Relationships between microbial physiological status and **nitmgen availability in forest soils**

by William R. Au

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science**

> **Department of Natural Resource Sciences McGill University Montréal**

> > O **William R. Au, 1998**

Acquisitions and Acquisitions et

395 Wellington Street 395. rue Wdlington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada **Canada Canada**

National Library 1-1 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada du Cana du Canada

services bibliographiques

Canada Canada

Your file Votre reference

Cur file Notre référence

The author **has** granted a nonexclusive licence allowing the **National** Library of Canada to reproduce, **loan, dismbute** or seii copies of **this** thesis **in** rnicroform, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the copyright **in this thesis.** Neither **the** thesis nor substantial extracts from it **may be** printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la **Bibliothèque** nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de **microfiche/nlm,** de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur **qui** protège cette **thèse. Ni** la thèse **ni** des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement **reproduits** sans son autorisation.

0-612-50713-0

Canadi

¹dedicate this work to my rnother, Sylvia Au (AB. 193 1-1990)

ABSTRACT

Although the physiologiçal nitrogen dernand of the soi1 microbial biomass is a major determinant of N mineralization in forest soils, the exact nature of the relationship is unclear. This study investigated the relationships between a respiration-based indicator of microbial physiological N demand (NIR) and N availability in forest soils. NIR **was** found to correlate significantly with net mineralized N in the field and annual foliar litterfall **N fluxes.** in a laboratoxy incubation, NIR **was** shown to be sensitive to changes in soil available C and N pools. These results demonstrated that microbial physiological N dernand is determined by relative availabilities of labile C and N , and that it is significantly related to N cycling in forest soils. Results from a seasonal study of a forested watershed suggest that nutrient availability determined **tree** production and soil C availability, **which** in **turn** determined microbial physiological N dernand and nitrogen dynamics in the forest.

RÉSUMÉ

La biomasse microbienne est un contrôle important de la minéralisation de l'azote (N) dans les sols **des** forêts, mais les effets de la **demande** physiologique microbienne **pour N** sur l'abondance de **N dans** le sol sont peu **connus.** Nous avons donc étudié les interactions entre un indicateur de la demande physiologique microbienne pour N (NIR) et l'abondance de N dans des sols forestiers. NLR était corréle significativement **avec** la minéralisation nette de N et le flux annuel de N dans la litière foliaire. Durant une incubation de laboratoire, NIR était sensible aux changements d'abondance de C et N labile dans **le** sol. Ces résultats ont démontré que la demande physiologique microbienne pour N est déterminée par l'abondance relative de C et **N** labile, et que sa relation avec le **cyclage** de N est significative. **Les** résultats d'une étude saisonnière d'un écosystème forestier suggèrent que **1** 'abondance des nutriments est un facteur associé à la productivité des arbres et l'abondance **de** C dans le sol, ces derniers ayant un effet sur la demande physiologique microbienne pour N et le **flux** de N dans le forêt.

Suggested short title-

Microbial physiological status and forest soi1 nitrogen cycling.

(W. R. Au)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Although the collected works in this thesis will be published under my narne, none of it could have **been** accomplished without the support of many individuals to **whom 1** am deeply grateful. First and foremost, **1** would like to extend my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Dr. James W. Fyles. It was mainly through his continuous support, competent guidance, and unwavering confidence in me that made al1 of this possible. **Thank** you, Jim, for al1 **the** time and help that **you** have given me **over** the **years.**

Throughout the course of my research, **1** have solicited the help **fiom** nearly al1 of the acadernic and support staff in the department of Natural Resource Sciences. and **they** are deserving of much **thanks.** My sincere gratitude is extended to the members of my supervisory committee, Drs. Benoit Côté and Brian Driscoll, whose assistance and insightful comments helped guide me through my research. Moreover. **1** am **very** gratefid for **the** assistance lent **by** Mr. Tiequan **Zhang** and Mlle. Hélène Lalande during my laboratory analyses, and by Marie Kubecki in guiding me safely through the regulations and **red** tape of graduate studies. An especial thank you is extended to Helen **Fyles,** who **greatly** improved the quafity of my manuscripts with her critical reviews.

The financial burden involved in the pursuit of post-graduate studies is a substantial one. Many thanks go to **my** father, Fred Au, for helping me to shoulder this burden. Furthemore. the post-graduate scholarship awarded to me by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada also helped make this work possible and is deeply appreciated.

Finally, my time spent at McGill University would not have been nearly as mernorable an experience without the fellowship of my colleagues, past and present. **1** am deeply indebted to Dr. Robert Bradley, with whom **1** had the privilege to work, and **whose** works were a main inspiration for **my own** research. 1 am also gratefùl for the opportunities **1** had to work with Ulrica Stoklas, Susann Brown, and Kristine Doucet in their research. To my fellow graduate students-

Sonja Kosuta, Bernard Pelletier, Dan Sirnard, Stephen Yamasaki, and Claudia Zan- thank you for al1 the support you gave during hard times, and for al1 the fun **we shared during good times. And my fondest thanks and love are extended to Mercy Peterson, whose help, çompanionship, and love have made the past two years of my life a most wonderfùl expenence.**

PREFACE

Unless otherwise referenced, the material presented in this thesis is **an** original contribution to science made by the candidate and **his** collaborators. The relationships between microbial physiological status and nitrogen availability in forest soils are examined in the following five chapters. Chapter I is a general introduction that provides the context of the research and the overall objectives of the study. Chapters II, III, and IV are in manuscript format and constitute the main body of the thesis. Chapter V is a brief conclusion that summarizes the findings of the study **and** directs the needs for fùture research.

The following five paragraphs are excerpted fiom *Guidelines* for *Thesis* Preparation, published by the Faculty of Graduate Research, and are included to inform the extemal examiner of Faculty regulations:

Candidates **have** the option of including, as part of the thesis, the text of one or more papers submitted or to be subrnitted for publication, or the clearly-duplicated text of one or more published papers. These texts must be bound as an integral part of the thesis.

If this option is chosen, **connecting texts that provide logical bridges between the different papers are mandatory.** The thesis must be written in such a **way** that it is more than a **mere** collection of manuscripts; in other words, results of a series of papers must be integrated.

The thesis must still conform to all other requirements of the "Guidelines" for Thesis Preparation". **The thesis must include: A** Table of Contents, an abstract in English and French, an introduction which clearly States the rationale and objectives of the study, a comprehensive review of the literature, a final conclusion and summary, and a thorough bibliography or reference list.

Additional material must be provided **where** appropnate **(e-g.** in appendices) and in sufficient detail to allow a clear and precise judgment to be made of the importance and originality of the research reported in the thesis.

In the case of manuscripts co-authored by the candidate and others, the **candidate is required to make an expücit staternent ia the thesis as to who contributed to such work and to wbat extent** Supervisors must attest to the accuracy of such statements at the doctorai oral defense. Since the **task** of the examiners is made more difficult in these cases, it is in the candidate's interest to make perfectly clear the responsibilities of al1 the authors of **the** CO-authored papes.

Each of the **three** chapters that constitute the main **body** of the thesis is a research article that is either published or destined for publication in a refereed scientific journal. As such, each has been fonnatted to confom to the specifications of its respective journal. The first manuscript (Chapter II), entitled "Microbial biomass respiration response to added carbon and nitrogen as an indicator of nitrogen availability in forest soils", is a short communication that **was** accepted for publication in the Canadian Journal of Soil Science in August of 1998, **and** is currently **in** press. The second manuscript (Chapter III), entitled "Response of the microbial biomass and nitrogen induced respiration to differences in C and N availability in a forest soil", was submitted to the editorial staff of Soil Biology & Biochernistry in July of 1998, and is currently in *review.* The third manuscript (Chapter IV), entitled "Relationships between tree growth, nutrient fluxes, and soil microbial biomass dynamics in a northern hardwood forest", is in paper format suitable for submission to Ecology.

The candidate is first author on al1 of the manuscripts and his supervisor, Dr. James W. Fyles, is CO-author for **having** provided invaluable inspiration, direction, and funding for the projects, as well as keen editorial remarks on the

manuscripts. Dr. Benoit Côte is included **as** CO-author on the article for Chapter **iI** for his editorial and fùnding contributions. The manuscript **for** Chapter **TV draws** upon the research from a larger inter-disciplinary project taking place in the Hermine watershed of the Station de Biologie des Laurentides, at St-Hippolyte. Québec. **A** great part of the funding and data that **was** obtained **for** this study **was** as a result of the contributions of **many** people involved **in** the project. The precipitation **and** throughfall data presented in the study were coltected and **analyzed** by Dr. François Courchesne of **the** Université de Montréal. From within **our** department, Dr. Willie Hendershot and Mlle Hélène **Lalande** were responsible for the collection and analyses of the soi1 chemistry data. Finally, Dr. Benoit Côté **was** responsible for the collection of **tree growth** data, in addition to being a main editor of the manuscript. As such, these individuals are included as co-authors on the manuscript presented in Chapter **IV.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

- **Table 4.6. Correlation coefficients between basal area growth, total foliar** litter nutrient fluxes, and mean seasonal CAI values in 1996........ 76
- Table 4.7. Correlation coefficients between mean seasonal NIR values, foliar **litter N fluxes, and total mineralized N in 1996** **⁷⁷**
- **Table 4.8. Correlation coefficients between microbial and soi1 variables across the 1996 season.** .. **BO**

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL iNTRODUCTION

The availability of nitrogen is **generally** considered the most limiting factor on forest **productivity** (Gosz 1981, Vitousek et al. 1982, Mahendrappa et al. 1986). Nitrogen is used by plats as a **primary** constituent of proteins, nucleic acids, coenzymes, membrane constituents, and other secondary products (Marschner 1986). Consequently, its uptake in sufficient quantities is crucial for tree growth and survival. Although temperate and boreal forest soils typically possess a large capital of total nitrogen, over 90% of the nitrogen may be organicdly bound (Carlyle 1986), while less **than** 2% may be available at **any** one time in **the** soil mineral nitrogen pools (Scarsbrook 1965). As **trees** predorninately take up nitrogen in its mineral foxms of ammonium and nitrate **(Kirkby** 1981), **they** are dependent on the continual replenishment of the mineral nitrogen pools by the mineralization of organic nitrogen (Carlyle 1986).

