Prague, p. 1425-1436.

Paslin, D., Krowka, **J.** & Forghani, B. (1997) Molluscum contagiosum virus grows in human skin xenografts. Arch Dermatol Res, 289, 486-488.

Paulk, S., Mojzisova, J., Bajova, V., Baranova, D. & Paulikova, **1.** (1996) Lymphocyte blastogenesis to concanavalin A in dogs with localized demodicosis **according** to duration of clinical disease. Veterinarni Medicina, 41, 245-249.

Pavletic, M.M. (1991) Anatomy and circulation of the canine skin. Microsurgery, 12, 103-112.

Petersen, MJ., Zone, **J.J.** & Kmeger, G.G. (1984) Development of a nude mouse mode1 to **study human** sebaceous gland physiology and pathophysiology. JCIin *Imesf,* 74, 1358-1365.

Petrini, J.H., Carroll, AM. & Bosma, M.J. (1990) **T-ce11** receptor gene rearrangements in functional **T-ce11** clones fiom severe combined immune deficient (scid) **mice:** reversion of the scid phenotype in individual lymphocyte progenitors. *Proc* Narl *Acad* **Sci** USA, 87, 3450-3453.

Petzelbauer, P., Groger, M., Kunstfeld, R., Petzelbauer, E. & Wolff, K. (1996) Human delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction in a SCID mouse engrafted with human T cells **and** autologous skin. JInvest Dematol, 107,576-58 **1.**

Pilewski, J.M., **Yan,** H.C., **Juhasz, I.,** Christofidou-Solomidoy M., Williams, **J., Murphy,** G.F. & Albelda, **S.M.** (1995) Modulation of adhesion molecules by cytokines in vivo

using human/severe combined immunodeficient **(SCID)** mouse chimeras. *J Clin* Imrnunol, 15, 122s-129s.

Plenat, F., Vignaud, **J.M.,** Guerret-Stocker, S-, Hartmann, D., Duprez, **K-** & Duprez, A- (1992) Host-donor interactions in healing of human split-thickness skin grafts ont0 nude mice: in situ hybridization, immunohistochemical, and histochemical studies. Transplantation, 53, 1002-1010.

Qintero, T.M. (1978) Frequencia de acaros *Demodex* en parpados de diferntes especies de animales domesticos (Frequency of *Demodex* mites in the skin of domestic animals). Veterinaria Mex, 111-113.

Randazzo, B.P., Kucharczuk, J.C., Litzky, L.A., Kaiser, L.R., Brown, S.M., MacLean, A, Albelda, **S.M.** & Fraser, N.W. (1996) Herpes simplex 1716-an ICP 34.5 mutant-is severely replication restricted in human skin xenegrafts in vivo. *Virology*, 223, 392-395.

Ratner, D. (1 998) Skin grafting. From here to **there.** Demat01 **Clin,** 16, 75-90.

Reddy, N.R., Rao, P. & Yathiraj, S. (1992) Serum protein profiles and their significance in canine demodicosis, **ïnd** Vef *J,* 96, 762-764.

Reddy, N.R.J. & Rao, P.M. (1992) Immunological status of canine demodicosis based on the cutaneous response to mitogens. Ind J Ver *Med,* **12,4-7.**

Reed, **ND.** & **Manning, D.D.** (1973) Long-term maintenance of normal human skin on congenitally athymic (nude) mice. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med, 143, 350-353.

Reed, **ND.** & Manning, D.D. (1978) Present **status** of xenotransplantation of nonmalignant tissue to the nude mouse. In: The Nude Mouse in Experimental and Clinical Research, Vol. 1. Academic Press, New York. p. 167-185.

Revilla, Y., Pena, **L.,** Mampaso, F., Vinuela, E. & Martinez-Alonso, *C.* (1994) Swinereconstituted SCID mice as a model for African swine fever virus infection. *J Gen Virol*, 75, 1983-2988.