The deveiopment of reliable methods to assess and predict the capacity of a soil to supply mineral nitrogen has **been** of long-standing interest, as this information would provide the basis on which increased efficiency and reduced environmental impacts associated with forest production could be achieved (Jawis et al. 1996). The challenge has been formidable, however, as mineralization processes **are** governed by complex interactions within and between the biotic and abiotic components of the forest ecosystem (Gosz 198 1). Thus, despite the scores of methods that have been developed to assess minerai nitrogen availability over the past decades (Keeney 1980, Binkley and Hart **1989), there is** as yet no eenerally accepted method that consistently describes and predicts nitrogen **^b** mineralization in forest soils.

The role of the soi1 microbial biomass in nitrogen mineralization

in forest ecosystems, the major pathway for the **retum** of nitrogen to the soil is **through** above- and belowground litter inputs (Staaf and Berg 1981). Consequently, the release of plant available nitrogen is intimately linked to heterotrophic decomposition processes. The primary agent responsible for the decomposition of soil organic matter, and the subsequent mineralization of

organic nitrogen to **mineral nitrogen,** is the soil microbial biomass (Smith and Paul 1990). The soil microbial biomass, defined as the living component of the soil organic matter, excluding plant roots and soil animals larger than about 5 **x ¹o3** (Jenkinson and Ladd 198 **1),** attacks and decomposes organic matter in soil and litter to meet its energy and nutrient requirements (Aber and Melillo 1991). **When** the amount of nitrogen released through the decomposition of organic matter exceeds their physiological dernands for nitrogen, microorganisms will excrete the excess nitrogen as ammonium into the soil solution (Tisdale et al. 1985, Dniry et al. **1991).** In this way, organically-bound nitrogen in the soil is recycled and made once again plant available through microbial decomposition and mineralization activities.

The microbial biomass nitrogen pools **can** also impact minera1 nitrogen availability by acting as a reservoir of labile nitrogen which is made plant available as the microbial population tums over (Jenkinson and Ladd 1981). Furthemore, when the soil microbial biomass itself is nitrogen limited, it can immobilize nitrogen from the soil mineral pools, and thus compete with plants for minera1 nitrogen uptake (Paul and Clark **1989).** The net arnount of nitrogen that is available to plants, consequently, is the balance between microbial mineralization and release of excess nitrogen into the soil mineral pools, **and** immobilization fiom the soi1 minerai pools by the rnicrobial biomass to meet **its** physiological **demands** (Paul and Clark 1989, Jarvis et al. **1996).** Clearly, the soil microbial biomass is intimately linked to the fundamental processes of soil nitrogen mineralization, and its significance in determining the nitrogen supplying capacity of a soil is increasingly becoming recognized (Myrold **1987,** Alef et al. **1988).** Further research into the mechanisms of microbial control on minerai nitrogen availability, however, is still needed to improve our understanding and ability to predict nitrogen mineralization in soils (Smith and Paul 1990, Jarvis et al. 1996).

A micro bial indicator of nitrogen availability

The development of rapid methods to quantitatively estimate the soil

 $-3-$

microbial biomass, such as soil ATP measurement (Paul and Johnson 1977), fumigation-incubation (Jenkinson and Powlson 1976), and substrate-induced respiration (Anderson and Domsch 1978), has greatly facilitated the investigation of nitrogen cycling at the organism level (Smith et al. 1985). Moreover, the development of indicators based on rnicrobial biomass **measurements** have been usefùl at comparing microbial activities across different soils (Franzluebbers et al. **1995).** hdicators such as microbial biomass per unit of soil organic-C (Insam and Domsch 1988), the metabolic quotient for $CO₂$ (Anderson and Domsch 1993), and the kinetically **derived** labile catbon **masure** AC (Bradley and Fyles **L995a),** have ofien been shown to be more sensitive and informative than chernicd determinations of soil constituents (Anderson and Domsch 1989). The development of a similar indicator that could quantify the physiological nitrogen demand of the microbial biomass would provide insight into how microbial physiological dernands control nitrogen availability in the soil.

The substrate-induced respiration method of Anderson and Domsch (1978) is a simple and rapid technique that estimates microbial biomass content in the soil by eliciting a physiological response fiom the soil microbial biomass. The method assumes that if a saturation puise of a labile **energy** source (glucose) **is** added to the soil, the amount of $CO₂$ evolved by the microbes during the lag phase prior to biomass synthesis is proportional to the total microbial biomass carbon in the soil, as measured by the fumigation-incubation technique of Jenkinson and Powison (1976). In concem over the effect of mineral nutrient depietion during incubation studies on the substrate-induced respiration response of the microbial biomass, Smith and CO-workers (1985) modified the original method by supplementing their glucose amendments with nutrient broth. They found that the microbial respiratory response to the glucose + nutrient broth amendment **was** greater **than** the microbial respiratory response to the glucose only amendment.

In their study, Bradley and Fyles (1995b) similarly used the modified substrate-induced respiration technique desaibed **above,** but **with the** objective of generating measures of the physiological status of the microbial biomass. They

proposed that the magnitude of the absolute respiratory response to the added glucose was proportional to the fraction of the microbid biomass that **was** *energ.* limited, whereas the magnitude of the absolute respiratory response to the added nutrient broth was proportional to the fraction of the microbial biomass that was nutritionally-limited. In their studies, they found that these microbial physiological indices refiected differences in belowground carbon allocation by different tree species (Bradley and Fyles 19956), potential nitrogen mineraiization (Bradley and Fyles 1 9956), **and** mineral nitrogen availability in a fertile forest soil (Bradley et ai. 199?). **These** results suggest **that** the microbial respiratory response to added labile carbon and nitrogen may be a useful indicator of the physiological status of the microbial biomass.

Elucidating the relationships between rnicrobial physiological nitrogen demand and nitrogen mineralization would improve our understanding of the rnicrobial controls on soil nitrogen availability. Furthemore, if consistent relationships between microbial physiological nitrogen demand **and** nitrogen mineralization **were** found, then microbial physiological nitrogen demand could also be **used** as a predictive index of nitrogen mineralization in the soil. In order to meet these objectives, however, a rapid and reliable method to **quantifi** the physiological nitrogen demand of the microbial biomass must first be developed. The respiration-based method proposed by Bradley and Fyles (1995) to measure microbial physiological limitation **appears** theoreticall y sound and its practical application is simple. As such. **further** research to determine its usefulness as a measure of microbial physiological nitrogen dernand is warranted. **It** was with **these** general goals in mind that this study was undertaken.

The specific objectives of this research were to:

- **1. develop a rapid respiration-based indicator that quantified the physiological nitrogen demand of the microbial biomass,**
- **2. determine the relationships between microbial physiological nitrogen dernand and carbon and nitrogen avaiiability in the soil, and**
- **3. detennine if an indicator of microbial physiological nitrogen demand could be applied in field studies to elucidate the linkages between carbon and nitrogen dynamics in forests.**