Rice, AM., Wood, **J.A.,** Milross, *CG.,* Collins, **C.J.,** McCarthy, N.F. & Vowels, M.R (2000) Conditions that enable human hematopoietic stem ce11 engraftment in al1 NOD-SCID mice. Transplantation, 69, 927-935.

Riechers, R. & Kopf, A.W. (1969) Cutaneous infestation with *Demodex folliculorum* in **man.** *Jlnvest* Dermatol, 52, 103-106.

Robertson, MT., Boyajian, **M.J.,** Patterson, K. & Robertson, W.V. (1986) Modulation of the chloride concentration of human sweat by prolactin. Endocrinology, 119, 2439-2444.

Rosenquist, M.D., Cram, AE. & Kealey, **G.P.** (1988) **Skin** preservation at 4 degrees C: a species comparison. Cryobiology, 25, 31-37.

Rothschild, H. & Ford, B. (1966) Hormones of the vertebrate host controlling ovarian regression and copulation of the rabbit flea. Nature, 211, 261-266.

Rothschild, M. & Ford, B. (1972) Breeding cycle of the **flea** Cediopsylla simplex is controlled by breeding cycle of host. Science, 178, 625-626.

Roye, O., Deihem, N., Trottein, **F.,** Remoue, F., Nutten, S., Decavel, **J.P.,** Delacre, M., Martinet, **V.,** Cesbron, J-Y., Auriault, C. & Wolowczuk, **1.** (1998) Dermal endothelial cells **and** keratinocytes produce IL7 in **vivo** der human Schistosoma mansoni percutaneous infection. J Immunol, 161, 4161-4168.

Rufli, T. & Mumcuoglu, Y. (1981) The hair follicle mites *Demodex folliculorum* and *Demodex brevis:* biology and medical importance. A review. *Dermatologica*, 162, 1-11.

Rygaard, **J.** (1 **969)** Immunobiology of the mouse mutant "Nude". Preliminary investigations. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand, 77, 761-762.

Rygaard, J. (1974) Skin grafts in nude mice. 3. Fate of grafts from man and donors of other taxonomic classes. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand [A.], 82, 105-112.

Rygaard, J. (1991) History and Pathology. In: E. Boven and B. Winograd (Eds), The Nude Mouse in Oncology Research. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 1-9.

Sako, S. (1964) Studies on canine demodecosis. IV. Experimental infection of *Demodex* foliculomm var cmis to dogs. *Tram* Tottori **Soc** of *Agric* **Sci,** 17,4146.

Saridomichelakis, M., Koutinas, **A,** Papadogiannakis, E., Papazachariadou, M., Liapi, M. & Trakas, D. (1999) Adult-onset demodicosis in two dogs due to *Demodex canis* and a short-tailed demodectic mite. J Small Anim Pract, 40, 529-532.

Scott, D.W., Farrow, **B.RH.** & Schultz, RD. (1974) Studies on the therapeutic **and** immunologic aspects of generalized demodectic mange in the dog. $JAm\ Anim\ Hosp$ Assoc, 10, 233-244.

Scott, D.W., Schultz, R.D. & Baker, E.B. (1976) Further studies on the therapeutic and immunologic aspects of generalized demodectic mange in the dog. $JAm\ Anim\ Hosp$ Assoc, 12, 203-213.

Scott, D. W. (1979) **Canine** demodicosis. In: E. Boven and B. Winograd (Eds), Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice, Vol. 9. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 79-92.

Scott, D.W. & Paradis, M- (1990) A survey of canine **and** feline skin disorders seen in a university practice: Small Animal Clinic, University of Montreal, Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec, (1987-1988). **Cm** Vet *J,* 31, 830-835.

Scott, D.W., Miller, W.H. & **Griffin,** *CG.* (1995) *Muller* **and** *Kirk's* SrnaIl Animal Dermatology. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia. p. 417-434.

Scott, **RC.** & Rhodes, **C.** (1988) The pemeability of grafied human transplant skin in athymic mice. *JPharm* Pharmacol, 40, 128-129.

Segre, J.A, Nernhauser, J.L., Taylor, B.A., Nadeau, J.H. & Lander, **ES.** (1995) Positional cloning of the nude locus: genetic, physical, and transcription maps of **the** region **and** mutations in the mouse and rat. Genomics, **28,** 549-59.

Sengbusch, H.G. & Hauswirth, J.W. (1986) Prevalence of hair follicle mites, Demodex folliculorum and *D. brevis* (Acari: Demodicidae), in a selected human population in western New York, USA, *JMed* Eniomol, 23,384-388.

Sengbusch, H.G. (1991) Epidemiological studies of *Demodex spp.* (Acariformes: Demodecidae). In: F. Dusbabek and V. Bukva (Eds), *Modern Acarology*, Vol. 1. SPB Academic Publishing, Prague, p. 301-308.

ShaEer, **CF.,** Reed, N.D. & Jutila, **J.W.** (1973) Comparative **çurvival** of skin **grafls** from several donor species on congenitally athymic mice. *Transplant* Proc, 5, 71 1-712.

Sheahan, B.J. & Gaafar, S.M. (1970) Histologic and histochemical changes in cutaneous lesions of experimentally induced and naturally occurring canine demodicidosis. Am J Vef Res, 31, 1245-1254.

Shinkai, Y., **Rathbun,** G., Lam, KP., OItz, E.M., Stewart, V., Mendelsohn, M., Charron, **J.,** Datta, M., Young, F., Stall, AM. & et al. (1992) RAG-2-deficient **mice** lack mature lymphocytes owing to inability to initiate V(D)J rearrangement. Cell, 68, 855-867.

Short, S.M., Paasch, B.D., **Tumer,** J.H.., Weiner, N., **Daugherty, AL.** & Mrsny, RI. (1996) Percutaneous absorption of biologicaily-active interferoo-gamma in a human **skin** graft-nude mouse model. *Pharm Res*, 13, 1020-1027.

Shultz, L.D., Schweitzer, **PA,** Christianson, S.W., Gotî, B., Schweitzer, **I.B.,** Tement, B., McKema, S., Mobraaten, **L., Rajan,** T.V., Greiner, D.L. & et ai. (1995) Multiple defects in innate and adaptive immunologic function in NOD/LtSz-scid mice. *J Immunol*, 154, 180-191.

Shultz, L.D., **Lang,** P.&, Christianson, S.W., Gotî, B., Lyons, B., **Umeda,** S., Leiter, E., Hesselton, R., Wagar, E.J., Leif, J.H., Kollet, O., Lapidot, T. & Greiner, D.L. (2000) NODLtSz-Raglnull mice: an immunodeficient and radioresistant model for engraftment of human hematolymphoid ceils, **HIV** infection, and adoptive transfer of NOD mouse diabetogenic T cells. J Immunol, 164, 2496-2507.

Sigounas, *G-,* Hanndranath, N., Donadel, *G-* & Notkins, AL. (1994) Half-Iife of polyreactive antibodies. *J* Clin Immunol, 14, **2 34-** 140.

Sischo, W.M., Ihrke, P.J. & Franti, C.E. (1989) Regional distribution of ten common skin diseases in dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*, 195, 752-756.

Somberg, R.L., Robinson, J.P. & Felsburg, P.J. (1992) Detection of canine interleukin-2 receptors by flow cytometry. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 33, 17-24.

Spickett, S *.G.* (1 96 1) Studies on **Demodex** folliculorum Simon (1 842). Parsitology, 51, 18 1-192.

Steinsvik, T.E., Gaarder, P.I., Aaberge, I.S. & Lovik. (1995) Engraftment and humoral immunity in **SCID** and RAG-2-deficient mice transplanted **with** human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Scand J Immunol, 42, 607-616.

Sullivan, KM., Lorenz, H.P., Meuli, M., Lin, KY. & Adzick, **N.S.** (1995) A mode1 of scarless human fetal wound repair is deficient in transforming growth factor beta. J *Pediafr* **Surg,** 30, 198-202.