REFERENCES

- Aber, J. D. and J. M. Melillo. 1991. Terrestrial ecosystems. Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Alef, K., T. Beck, L. Zelles, **afid** D. Kieiner. 1988. **A** cornparison of methods to estimate microbial biomass and N-mineralization in agricultural and grassland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 20: *56* **1-565.**
- Anderson, **J.** P. E. and K. H. Domsch. 1978. **A** physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 10: 2 **1** 5-22 1.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch. 1989. Ratios of microbial biomass carbon to total organic carbon in arable soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 21: 471-479.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch. 1993. The metabolic quotient for $CO₂ (qCO₂)$ as **a** specific activity parameter to assess the **efiects** of environmental conditions. such as pH, on the microbial biomass of forest soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25: 393-395.
- Binkley, D. and S. C. **Hart.** 1989. The components of nitrogen availability assessments in forest soils. Advances in Soil Science 10: 57-1 12.
- Bradley, R. L. and J. W. Fyles. 1995a. A kinetic parameter describing soil available carbon and its relationship to rate increase in C mineralization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 167- **172.**
- Bradley, R. L. and J. W. Fyles. 1995*b*. Growth of paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*) seedlings increases soil available-C and microbial acquisition of soil nutrients. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 1565-1571.
- Bradley, R. L., B. Titus, and **J.** W. Fyles. 1997. Interations **between** Kalmia **humus** quality and chronic low C inputs in controlling microbial and soil nutrient dynamics. Soil Biology $& \text{Biochemistry}$
- Carlyle, J. C. 1 986. Nitrogen cycling in forested ecosystems. Forestry Abstracts 47: 307-336.
- Drury, C. F., R. P. Voroney, and E. G. Beauchamp. 1991. Availability of NH₄⁺-N to microorganisms and the **soi1** intemal N cycle. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 23: 1 65- **169.**
- Franzluebbers, A. J., F. M. Hons, and D. **A.** Zuberer. 1995. Tillage and crop effects on seasonal soil carbon and nitrogen dynarnics. Soil Science Society of America Journal 59: 1618-1624.
- Gosz, J. R. 1981. Nitrogen cycling in coniferous ecosystems. Pages 405-426 *in* F. E. Clark **and** T. Rosswall, editors. **Terrestrial** nitrogen cycles: processes, ecosystem strategies and management impacts. Ecological Bulletins-NFR 33.
- Insam, H. and K. H. Domsch. 1988. Relationship between soil organic carbon and microbial biomass on chronosequences of reclamation sites. Microbial Ewlogy **15:** 177- 188.
- Jarvis, S. C., **E.** A. Stockdale, M. **A.** Shepherd, and D. S. Powlson. **1996.** Nitrogen mineraiization in temperate agricultural soils: processes and measurement. Advances in Agronomy 57: 1 **87-235.**
- Jenkinson, D. S. **and** J. N. Ladd. 198 1. Microbial biomass in soil: measurement and turnover. Pages 415-471 in E. A. Paul and J. N. Ladd, editors. Soil Biochemistry 5. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, New York, USA.
- Jenkinson, D. **S.** and D. W. Powlson. **1976.** The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil-V. **A** method for measunng soil biomass. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 8: 209-2 **1 3.**
- Keeney, D. 1980. Prediction of soil nitrogen availability in forest ecosystems: a **¹i** terature review . Forest Science 26: 1 59- **I 7** 1.
- Kirkby, E. A. 1981. Plant growth in relation to nitrogen supply. Pages 249-267 in **F.** E. Clark and T. Rosswall, editors. Terrestrial nitrogen cycles: processes, ecosystern strategies and management impacts. Ecological Bulletins-NFR 33.
- Mahendrappa, M. K., K. Foster, and **G.** F. **Weetman.** 1986. Nutrient cycling and availability in forest soils. Canadian Journal of Soil Science **66:542-572.**
- Marschner, H. 1986. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Myrold, D. D. 1987. Relationship between microbial biomass nitrogen and a nitrogen availability index. Soil Science Society of Arnerica Journal 51: 1047-1049.
- **Paul, E. A. and F. E.** Clark. 1989. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, California, **USA-**
- Paul, E. A. and R. **L.** Johnson. 1977. Microscopic counting **and ATP** measurement in deterrnining microbial growth in soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 34: 263-269.
- Scarsbrook, C. E. 1965. Nitrogen availability. Pages 481-502 in W. V. Bartholomew and F. E. Clark, editors. Soi1 Nitrogen. Agronomy No. **10.** American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Smith, J. L., B. L. McNeal, and H. H. Cheng. 1985. Estimation of soil microbial biomass: an analysis of the respiratory response of soils. Soil Biology $\&$ Biochemistry 17: 1 1 - 16.
- Smith, J. L. and E. A. Paul. 1990. The significance of soil microbial biomass estimations. Pages 357-396 in **J.** M. Bollag **and** G. Stotzky, editors. Soil Biochemistry 6. Marcel Dekker Inc., **New** York, New York, USA.
- Staaf, H. and B. Berg. 1981. Plant litter input to soil. Pages 147-162 in F. E. Clark and **T.** Rosswall, editors. Terrestnal nitrogen cycles: processes, ecosystem strategies and management impacts. Ecological Bulletins-NFR 33.
- Tisdale, S. L., W. L. Nelson, and J. **D.** Beaton. 1985. Soil fertility and fertilizers. **Fourth** edition. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, New York, USA.
- Vitousek, P. M., J. R. **Gosz,** C. **G.** Grier, J. M. Melillo, and W. **A.** Reiners. 1982. **A** comparative analysis of potential nitrification and nitrate rnobility in forest ecosystems. Ecological Monographs 52: 155-177.

CONNECTING PARAGRAPH 1

The importance of the physiological demand for **nitrogen** of the soil microbial biomass in controlling nitrogen availability in forest soils **was** discussed in Chapter I. The need to develop a practical and reliable method to quantify this physiological demand, in order to further investigate the relationships between microbial physiological status and nitrogen mineralization, was also emphasized. The following chapter describes the application of a simple, respiration-based **measure** of the microbial physiological nitrogen demand, as an indicator of minera1 nitrogen availability and histoncal nitrogen inputs in a forest soil.

CHAPTER II

MICROBIAL BIOMASS RESPIRATION RESPONSE TO ADDED CARBON AND NITROGEN AS AN INDICATOR OF NITROGEN AVAILABILITY IN FOREST SOLS

ABSTRACT

The relationship **betweem** a microbial biomass respiration response to added C and N (NIR) **and N** mineralized in **buried-bags** in the field was examined. Significant negative correlations were found between organic horizon **NIR** values and the amount of mineralized N **measured** at various **times,** however no significant correlations were found in the mineral horizon. These results suggest that NiR **has** potential for use as a physiological indicator of forest floor N availability.

Key **words:** microbial biomass, respiration, **SR, N** mineralization.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen availability in forest soils is controlled by the mineralization of soil organic-N to mineral-N by the soil microbial biomass (SMB). The physiological N demand of the **SMB** determines to what extent N will be mineralized or imrnobilized (Paul and Clark 1989). The development of a method to measure the physiologicai N dernand of the **SMB** would be usefùl in that it **wouid** provide a physiological measure of the capacity of a soil to mineralize **N,** as well as an indirect measure of soil **N** availability.

The substrate-induced respiration (SIR) method of Anderson and Domsch (1978) **was** originally deveioped as a physiological method for quantifjing the microbial biomass in soils. The method **has** been **used** extensiveIy to determine microbial biomass-C in soils because it is rapid and easy to use. The method assumes that if a saturation pulse of a readily available carbon/energy source (glucose) is added to the soil, the amount of *CO?* evolved **by the** SMB dunng the lag phase prior to biomass synthesis is a reflection of the actual size of the SMB.

Smith et al. (1985) modified the original SIR method, to avoid long-term nutrient deficiencies during incubation studies, by amending soils with both glucose and nutrient broth. They found that these "nutritionally complete" amendments resulted in a greater respiration response compared to the glucoseonly amendments. Bradley and Fyles (1995) suggested that the absolute increase in respiration due to the glucose (SIR) represented the carbon/energy deficiency of the SMB, while the absolute increase in respiration due to the nutrient broth **(NIR)** represented the nutritional deficiency of the SMB. We suggest that the NIR response is a measure of the physiological N dernand of the SMB. and that it may be used as a simple and rapid physiological indicator of a soil's capacity to mineralize N. The objective of this study was to examine the relationship between NIR responses of forest soils and the total **N** mineralized **fiom** the **same** soils over a growing **season.**

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site *and soils*

The study site **was** the Hermine watershed located at the Station de Biologie des Laurentides near St-Hippolyte, Québec, Canada (45°59' N, 74°01' W). The catchment has a surface area of ca. 5 ha with a drainage system consisting of an intermittent first-order stream. The soils have developed over anorthositic giacial **tilt** and are classifiai as Orthic Ferro-Humic Podzols **(Humic** Cryorthods) with a moder humus form. The forest floor has a pH (in H₂O) of 4.3, a total CEC of 29.5 cmol $(+)$ / kg, and a total N content of 16.8 mg/g dry soil. The mineral Bfh horizon has a pH of 5.0, a total CEC of 5.4 cmol $(+)$ / kg, a total N content of 3.3 **mg/g** dry soil, and **an** organic C content of 6.9%. **The** watershed is divided into **three** zones (21, **22, 23)** acçording to canopy species composition, with each zone represented in **three** 300 m' replicate plots. **21** is dominated by sugar maple (Acer *saccharum),* 22 is dominated by sugar maple with some **red** maple (A. **rubrum). and 23** is equally dominated by sugar maple, red maple, birch *(Betztla* spp.), and aspen *(Populus grandidendara).*

Four subsarnples fiom both the forest fIoor organic (FH) and minera1 **(Bfh)** horizons were taken from each plot in mid-June of **1995.** The soils **were** passed through a 4.5 mm sieve to rernove large roots and **couse** woody debris, bulked to form a composite horizon sample for the plot, and sealed in polyethylene bags and placed over ice. The soils were returned to the laboratory where they were stored in the dark at **4"** C until analyzed.

Respirometry measurements

Soi1 pre-conditioning and respirometry techniques followed the method of Bradley and Fyles **(1** 995). Soils were removed **fiom** cold storage and preincubated in their polyethylene bags at room temperature for five days. Soils were

brought to field capacity moisture content by the addition of deionized-distilled water, spread on paper towels for three hours at room temperature to reduce moisture content to a level found in preliminary experiments to support optimal respiration, and replaced in their bags to incubate for another two **days.** Following the pre-incubation period, 30 and 70 g wet weight organic and mineral subsamples, respectively, **were** removed fiom the bulk samples fiom each plot and **analyzed** for basal respiration, SIR, and substrate and nutrient induced respiration **(SNR).** The resulting SIR and **SNIR** values were **used** to calculate microbial biomass-C, metabolic quotient ($qCO₂$), and NIR values.

For the determination of basal respiration, subsamples were placed in 130 mL specimen jars, flushed with ambient air for five minutes using an aquarium pump, and seaied with lids equipped with **rubber** septa. **Afier** 2 h, a 3 cc air sample from the headspace of each jar was taken with a syringe and injected into a Hewlett Packard **5890-11 gas** chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Avondale, PA) to detemine the **COz** concentration of the air sample. Soils were **dried** at 1 0 1 **OC** to determine the dry weight of each subsample. Basal respiration was calculated as the **mean** of the dupiicate subsamples and **reportai** as micrograms of *CO2-C* **per =am** of dry soi1 per hour. Al1 respiration rates were correcteci for changes in room **^C** temperature and pressure, assuming ideal gas laws and a $Q_{10} = 2$.

For the determination of SIR, each subsample **was** placed into a 500 **mL** specimen jar and amended with D-glucose at a rate of 667 μ g/g and 143 μ g/g wet weight organic and **mineral** soil, respectively, applied in the form of a 250 mg glucose-talc mixture. These concentrations were found in preliminary studies to be the minimum concentrations required to induce maximal respiration responses in each horizon. The talc mixtures were stirred into the soil using an electric hand blender, after which samples were transferred into 130 **mL** specimen jars and lefi uncovered at room temperature for 1.5 h. Samples were **then** flushed with ambient air for five minutes using an aquarium pump, and covered with lids equipped with septa. After 0.5 h, the $CO₂$ concentration of the air within the headspace of the jars was determined as described above for basal respiration. SIR values for each plot

were calculated as the **mean** of the duplicate subsamples and reported as micrograms of CO2-C **per** gram of dry soil **per** hou. **SIR** values were **converted** to microbial biomass-C values using the regression equation milligrams of $C_{\text{micro}} =$ 40.04 \cdot SIR + 0.37 developed by Anderson and Domsch (1978). qCO_2 was calculated as the ratio of basal respiration to microbial biomass-C.

The determination of **SNIR was camied** out in the same way as the determination of SIR, with the exception that soils were **amended** with a 250 mg talc mixture including both glucose and nutrient broth. The amendment contained D-glucose at concentrations of 667 **pg/g** and 143 **pg/g** wet **weight** organic and mineral soil, respectively, and Difco nutrient broth at concentrations of 833 μ g/g **and 357** pg/g wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively. **SNIR** values were calculated as the mean of the duplicate subsamples and reported as micrograms of *CO?-C* **per gram** of dry soil per hour. The NIR value for each plot **was** calculated as the difference between the **mean SNIR** and SIR values for the plot.

$Net N$ *mineralization*

Net **N** mineralization **was measured during** the growing seasons of **1994** and **1995** (May to October) using the sequential bwied-bag technique of **Eno** (1 **960).** Sixteen samples of both organic (F) **and** minera1 **(Bfh)** soil were collected fiom each plot and bulked into composite horizon sarnples. **A** subsample fiom the **bulk** composite samples of each horizon (ca. 10 **g** organic soil and 20 **g** mineral soil) was sealed individually in a polyethylene bag, and placed over ice. These samples were brought back to **the** laboratory **where** they **were** immediately extracted in 1 N KCl solution. Extracts were analyzed colorimetrically for NH_4^- -N (nitroprusside-salicylate) and $NO₃N$ (Cd reduction) concentrations using a Quickchem AE automated analysis system. A second subsample **(ca.** IO **g** organic and 20 **g** mineral) fiom each of the original bulk samples **was** sealed in a polyethylene bag and buried in a hole **(ca. 20** cm **deep)** in the centre of each plot and left to incubate in **situ** for a **period** of **4 weeks. After the** 4 **weeks,** the sarnples

were removed, placed over ice, and returned to the laboratory where their NH₄⁺-N and NO_3-N concentrations were determined as described above. The entire procedure **was** repeated every four **weeks** until the **end** of October. Net **N**mineralization for the 4 **week period was** calculated as the difference between post and pre-incubation concentrations of mineral N. Total mineralized-N for the year **was** calculated by summing the net mineralized-N of al1 the 4 **week** periods.

Statistical analyses

SMB respiration parameters and soil N mineralization data were compared across zones using the one-way ANOVA procedures of the Statistix statistical software (Analytical Software 1996). Comparison of zone means, following the significance of the ANOVA, was **perfonned** using an **LSD** *(t)* test, with values of *P* < 0.05 considered significant. Linear regression analyses were performed to test for significant correlations between NIR responses and N mineralization data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbial parameters

In the organic horizon, basal respiration and $qCO₂$ were not significantly different across the zones (Table 2.1). The similar basal respiration rates suggest that the SMB in the organic horizon of the **three** zones stabilize to a similar baseline metabolic level. Differences in $qCO₂$ values between microbial biomasses have **been** attributed to differences in microbial community structure (Insam and Haselwandter 1989) or to differences in environmental stress experienced by the SMB (Anderson and Domsch 1993). The similar metabolic quotients suggest that the SMBs in the **three** zones are similar in community structure, or are experiencing similar environmental stresses. Microbial biomass

Table 2.1. Microbial biomass and N mineralization parameters (means ± 1 SE) of the organic horizon.
(SIR) and NIR were found to differ significantly across the zones $(P = 0.02$ and 0.002 respectively; Table **2.1). 21** was found to **have** a significantly larger SIR response than 22 and 23. This suggests that the SMB in **Z1** had a greater physiological demand for **carbon** or energy relative to the biomasses in **Z2** and 23, presumably due to having a larger biomass. **Ln** contrast, 23 **was** found to have the largest NiR response, with **ZI** having an intermediate response **and** 22 having the smallest NIR response. This suggests that the biomass in the forest floor of **Z1,** with a **high SR** response and a relatively low **NIR** response, had a greater demand for carbon **than** for nitrogen. Conversely, the iow **SIR** response and high **NIR** response of the forest floor biomass of 23 suggests that it had a greater demand for nitrogen **than** for carbon. The smaller SIR and NIR **responses** of 22 may reflect a smaller SMB that is experiencing less carbon and nitrogen limitations relative to the other two zones, or may reflect an SMB that is Iimited by other factors.

h the mineral horizon, none of the measured parameters were found to be significantly different from one another across the zones (Appendix A). This suggests that **the** three zones may al1 have qualitatively similar organic matter in the mineral horizon. As a result, the mineral horizons of the **three** zones may be supporting microbial biomasses that live in similar conditions, and thus do not differ significantly in their physiological responses. Because no significant differences were found in the minera1 horizon, the remainder of the discussion will **focus** on **the** comparison between the organic horizon SMB parameters and the N mineralization data.

Net N mineralization

Mineralized **N** was not found to differ significantly across the zones at the time of sampling, nor over the 1994 growing season (Table 2.1). Differences were found, however, in the total mineralized N over the growing season of 1995 ($P =$ 0.003) between zones. **A** similar pattern across the zones (22 > Zl > 23) **was** found in al1 mineralization measurernents, and **was** opposite to the pattern

observed in the **NIR** data. This is consistent with our hypothesis, in that zones with greater mineralized-N support a microbial biomass that is less N-limited and consequently produce smaller **NIR responses.** LitterfaIl foliar N **flux** in 1994, determined as part of another study (unpublished data), was found to be significantly higher in 22 (2.2 **g** N/m) **than** in Z1 and 23 (1.5 and **2.0** g N/m, respectively). **These** results are consistent with the microbial and mineralization results: zones with greater litterfall N input mineralized more N over the growing season, and as a result supported microbial biomasses that were less N-limited and produced smaller NIR responses.

These results also suggest that forest floors developed **under** sugar and **red** maple tend to support a microbial biomass that has a greater demand for carbon, whereas forest floors under **aspen** and birch tend to support a microbial biomass that has a greater demand for nitrogen. Birch is known to be a soil ameliorating species that may increase **the** cycling of nutrients (Miles and Young **1980;** Miller **1984)-** Our data seem to support the **theory** proposed by Bradley and Fyles (1 995) that the greater below-ground C inputs of birch result in a microbial biomass that **is** reiatively more N-limited.

Correlations **between** microbial and *N* mineralization measures

Significant correlations **were** observed between NIR and total mineralized-N over the 1994 ($r^2 = 0.49$, $P = 0.02$) (Fig. 2.1a) and 1995 growing seasons ($r^2 =$ 0.85, $P = 0.0002$) (Fig. 2.1b), and the amount of mineralized-N at the time of sampling $(r^2 = 0.38, P = 0.05)$. These relationships suggest that the physiological N demand of the forest floor SMB is strongly correlated to the amount of N that is mineralized in the field. **NIR was** not found to be correlated with any of the **N** mineralization data in the mineral horizon, suggesting that microbial controls on N mineralization in the mineral horizon are different from those in the organic horizon. It is not evident from this data whether the amount/rate of N mineralization controls the N **dernand** of the *forest* **floor SMB, or whether another**

Fig. 2.1. Relationship between the forest floor NIR response and the total mineralized N in the organic horizon over the (a) 1994 and (b) 1995 growing seasons.

extemal factor controls **both** of **these** parameters together. **Further** work is needed to **clarifi** the controls of this **systern.**

The results of this study **show** that **there** is a strong reiationship between the SMB physiological parameter **NIR** and the arnount of N-mineralized in the organic horizon. **Further** work is **required** to examine how microbial N demands **might** change over time, and how they respond to differences in soi1 C availability. The **results** of this **study,** however, **suggest** that NIR **may** be a **usehl** indicator of soi1 N-avaiiability and that **further research** is justifid.