Sumi, Y., Ueda, M., **Kaneda,** T., **Oka,** T. & Torii, S. (1984) Dynarnic vascdar changes in free skin grafts. J Oral Maxillofac *Surg*, **42**, 382-387.

Tary-Lehmann, M. & Saxon, **A** (1992) **Human** mature T cells **that** are anergic in vivo prevail in SCID mice reconstituted with human peripheral blood. *J Exp Med*, 175, 503-**5** 16.

Tary-Lehmann, M., Lehmann, P.V., Schols, D., Roncarolo, M.G. & Saxon, A. (1994) Anti-SCID mouse reactivity shapes the human CD4+ T **ceil** repertoire in hu-PBL-SCID chimeras. *J Exp Med*, **180**, 1817-1827.

Taub, D.D., Longo, D.L. & Murphy, W.J. (1996) Human interferon-inducible protein-10 induces mononuclear ce11 infiltration in mice and promotes the migration of human T lymphocytes into the peripheral tissues and human peripheral blood lymphocytes-SCID **mice,** Blood, 87, 1423-1431.

Taylor, P.C. (1994) *The Use of SCID Mice in the Investigation of Human Autoimmune* Disease. R. G. Landes Company, Austin. p. 1-129.

Toman, M., Svoboda, Mi, Rybnicek, J., Krejci, J. & Svobodova, **V.** (1998) Secondary $immunodeficiency$ in dogs with enteric, dermatologic, infectious or parasitic diseases. J *Vet* Med *Series* B, 45, **32** 1-334.

Torbett, **B.E.,** Picchio, *G.* & Mosier, D.E. (1991) hu-PBL-SCID mice: a model for human immune function, AIDS, and lymphomagenesis. *Immunol Rev*, 124, 139-164.

Tsiwpoulos, **A,** Pestel, J., Fahy, O., Vorng, **H.,** Vandenbusche, F., Porte, H., Eraldi, L., Wurtz, **A,** Akoum, **H-,** Hamid, **Q.,** Wallaert, B. & Tomel, **AB.** (1998) Tuberculininduced delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction in a model of hu-PBMC-SCID mice erafted with autologous skin. Am J Pathol, 152, 1681-1688.

Tsuchida, M., Brown, S.A., **Tutt,** L,M., **Tan, J,,** Seehafer, D.L., Hamis, J.P., **Xun, C.Q-** & Thompson, J.S. (1997) A mode1 of human anti-T-ce11 monoclonal antibody therapy in **SCID** mice engrafted with human peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Clin Transplant*, 11, 522-528.

Unsworth, K. (1946) Studies on the **clinical and** parasitological aspects of canine demodectic mange. J Comp Pathol Therapeut, 56, 114-127.

Vailly, J., Gagnowc-Palacios, L., Deli'Ambra, E., Romero, C., Pinola, M., Zambruno, G., De **Luca,** M., Ortome, J.P. & Meneguzzi, G. (1998) Corrective gene **transfer** of keratinocytes from patients with junctional epidermolysis bullosa restores assembly of hemidesmosomes in reconstructed epithelia. Gene Ther, 5, 1322-1332.

Van Genderen, J., Wolthuis, O.L., Ariens, AT., Ericson, **AC.** & Datema, R (1987) The effects of topicai foscarnet in a new model of herpes simplex skin infection. J Antimicrob Chemofher, 20, 547-556.

Van Neste, D., Wamier, G., Thulliez, M. & **Van** Hoof, F. (1989) Hurnan haïr foliicle **grafts** onto nude mice: morphological study. In: **D. Van** Neste, J.M. Lachapelle and J.L. Antoine (Eds), *Trends in Human Hair Growth and Alopecia Research*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, p. 1 17-13 **1.**

Van Neste, D. (1996) The use of scalp grafts onto nude mice as a model for human hair growth: 1s there something new for hair growth drug screening programs? In: H.I. Maibach (Ed) Dematologic Research Techniques. **CRC** Press. **Inc.,** Boca Raton, p. 3 7- 48.