REFERENCES

- Analytical Software. 1996. Statistix for Windows user's **manuai.** Version 1 .O. Anal **yti** cal Software, **Ta1** lahassee, Florida, **USA.**
- Anderson, J. P. E. **and** K. H. Domsch. 1978. A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. Soil Biology $\&$ Biochemistry **10**: 215-221.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch. 1993. The metabolic quotient for CO_2 (qCO_2) as a specific activity parameter to assess the effects of environmental conditions, such as pH, on the microbial biomass of forest soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25: 393-395.
- Bradley, R. L. and J. W. Fyles. 1995. Growth of paper birch (Betula papvrifera) seedlings increases soil available-C and microbial acquisition of soil nutrients. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 1565-1571.
- Eno, C. F. 1960. Nitrate production in the field by incubating the soil in polyethylene bags. Soil Science Society of Arnerica Proceedings 24: **277-** 279.
- Insam, H. and K. Haselwandter. 1989. Metabolic quotient of the soil microflora in relation to plant succession. Oecologia 79: 174- **178.**
- **Miles, J. and** W. **F.** Young. 1980. The effects on heathland **and** moorland soils in Scotland and northern England following colonization by birch (Betula spp.). Bulletin d'Écologie **1** 1 : **233-242.**
- Miller, H. G. 1984. Nutrient cycles in birchwoods. Proceedings of the Royal Society Edinburgh **8SB:** 83-96.
- **Paul, E. A. and** F. E. Clark. 1989. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, California, USA
- Smith, J. L., B. L. McNeal, and H. **H.** Cheng. 1985. Estimation of soil microbial biomass: an analysis of the respiratory response of soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 17: 1 1 - 16.

CONNECTING PARAGRAPH II

In Chapter II, **NIR was** shown to be negatively correlated **with the** total arnount **of** nitrogen that **was** rnineralized over **the** cwrent and previous growing season in the forest floor, as well as **with** historical litter inputs of nitrogen to the soil. **These** results suggest that the microbial physiological nitrogen **demand** and nitrogen cycling in the forest floor **are** intemelateci. The **need** for clarification of the controls on the **NIR** response **were** emphasized, in particular, how changes in the available **carbon** and nitrogen pools **affected** microbial physiological status. The **next** chapter **describes** a six **week** laboratory incubation that investigated the response of the microbial biomass and **NIR** to changes in soil carbon and nitrogen availability.

CHAPTER III

RESPONSE OF THE MICROBIAL BIOMASS AND NITROGEN INDUCED RESPlRATION TO DIFFERENCES iN C AND N AVAILABILITY IN **A FOREST SOIL**

SUMMARY

The response of the microbial biomass and the microbial physiological Nlimitation indicator *nitrogen-induced respiration* **(NIR)** of a forest soil to relative differences in readily-available C and N were investigated over a six week laboratory incubation. In both the mineral and organic soil horizons, samples amended weekly with C (glucose) had significantly greater ($P \le 0.05$) available C (AC) , basal respiration, and microbial biomass-C than soils amended weekly with N (ammonium sulfate) or control soils. C amended mineral soils had significantly greater carbon availability index (CAI), metabolic quotient, and NIR than N amended or control soils, suggesting that the increased C availability in these soils supported a microbial community that was less C efficient and more N limited. **CA1** and metabolic quotient did not differ significantly among treatrnents in the organic horizon, suggesting that the chronic C inputs were readily metabolized by a biomass already adapted to **high** C conditions. N amended organic soils had significantly greater mineral N levels than both C amended and control soils, and had significantly lower NIR responses **than** C amended soils, suggesting that the increased N availability in these soils supported a microbial biomass that was less N limited. The significant positive correlations between NIR, microbial parameters, and soil C availability in the mineral horizon suggest that microbial N limitation in the mineral horizon is predominately determined by C availability. In the organic horizon, the weaker correlations between NIR and soil **C** availability in conjunction with the significant negative correlation between NIR **and** mineral-**X** availability in the organic horizon suggests that microbial **N** limitation is predominately detennined by N availability. **These** results suggest that NIR is **an** effective indicator of microbial physiological N limitation, and that NIR is sensitive to changes in soil C and N availability.

INTRODUCTION

Forest productivity is often limited nutritionally by the availability of soil nitrogen (N). Trees in general take up N in its inorganic forms and are **thus** dependent on the processes that affect the soil mineral N pools (Carlyle 1986). **Much** research **has been** done **over** the pst **decades** in an effort to understand the factors that control N mineralization and immobilization in the soil. Our knowledge of the biological controls on these processes is still incomplete however, and needs to be **further** developed to better understand their impacts on soil N availability (Jarvis et al. 1996).

One of the main biological components of the soil N cycle is **the** soi1 microbial biomass (SMB). The SMB is the primary agent responsible for the mineralization and release of mineral **N** during the decomposition of litter and soil organic matter (Jenkinson and Ladd 198 1, Smith and Paul 1990). Furthermore, the SMB can draw upon the soil mineral N pool to meet its own N requirements. Net N mineralization, then, is the balance between the amount of N that is mineralized and the amount of mineral N that is immobilized to meet the physiological **N** demand of the SMB (Jarvis et al. 1996). Consequently, **the** microbial physiologicai N demand (ie. the degree of N-limitation experienced by the biomass) is a major control on net N mineralization in the soil (Paul and Clark 1989).

The development of a method to measure the **SMB** physiological N demand could provide a usefûl measure of readily-available N in the soil, as well as provide a predictive index of mineralization **and/or** immobilization. Soi1 analyses and measurements based on the physiological responses of the SMB have **been** suggested to be more sensitive and informative than chemical determinations of soil constituents (Anderson and Domsch 1989). The metabolic quotient for CO_2 (qCO_2 - the ratio of basal respiration per unit microbial biomass-C) is a widely used microbial physiological index that **has** been linked to factors **such** as environmental stress (Killham 1985, Anderson and Domsch 1993) and

forest successional stage **(Insarn** and Haselw andter 1 **989).** The carbon availability index **(CAL** the ratio of **basai** respiration to substrate-induced respiration; Parkinson and Coleman **1991)** and the kinetic parameter available carbon *(AC*derived fiom fitting microbial respiration responses to varying substrate concentrations to a modified Michaelis-Menten equation; Bradley and Fyles 1995) are both physiological indices that have been used to measure the abundance of readily-available carbon (C) in the soil.

in a previous study, **we** suggested that relative differences in the magnitude of the microbial respiration parameter nitrogen induced respiration **(NIR-** the absolute increase in microbial respiration due to an added **N** source) could be **used** as a physiological indiçator of relative differences in soil-N availability (Au et al. **1998,** Chapter II). in the study, a strong negative correlation **was** found between the NIR response of the **SMB and** the total amount of N that **was** mineralized in the field over the growing season. The purpose of this study was to further clarify the relationships between the NIR response and C and N availabiIity in **the** soil. To this end, we undertook a six week laboratory incubation to observe how the microbial cornrnunity and the **NTR** response of a forest soil responded to chronic inputs of readily-available C and N . The aims of this study were: (1) to measure the changes **over** time in the SMB comrnunity in response to relative differences in C and N availability, and (2) to determine whether the NIR response is sensitive to changes in soil available-C and minera1 N levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Suils **and** *sarnpling*

The soils used in this study were collected fiom a 100 year old mixed species stand in the Morgan Arboretum **near** Montréal, Québec, Canada **(45"25'N,** 73°57'W). The stand is ca. 2 ha in size and is dominated by beech, hemlock, and

red maple. The microtopography is moderately mounded and the drainage is good to moderate. The soils have deveioped over a fluvial sand deposit and are classified as humo-ferric podzols with a mor humus fom.

Soil samples were collected in November 1996 fiom four 1 **m'** sampling points of similar slope position and microtopography. Forest floor material (FH) and the top 15 **cm** of the mineral **Bfh** horizon were taken fiom each point, with soils fiom each horizon bulked respectively. and immediately stored in the **dark** at **4°C** until used.

Soil conditioning

Soils were passed through a 4.5 mm sieve to rernove large roots and coarse fragments, and were mixed thoroughly. An initial aliquot from the bulk samples of each horizon was removed for preliminary testing to determine the soil moisture contents, amendment concentrations, and rate of treatment applications that were optimal for respirometry measurements. Soils were divided into nine equal samples of ca. 1.3 kg and 2.9 kg fresh weight organic and mineral soil respectively, and each sample was placed in a 10 L plastic container. Duplicate 10-20 g fiesh weight soil subsamples were removed to determine the moisture contents of the soils in each container. Soils were subsequently brought up to 200% and 25% moisture content (w/w), for organic and mineral samples respectively, by the addition of distilled-deionized water, and covered with polyethylene sheets to maintain moisture levels. Soils were pre-incubated at room temperature for one week.

Chronic soil treatments

To contrast **the** effects of relative differences in soil C and N availability on the physiological status of the soil microbial biomass, soils were amended with chronic C and N treatments in **the** form of aqueous solutions added on a **weekly** basis for a period of six weeks. The nine soil samples fiom each horizon **were**

randomly divided into one of three treatments replicated three times: $+ C$, $+ N$, or no substrate (control). Soils receiving the $+$ C treatment were amended with a solution containing D-glucose as the carbon substrate at a concentration of 2880 **pg C/g and 240 µg C/g wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively. Soils** receiving the + N treatment were **amended** with a solution çontaining ammonium sulfate $((NH_4)_2SO_4)$ as the nitrogen source at a concentration of 240 μ g N/g and 20 **pg N/g** wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively. Soils receiving the control treatment were amended with distilled-deionized water. The selected glucose and ammonium sulfate concentrations **were** found, in preliminary tests, to be the minimum concentrations required to induce maximal respiratory responses in the soils.

On the day prior to the addition of treatment solutions to soils, the polyethylene sheets were removed **fiom** the containers and soils were left uncovered overnight. Treatment solutions were added to the soils the following morning. This method was found to maintain soils at the desired moisture levels. as the water that was added in the treatment solutions offset the moisture that **was** lost overnight to evaporation. For the first **three** weekly treatments, 96 **mL** and 78 mL of treatment solution were added to the organic and mineral sarnples, respectively. Because of the destructive rernoval of soil for analyses at the end of the third week, the volume of added treatment solution for the three remaining weekly treatments was reduced to 62 **mL** and 50 **mL** for the organic and mineral samples, respectively. Soils **were** mixed thoroughly and recovered with the polyethylene sheets. Soils were allowed to incubate undisturbed during the foIlowing six days until the next treatment. The first treatment **was** made on day 1, with subsequent treatments made every seven days thereafter.

Soil **analvses**

Soil analyses were **performed** on days O, 2 **1, and** 42 of the incubation. To detennine the effects of the treatments on the physiological status of the SMB, the following microbial respiration-based parameters **were** measured: basal respiration, microbial biomass-C, $qCO₂$, and NIR. Furthermore, CAI and AC values (for day 42) were determined as **rneaswes** of readily available-C in the soil. Soil mineral **N** levels were determined as a measure of readily available-N in the soil.

Respirometry

Six 30 g and 60 g subsamples of wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively, were removed fiom each container and placed into 130 **mL** specimen jars. The subsamples were divided into three pairs of duplicate subsamples, with one pair of duplicates being used for each of the following measurements: basal respiration, substrate-induced respiration (SIR), and substrate and nitrogeninduced respiration (SNIR). The resulting **SIR** and SNIR values were used to calculate microbial biomass-C and NIR values, respectively.

For the detennination of basal respiration, soi1 subsamples were flushed with ambient air for five minutes using an aquarium pump. Soils were subsequently amended with 2 **mL** of distilled-deionized water and covered with a lid equipped with a **rubber** septum. **Afier** 1 h. a 4 cc air sample fiom the headspace within the specimen jar was removed with a syringe and injected into a Hewlett **Packard 5 890-11** gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Avondale, PA) equipped with a Poropak Q column and thermal conductivity detector to determine the CO₂ concentration of the air sample. Soils were dried ovemight at **10 1** *OC* to determine the dry weight of each subsample. Basal respiration was calculated as the mean of the **two** subsarnples and reported as micrograms of *CO2-C* **per gram** of dry soil per hour. Al1 respiration rates were corrected for changes in room temperature and pressure, assuming ideal gas laws and a $Q_{10} = 2$.

For the determination of SIR, subsamples **were** flushed with ambient air and amended with a 2 **mL** D-glucose solution at concentrations of 2880 pg **C/g** and 240 **pg** C/g wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively. Soils were

covered for **1** h and the **COz** concentration of the air in the headspace of each specimen jar **was** detemined as described above for basal respiration. SIR **was** calculated as the **mean** of the two subsarnples and reported as micrograms of *CO2-* C **per** gram of dry soil **per** hour. **SIR** values were converted to microbial biomass values using the Anderson and Domsch (1978) regression equation of milligrams of $C_{\text{micro}} = 40.04 \cdot \text{SIR} + 0.37$. $q \text{CO}_2$ values were calculated as the amount of basal respiration per unit of microbial biomass and **was** reported **as** micrograms of *CO2-* C per milligram C_{micr} per hour. CAI was calculated as the ratio of basal respiration to **SIR.**

The detemination of SNIR was carried out in the same way as the detemination of SIR, with the exception that subsamples were amended with a 2 rnL solution containing both D-glucose and L-glycine. Previously, we had - eenerated **SNiR** responses by amending soils with a glucose solution containing nutrient broth as the nitrogen source. Nutrient broth, however, is not only a complex mixture of various nutrients, but also a potential organic energy source. **As such,** the microbial respiratory response generated by its addition may not be a strict measure of microbial-N demand, as it would confound the response of the **SiMB** to the other nutrients and energy sources that occur in the broth. An inorganic N source would be ideal in isolating a pure measure of microbial- N demand. however previous work **has** shown that amendment of mineral N salts to the soil **can** result in reduced microbial respiration and activity (Salonius 1972, Nohrstedt et al. 1989). Therefore, assuming that the SMB would preferentially metabolize glucose for its C and energy requirements, we elected to use a simple amino acid in the SNIR solution rather than nutrient broth, so as to generate a respiration response that would be strictly due to the addition of a readilyavailable N source.

Solutions used for organic soil subsamples contained D-glucose and Lglycine at concentrations of 2880 **pg C/g and 240 pg Nlg** wet weight soil. respectively. Solutions used for mineral soil subsamples contained D-glucose and L-glycine at concentrations of 240 μ g C/g and 12 μ g N/g wet weight soil, respectively. CO₂ concentration of the air in the headspace of each specimen jar **was** determined as described above for basal respiration. **SNE** was calculated as the **mean** of the two subsarnples **and** reported as rnicrograms of **CO2-C per gram** of **dry** soil per hour. NiR **was** calculated as the difference **between** the **mean** SNIR and SIR values for each soil sample.

AC **determination**

The kinetic **parameter** AC **was** determined on day 42 using the method described by Bradley and Fyles (1995). **Briefly,** the **method** is **based** on ploning the microbial respiration responses (v) to a range of glucose concentrations (S_A) ranging **fiom** O to saturation levels. The resulting **curve** is **then fitted** to a modified Michaelis-Menten curve: $v = (V_{max})(S_A + AC)(K_m + S_A + AC)^{-1}$, where V_{max} is the maximum respiratory response to glucose at saturation levels, K_m is the Michaelis constant, and AC is the extrapolated x-intercept value representing the glucoseequivalent of soil available C.

Seven 30 **g** and 60 g subsamples of wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively, were rernoved fiom each container, placed into 130 **mL** specimen **jars,** and flushed with ambient air for five minutes. One of seven diffaent 2 **mL** elucose solutions was then added to each subsample, **with** solutions ranging in **^U** concentrations **fiom** O to 2880 **pg C/g** wet weight soil for organic subsarnples, and from 0 to 240 μ g C/g wet weight soil for mineral subsamples. CO_2 concentration of the air in the headspace of each specimen jar was determined as described above for basal respiration. The parameters V_{max} , K_{m} , and AC were estimated by nonlinear regression analysis using the NONLM procedures of the SYSTAT statistical software (Wilkinson 1990). The AC value estimated for each soil sample was reported as micrograms of glucose-equivalent C/g dry soil.

Minerai N availability

Mineral nitmgen availability in the soil **was** detennined by extraction of soils in KCl solution. **Afier** detennining the moisture content of the soils in each container, **ca.** 20 g and 50 **g** of wet weight organic and mineral soil, respectively, were removed fiom each container and **sealed** in individual polyethylene bags. Soils were kept fiozen in their bags at -10°C **until analyzed.** Frozen samples were thawed overnight in a refngerator at **4°C.** Organic and **mineral** samples of 10 **g** and **15** g wet weight soil, respectively, **were** placed into 250 **mL** Erlemeyer **flasks** and 1 00 **mL** of 1N KCl solution **was** added. Flasks were shaken on an overhead shaker at 120 rpm for 1 h, after which extracts were filtered through Whatman #5 filter papers. The filtrate **was** collected in 120 rnL plastic bottles and immediately frozen at -10°C until analyzed. Filtrates were thawed overnight at room temperature, and analyzed for NH_4^+ (nitroprusside-salicylate) and NO_3^- (Cd reduction) using a Quickchem AE automated analysis system. Mineral N availability **was** reported as **pg** minera1 N/g dry soil.

Sra fistical analvses

Statistical analyses for treatment, horizon, and treatment **x** horizon interaction effects on soil variables for each date were tested by two-way ANOVA using the **PROC** GLM procedures of the SAS system (SAS Institute 1989). in al1 statistical tests, F values with corresponding probabilities ≤ 0.05 were considered signi ficant. **Where** signi ficant treatment **s** horizon interactions were found, the simple effect of treatments **in** each of the soil horizons was tested using one-way ANOVA. Comparison of means between treatments, upon significance of the ANOVA, **was** performed using Tukey's (HSD) multiple-range test. Pearson's correlation coefficients between variables measured on day 42 were generated using **the** Linear Models procedures of the Statistix statistical software (Analytical Software 1996).

RESULTS

The two-way ANOVA of day O data showed no significant treatment or treatment **x** horizon interaction effects, but did show significant horizon effects in all measured variables ($P < 0.0004$), with the organic horizon generally producing values two to ten times greater than those of the mineral horizon. With the exception of CAI, observed values in the organic horizon remained significantly greater than those of the mineral horizon on both days 21 and 42. By day 21, si **pi** ficant treatment effects **were observed** in four of the six **measured** variables, and by day 42, significant treatment effects were observed in al1 seven of the measured variables. Although significant treatrnent **x** horizon interaction effects were observed in only some of the measured variables on **both** days 2 **1** and 42, the data for each soil horizon will be presented separately in order to facilitate data presentation and discussion. **As** such, the results and discussion presented hereafter will treat each horizon separately and will focus on the results of days **2** 1 and 42.