Van Neste, D.J., Gillespie, LM., Marshall, **KC.,** Taieb, A & ~e' Brouwer, B. (1993) Morphological and biochemical characteristics of trichothiodystrophy-variant hair are maintained after grafting of scalp specimens on to nude mice. Br *J Dermatol*, 128, 384-3 87.

Van Vliet, E., Jenkinson, E. J., Kingston, R, Owen, J. J.T. & Van **Ewijk,** W. (1985) **Stroma1** ce11 types in the developing thymus of the normal and nude mouse embryo. Eur *J Immunol,* 15, 675-68 **1,**

Waldmann, T.A. & Strober, W. (1969) Metabolism of immunoglobulins. *Prog Allergy*, 13, 1-110.

Wang, B., Biroq C., She, **J.,** Higgins, K., Sunshine, MI., Lacy, **E.,** Lonberg, **N.** & Terhorst, C. (1994) A block in both early T lymphocyte and natural killer **cell** development in transgenic mice with high-copy numbers of the human **CD3E** gene. *Proc* Natl *Acad Sci USA*, 91, 9402-9406.

Wang, B., Levelt, C., Salio, M., Zheng, D., Sancho, J., Liu, **C.P.,** She, J., Huang, M., Higgins, K & Sunshine, M.J. (1995) Over-expression of CD3 epsilon transgenes blocks **T** lymphocyte development. Int Immunol, 7, 435-448.

Wang, B., She, J., Salio, M., Allen, D., Lacy, E., Lonberg, N. & Terhorst, **C.** (1997) CD3-epsilon overexpressed in prothymocytes acts as an oncogene. Mol Med, **3,72-8** 1.

Wang, J-C., Lapidot, T., Cashman, J.D., Doedens, M., Addy, L., Sutherland, D.R, **Nayar,** R., Laraya, P., Minden, M., Keating, A., Eaves, A.C., Eaves, C.J. & Dick, J.E. (1998a) High level engraftment of NOD/SCID mice by primitive normal and leukemic hematopoietic cells from patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase. Blood, 91,2406-2414,

Wang, W.C., Gddrnan, L.M., Schleider, D.M., Appenheimer, **M.M.,** Subjeck, J.R, Repasky, E.A. & Evans, S.S. (1998b) Fever-range hyperthermia enhances L-selectindependent adhesion of lymphocytes to vascular endothelium. *J Immunol*, 160, 961-969.

Watanabe, M., Kobayashi, M., **Iida,** K., **Ozeki,** M-, Doi, S. & Hoshino, T. (1996) Langerhans cells in **mice** with severe combined immunodeficiency **(SCID).** *Arch Histol* Cytol, 59,347-355.

Watson, **C.J.,** Cobbold, **S.P.,** Davies, **H.S.,** Rebello, P.R, Wddmann, **H.,** Calne, **RY.** & Metcalfe, **S.M** (1993) CD4 and CD8 monoclonal antibody therapy: strategies to prolong renal allograft survival in the dog. *Br J Surg*, 80, 1389-1392.

Watson, C.J., Davies, H.F., Cobbold, **S.P.,** Rasmussen, A, Rebello, **P-R,** Thini, S., Waldmann, **H.,** Calne, **RY.** & Metcalfe, **S.M.** (1995) CD4 and CD8 monoclonal antibody therapy in the dog: strategies to induce tolerance to rend allografts. *Trampllant* Proc, 27, 123-124.

White, P.J., Fogarty, RD., Liepe, I.J., Delaney, P.M., Werther, **G.A.** & Wraight, C.J. (1999) Live confocal microscopy of oligonucleotide uptake by keratinocytes in human skin grafts on nude mice. *J Invest Dermatol*, 112, 887-892.