Soil C availability

Samples that were amended with C tended to have greater levels of soil available C, however the patterns of response between horizons as well as between available C measurements were not similar. On day 21, significant treatment **and** treatment **.r** horizon interaction effects were observed in soil C availability as measured by CAI. In the minerai horizon, the **CA1** in C amended soils had increased and become significantly greater than the **CA1** in both N amended and control soils, which remained unchanged and **decreased,** respectively (Fig. 3.1A). In the organic horizon, however, CAI values were not found to differ significantly across treatments.

On day 42, the patterns observed in soil C availability as measured **by CA1** were different **fiom** those as measured by AC. On this date, no significant

Fig. 3.1. Means (with standard error bars) of measured variables of each horizon for days 0, 21, and 42.

> a-cMeans on each date denoted with different letters were significantly different at $P < 0.05$.

Table 3.1. Estimated AC values (means \pm 1 SE) for the mineral and organic horizons on day 42.

treatment effects on soil C availability **were detected** in **CA1 values.** In the **mineral** soil, **CA1** values in the C **amended** mils **had** failen, but still **tended** to be greater **than** the values of the control treatment which remained low. **CM** values in the organic horizon **were** again not found to **be** significantly different **across treatments.** In contrast, significant treatment effects on soil C availability were detected in the AC data, although no significant treatment x horizon interaction **was** observed (Table 3.1). Soils that were arnended with C **were found** to have significantly greater AC values than soils amended with N. The control soils in the organic horizon **were highly** variable in **theù** AC values, and as a result were not found to be statistically different fiom either C or N **arnended** soils.

Soi1 mineral N availability

Day 21 **mineral** N levels in both horizons were characterized by reiatively high variation in all treatments. As a result, no significant treatment or treatment x horizon interaction effects **were** observed on this date. Stabilization in mineral N levels seemed to occur by day 42, as variation within treatments subsided and gave rise to strong treatment and **treatment x** horizon interaction effects. **On** this date, soils amended with N had significantly greater levels of **mineral** N **than** either C amended or control soils in both soil horizons (Fig. 3.1B). **Furthemore,** the minerai N levels in the control soils of the organic horizon were significantly greater **than** in the C amended soils, where **mineral** N levels fell to levels lower **than** those observed on day 0.

Micro *bial parameters*

Treatment and treatment **x** horizon interaction **effects were** statistically significant for both basal respiration and microbial biomass on both dates and on day 42 for NiR, **whereas** only treatment effects were found to be signïficant for **qCOz** on both data. in **both** soil horizons, basal respiration in C amended soils was significantly greater than in N amended or control soils for both days 21 and

42 (Fig. 3.1C). Basal respiration in N amended soils in the **mineral** horizon **was** greater **than** in the control soils, but only significantly **so** on *day* 21. The opposite trend **was** observed in the organic honzon, where basal respiration rates in N amended soils tended to be lower **than** in control soils, but differences were not significant. **Actual** increases in **basai** respiration rates in the **mineral** honzon **were** observed only in the C amended soils, where values peaked at $1.2 \mu g CO_2-C·g^{-1}$ **dry** soil-h" on day 21, representing an increase of **ca.** 800% relative to day O values. in contrast, basal respiration rates in **the** organic horizon increased in **al1 treatments on day 21 with** the **maximum** occuning in **the** C treatment at 26 **pg** *CO~-C*~\$'* dry soil-h-', representing **an** increase of only **ca.** 250% relative to day O values. By day 42, basal respiration rates in **both** horizons tended to decrease slightly in all treatments.

Microbial biomass patterns among **treatments were** similar to those observed in basal respiration in both horizons. **On** days 21 **and** 42, C **amended** mineral and organic soils supported significantly greater microbial biomass levels **than** N amended and control soils. In the **mineral** soil, only C arnended soils showed changes in biomass levels relative to day O values, **peahng** and stabilizing on day 21 (Fig. 3.1D). Overall, microbial biomass levels in the **mineral** soils remained within the range of 0.37 to 0.42 mg C_{micro}/g dry soil throughout the incubation. In **the** organic soils, biomass levels increased in the C amended **and** control soils on day 21, and remained at these levels on day 42. N amended soils, which did not generally fluctuate **fiom** day O values, supported significantly lower biomass levels **than** C arnended soils on both dates, but **were** not significantly different fiom the control soils.

Only significant treatment **effects were** observed in **SMB** qC02 values, and these were primarily dominated by the changes that occurred in the mineral horizon, as $qCO₂$ values in the organic horizon tended not to differ across treatments. Soils amended with C were found to have significantly greater $qCO₂$ values **than** N amended or control mils on **both days** 2 **1 and 42 (Fig. 3.1** E). Ln the mineral horizon, qCO_2 values in C amended soils increased by ca. 600% on day

21 to 2.7 μ g CO₂-C/g mg C_{micr}, but subsequently fell to 1.2 μ g CO₂-C/g mg C_{micr} by day **42.** These **increases** over day O values were largely due to the increases in basal respiration, as microbial biomass levels in the **minera1** horizon fluctuated very little over the course of the incubation. qCO_2 values remained relatively **unchanged** in the N amended soils and declined in the control mils of the **minera1** horizon. In the organic horizon, $qCO₂$ values for all treatments increased on day 2 1, and subsequentl y **decreased** slightl y on &y **42,** however **these changes were** not signifiant.

The **NIR** responses of both horizons on day 21 **were chacterized** by **high** variation, which resulted in no discemible treatment or treatment **x** horizon effects on this date (Fig. **3.1F).** By day 42, variation **was** reduced and C **amended** soils **were** found to have significantly **greater NIR responses than** the N **amended** soils in the organic horizon, and greater responses than both the N **amended and** control soils in the mineral horizon. **NIR** in the **mineral** horizon showed inconsistent changes over time, but C amended soils showed a clear **increase** over the **other** treatments on day 42 to a high value of $0.28 \text{ CO}_2\text{-}C\text{-}g^{-1}$ dry soil $\text{-}h^{-1}$. In the organic horizon, **NIR** responses in al1 the **treaûnents** were found to increase on day 21. The **N** and control treatments maintained these same levels on &y 42, **whereas** the NIR response in the C amended soils increased **further** on day 42 to a value of 10.3μ g $CO₂$ - $C·g⁻¹$ dry soil $h⁻¹$.

Correlations between soil variables on **da_v** *42*

In the mineral horizon, basal respiration, microbial biomass, and $qCO₂$ were found to have strong positive correlations with one another, and **al1 three had** significant positive correlations with both **measures** of soil C availability (Table 3.2). NIR **was also** found to have significant positive correlations with the microbial parameters and with both **measures** of soil C availability. Mineral **N** availability was found to have negative but statistically insignificant correlations with al1 other **measwed** variables.

	Biomass	qCO ₂	CAI	AC	NIR	$KCl-N^d$
Basal	$*$ ⁴ 0.98	**0.99	$*0.76$	**0.98	$*0.76$	-0.48
Biomass		**0.98	$*0.68$	**0.96	$*0.72$	-0.53
qCO ₂			$*0.77$	**0.98	$*0.76$	-0.48
CAI				$*0.73$	$*0.70$	-0.22
AC					$*0.70$	-0.47
NIR						-0.50

Table 3.2. Correlation coefficients between microbial parameters and mineral N availability in the mineral horizon on day 42.

 $\frac{a}{a}$ KCl-extractable mineral N

*,** Significant correlation at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.001$, respectively.

	Biomass	qCO ₂	CAI	AC	NIR	$KCl-N^d$
Basal	**0.99	$*0.86$	-0.30	$*0.71$	$*0.92$	$* -0.79$
Biomass		$*0.77$	-0.44	$*0.75$	$**0.95$	$*$ -0.77
qCO ₂			0.19	0.54	0.64	-0.63
CAI				-0.36	-0.53	0.37
AC					0.65	-0.51
NIR						$* -0.66$

Table 3.3. Correlation coefficients between microbial parameters and mineral N availability in the organic horizon on day 42.

^a KCl-extractable mineral N

*,** Significant correlation at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.001$, respectively.

The strong correlations **between** microbial **parameters** and soil available-C that were found in the **mineral** horizon were not as evident in the organic horizon (Table 3.3). **Where** *CAT* **was** found to be significantly correlated with al1 other variables except mineral N availability in the minerai horizon, it **was** not found to be significantly correlated with any variable in the organic horizon. **Basal** respiration, microbial biomass, and $qCO₂$ in the organic horizon were once again strongly correlated with one another, however only basal respiration and rnicrobial biomass were **found** to correlate with C availability as **measured** by **AC. NIR was found** to be strongly correlated once again with basal respiration **and** microbial biornass, as well as being significantly negatively wrrelated with **mineral** N availability and possibly with $AC (P = 0.06)$. It was, however, not found to be significantly correlated with CAI or $qCO₂$. With the exception of CAI, mineral N availability **was** negatively correlated with al1 other variables, with signifiant correlations occurring with basal respiration, microbial biomass, and **NR**

DISCUSSION

Soil C availability

Bot. CA1 and *AC* are **measures** of soil available-C, however they are subject to different interpretations. **CA1** values represent the ratio between the microbial activity levels that are sustained at the soil's "basal" C levels, and the maximum activity levels that the SMB can achieve when C is not limiting. Thus, **CA1** is a measure of the C limitation that prevents the SMB fiom realizing **iu** maximum potential activity levels with respect to C availability. **CA1** values that approach O reflect a microbial comrnunity that is **far hm** reaching **its maximum** potential activity level because of C limitation, whereas **CA1** values that approach **¹**reflect a microbial cornmunity that is near **its** maximum potential activity level and not C lirnited (Cheng et al. 1996). In **contrast, the cuve-fitting method for**

determinhg AC not only considers the rnicrobial **activity** levels at basal and saturation C levels, but also **incorporates** the respiratory responses of the **SMB** between **these** two points. The slope of this curve dictates the value of AC, and dso gives an indication of the affinity of the **SMB** for C. Thus, AC values also inherently incorporate a measure of the affinity of the SMB for C.