Wilkie, B.N., **Markham, RIF.** & Hazlett, **C.** (1979) Deficient cutaneous response to PHA-P in **healthy** puppies fiom a kenne1 with a high prevalence of demodicosis. *Can J Comp Med, 43, 415-419.*

Wixson, S. & Smiler, K. (1997) Anesthesia and analgesia **in** rodents. In: **D.** Kohn, S. Wixson, W. White and *G. Benson (Eds), Anesthesia and Analgesia in Laboratory* Animals. Academic Press, New York. p. 181.

Wolfe, J.H. & Halliwell, R.E.W. (1980) Total hemolytic complement values in normal and diseased dog populations. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 1, 287-298.

Wortis, **H.H.,** Nehlsen, S. & Owen, 1.1. (1971) Abnormal development of the thymus in nude mice. *J Exp Med*, 134, 681-692.

Xun, C.O., Thompson, J.S., Jennings, C.D., Brown, S.A. & Widmer, M.B. (1994) Effect of total body irradiation, **busulfan-cyclophosphamide,** or cyclophospharnide conditioning on inflammatory cytokine release and development of acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease in H-2-incompatible transplanted **SCID** mice. *Blood,* 83,2360-2367.

Yager, J.A. & Wilcock, B.P. (1994) Color Atlas and Text of Surgical Pathology of the Dog and Cat. Wolfe Publishing, London. p. 85-197.

Yan, H.C., Juhasz, I., Pilewski, J., Murphy, G.F., Herlyn, M. & Albelda, S.M. (1993) Human/severe combined immunodeficient mouse chimeras. An experimental in vivo mode1 system to study **the** regulation of human endothelial cell- leukocyte adhesion molecules. J Clin Invest, 91, 986-996.

Yan, H.C., Delisser, H.M, Pilewski, J.M., Barone, KM., Szklut, P.J., **Chang,** X.J., Ahern, **T.J.,** Langer-Safer, P. & Albelda, S.M (1994) Leukocyte recruitment into human skin transplanted onto severe combined immunodeficient mice induced by TNF-alpha is dependent on E-selectin. *J Immunol*, 152, 3053-3063.

Yan, HE., Williams, J.P., Christofidou-Solornidou, M., Delisser, H.M. & Albelda, S.M. (1996) The role of selectins and CD1 8 in leukotriene B4-mediated white blood cell emigration in human skin grafts transplanted on SCID mice. Cell *Adhes Commun*, 3, 475-486.

Young, D.M., Greulich, **K.M.** & Weier, H.G. (1996) Species-specific in situ hybridization with fluorochrome-labeled DNA probes to study vascularization of human skin grafts on athymic mice. **JBum Care** Rehabil, 17,305-3 10.

APPENDIX 1: FABRICATED SKIN BIOPSY TOOLS

Skin punches were formed with the same blade stock used in commercially available Acu-punch@ **skin** biopsy instruments (Acuderm Inc., Ft. Lauderdaie, Florida, USA) obtained directly from the manufacturer (Cardan Inc., Maple Wood, New Jersey, USA). Blade stock was machined into exactly 38 mm-long blanks (A). Individual, 12 mm-indiameter, cylindrical skin punch blades (B) were formed around the machined end of an aluminum mandrill (C) using a **12.5** mm shafk-clamp @, **E).** The completed **skin** punch **was** created by holding the cylindrical blade **in** the shaft clamp. To cut **each** graft, skin samples were gently held in place on a plastic **cutting** board **using** an applicator (F) and grafts were cut through the circular opening in the applicator. $(bar = 2 cm)$

APPENDIX 2: MOUSE PLASMA CANINE IgG LEVELS (pg/mL) FOR PBMC RECONSTITUTED Tge26 MICE EXPERIMENT, SECTION 3.3

GROUP-I (Mice received 22 x 10⁶ canine lymphocytes by intraperitoneal injection)

GROUP-II (Mice received 12×10^6 canine lymphocytes by intraperitoneal injection)

* = **Value not included in calculations of mean and standard deviation**

ND = **Canine IgG not detected**

SD = **Standard deviation**

t = Mouse died prior to completion of the trial

APPENDIX 2: CONTINUED

GROUP-III (Mice received 25 x 10⁶ canine lymphocytes by intraperitoneal injection)

GROUP-IV (Mice received 14 x 10⁶ canine lymphocytes by intraperitoneal injection)

WEEK	MOUSE-1		MOUSE-2 MOUSE-3	MOUSE-4	MEAN
					$\pm SD$
	64.35	2.14	128.2	27.78	55.62
$\mathbf{2}$					±54.71
4	81.67	5.37	26.92	17.67	32.91
					±33.69
6	52.80	ND	11.48	9.71	24.66
					±24.38
8	15.83	-	ND	6.08	10.96
					±6.89
10	10.31		1.10	1.83	4.41
					±5.12
12	3.18	\bullet	ND	ND	-

ND = **Canine IgG not detected**

SD = **Standard deviation**

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $t =$ Mouse died prior to completion of the trial

APPENDIX 3: MOUSE PLASMA CANINE IgG LEVELS (µg/mL) FOR THE **ICR Scid EXPERlMENT, SECTION 4.2**

GROUP-I*

GROUP-III

Note, values are only reported for mice that completed the ICR scid experiment (Section **4.2).**

***Experirnental groups**

Group-1: *Dernociex canis* **plus canine PBMC Group-II:** *Dernodex canis* **plus PBS Group-III: Canine PBMC** only

Canine IgG was not detected in serum samples from mice in GROUP-II (n=8) that did not receive **canine** lymphocytes (data not **shown).**

SD = **Standard** deviation

APPENDIX 4: EAIR GROWTH SCORE FOR CANINE XENOGRAFTS IN THE Rag2 EXPERIMENT, SECTION 4.4

GROUP-1*

GROUP-III

GROUP-II

GROUP-IV

"Experimental groups

Group-I: *Demodex canis* plus *in vifro* stimulated. canine **PBL Group-II:** Demodex *canis* plus unstimulated **canine** PBMC **Group-HI.** *Demodex* **canis** plus **PBS Group-IV:** In vitro stimulated canine PBL only

** Note that the letters identify the pictures of each skin graft within figures provided in Section-4.4 for the *Rag2* experiment; Group-I (Figure-4.4-2), Group-II (Figure-4.4-3), Group-IIï (Figure-4.4-4) and Group-IV (Figure-4.4-5).

APPENDIX 5: MOUSE SERUM CANINE IgG LEVELS (pg/mL) FOR THE *Rug2* **EXPERIMENT, SECTION 4.4**

GROUP-I*

GROUP-II

GROUP-IV

***Experirnental groups**

Group-I: *Demodex cmis* plus *in* vitro stimulated canine **PBL Group-II:** Demodex canis plus unstimulated canine PBMC Group-III: Demodex canis plus PBS **Group-IV:** In *vitro* stimulated canine **PBL only**

Note, values are reported only for mice that completed the *Rag2* experiment. Canine IgG was not detected in serum samples from mice in GROUP-III $(n = 8)$ that did not receive **canine** lymphocytes (data not shown).

SEM = Standard error of the **mean**
BACTERIAL CULTURE RESULTS FOR THE Rag2 APPENDIX 6: EXPERIMENT, SECTION 4.4

GROUP-I*

GROUP-II

GROUP-III

GROUP-IV

*Experimental groups

Group-I: Demodex canis plus in vitro stimulated canine PBL Group-II: Demodex canis plus unstimulated canine PBMC Group-III: Demodex canis plus PBS Group-IV: In vitro stimulated canine PBL only

**Note that the letters identify the pictures of each skin graft within figures provided in Section-4.4 for the Rag2 experiment; Group-I (Figure-4.4-2), Group-II (Figure-4.4-3), Group-III (Figure-4.4-4) and Group-IV (Figure-4.4-5).