Although different patterns **were** observed in **CA1 and AC measurements,** soils that were chronically amended with glucose were generally found to have greater soil available-C. In the minerai horizon, the consistently greater **CA1** values in C amended soils **mggests** that the **SMB** in these mils was less C Iimited **than** the **SMB** in the other two **treatments,** which in **tum** suggests that C arnended soils had relatively greater C availability. The increase in biomass levels in C amended mineral soils was relatively small, however, suggesting that the amount of microbial biomass that **can** be **supported** in the mineral horizon may be detmined more by other factors **than** soil C availability. As such, the rate of added glucose to these soils may have exceeded the capacity of the **SMB** to use C, resulting in a build-up of available C. The organic horizon could be generally characterized as **having** higher substrate quality than the mineral horizon, and **thus** likely supports a microbial **cornrnunity** that is already adapted to **higher** labile-C levels. The lack of **a** similar increase in CA1 values in the C amended organic soils suggests that the rate of C input did not exceed the metabolic C demands of the SMB, and as a result, microbial C-limitation in **these** soils **remainecl high.**

In addition to reflecting **greater** available-C levels in **C amended** soils on day 42, the AC data also suggests that the SMB in C amended soils had a lower affinity for C than the SMB in N amended soils. Either the presence of high levels of C in the C arnended soils reduced the **affinity** of the **SMB** for C, or the presence of high levels of mineral N in the N amended soils stimulated the affinity of the SMB for C. The lack of effect that mineral N amendment had on CA1 values of either horizon, however, suggests that the presence of readily available N in the soil did not promote additional metabolism of C from the soil available C pools.

The bulk of the treatment effects on basal respiration, microbial biomass, **and qC02** occurred in the C amended soils, **suggesting** that the **SMB** is responsive to inputs of labile-C. Microbial activity and **growth** are fht and forernost lirnited by C availability (Smith and Paul **1990).** The greater basal respiration rates and microbial biomass levels in C **arnended** soils suggests that the chronic input of glucose into these soils alleviated **the** C limitation of the **SMB** and allowed it to increase both its activity and size. Other incubation studies have also reported increases in microbial **activity** and **nurnbers** to **added** glucose over time (Sparling et al. 1 98 1, Sparling and Williams 1986, Bradley and Fyles **1995). The** controlling effect of available C on microbial activity and **growth** is **fiirther supporteci** by the strong positive correlations observed between basal respiration and microbial biomass and **both** available carbon **measurements** in the **mineral** soil, and with AC in the organic soil.

In the **mineral** horizon, **the** proportîonally large increases in basal respiration with relatively small concomitant increases in biomass levels in the C amended soils suggests that microbial activity in the mineral horizon is highly C limited, whereas microbial growth is limited by other factors besides C availability. This disproportionate increase in basal respiration with respect to biomass levels resulted in the high $qCO₂$ values of these soils. Low $qCO₂$ values **are** associated with a slow-growing but C efficient autochthonous microbial community, whereas high $qCO₂$ values are associated with a more opportunistic but C inefficient zymogenous microbial **community (Insarn** and Hasel wandter 1989). The relatively low substrate availabitity in the minerai horizon would **normaily** support a relatively autochthonous microbial **community.** The steep increase in $qCO₂$ values in C amended soils on day 21 suggests that the chronic glucose **treatments** raised soil available-C levels, resulting in a shift in the **SMB fiom** an autochthonous to a zymogenous **community** structure. **The** subsequent reduction in **qC02** values by day 42 **was** likely due to the adaptation of the **SMB**

to **higher** available-C levels, and its consequent stabilization to a more efficient metabolic state.

In contrast, the proportionai **uicreases** in **both** basal respiration and microbial biomass in the C amended organic soils resulted in no significant changes in $qCO₂$ values of these soils over those of the other treatments. The forest **fioor** is a **C-rich** environment relative to the **mineral** horizon, and likely supports a microbial **community** that is **already** zymogenous in structure and **adapted** to **high** C conditions. **Thus,** the pulses of labile C into these soils may have been simply mineralized by the existing SMB, without **having** shifted the microbial **cornmunity** structure in the forest **floor** to an **even** more zymogenous state. This is reflected in the lack of significant correlations between qCO_2 values and either C availability index.

Mineral AT availability on microbial dynamics

In contrast to C availability and microbial **dynamics where** consistent patterns had established themselves by day 21, **mineral** N availability in the soil was still highly variable on day 21 and did not stabilize into discernible patterns until day 42. Although not reflected in the measured biomass values, **there** may have been a significant turnover of microbial populations **occurring** over the first four **weeks** of the incubation as the soils acclimatized to laboratory conditions, which may have resulted in extensive N mineralization. The variation early in the incubation suggests that minera1 N availability may **be** more sensitive to changes in the soil environment **than** either soil C or SMB **parameters,** and may take a longer period of time to stabilize.

Although mineral N levels became significantly greater in N amended soils on day 42, this had relatively small observable **effects** on the measured microbial parameters. Despite slight increases in basal respiration and $qCO₂$ values relative to the control soils, N amended **minera1** soils did not differ significantly **fiom** the control soils in the other measured variables. This suggests

that **SMB** activity and dynamics in the **minerd** horizon are **rnuch more** responsive to **fluxes** in soi1 C availability **than** to **fluxes** in soi1 N availability.

In the organic horizon, the obseryed negative eflects that **mineral** N amendment had on basal respiration and biomass levels are consistent with findings from other studies that reported the inhibitory effects of mineral- N additions to the soil on microbial activity and biomass (Saionius 1972, Nohrstedt et ai. 1989). In some of these studies, the depressing **effect was** attributed to **decreases** in soil pH due to **the** amendment of ammonium, however pH **was** not found to change over the course of **this incubation (data** not shown). The **decrease** in microbial respiration may have **been** due to increasing **SMB** exploitation of the mineral N source, which **could** be **taken** up **without** the concomitant mineralization of C that accompanies **the** metabolism of organic N **sources.**

Relarionships **between** *NIR, soil* **C, N,** *and microbial* **dynamics**

NIR responses were similar to mineral N responses in that **they were** highly variable on day 2 1, but settled into discemible **pattems** by day 42. The **high** variation in the soil mineral N levels **was** likely itself a major **cause** of the variation in the microbial physiological N dernand on this date. In this respect, the NIR response may **be** more sensitive to N dynamics in **the** soi1 **than the** microbiai parameters **or** the indices of C availability, and **thus** may be more reflective of the N fluxes that occur in the soil. **Its** usefuiness as an effective indicator of microbial N **demand,** however, may be limited **when** mineral N levels have not **yet** stabilized, as NIR values were not found to be significantly correlated with any of the **other measured** variables on this date (data not shown).

The stabilization of mineral **N** levels by day 42, however, resulted in the NIR data falling into the expected patterns of response. The significant increases in the NIR responses of C amended soils on this date suggest that the SMB in **these** soils had developed a strong physiological dernand for N. The input **of** labile-C into these soils likely increased the microbial physiological N demand by: a) alleviating **the** C limitation, resulting in N **becoming** the next Ihiting nutrient,

and by b) allowing the SMB to proliferate, resulting in a larger microbial biomass competing for the same soil N pools. This relationship is further supported by the strong correlations **between** NIR values and microbial biomass values and soi1 **AC** values. Thus, increased C availability in the soil stimulated microbial growth and activity, **both** of which resulted in the increase of the **SMB** physiological demand for N, and consequently the magnitude of its NIR **response.**

NIR **was** clearly responsive to C availability in the soil, however its response to soi1 N availability **was** different in **each** horizon. In the mineral horizon, the significantly greater levels of mineral N in the N arriended soils did not affect NIR values relative to the control. This, coupled with the significant positive correlations between NIR and **both** measures of C availability and the lack of significant correlations with **mineral** N availability, **suggests** that microbial N limitation in the minera1 horizon is **detennined** more by the abundance of available C **than** by the abundance of available N. **Because** microbid activity in the mineral horizon is much more C limited, the **SMB may** be capable of exploiting available N sources only **when** available C **sources** are at **hand.**

The N amended soils of the organic horizon, on the other hand, did have significantly lower **NIR** responses **than** the C **amended** soils. Furthemore, organic horizon NIR values **were** found to be significantly correlated with mineral N values, and not with either C availability index. This suggests that microbial N limitation in the organic horizon is determined more by the abundance of available N **than** by the abundance of available C. **Again,** the substrate nch environment of the forest floor likely supported a microbial community that was more limited by N, and as a result, the addition of a readily available **N source** would have reduced the physiological N limitation of the **SMB.**

In summary, these results suggest that after N dynamics in the soil have stabilized, the **NIR** response is a good indicator of the physiological N limitation of the microbial biomass. NIR **was** found to be more sensitive to **changes** in N availability than the other microbial measurements, and may **be** a more sensitive indicator of the physiological status of the **SMB** with **respect** to N demand **than** **qC02. The application of NIR measurements in field situations where N dynamics have likely aiready rached steady state could dlow for usefùi wmparisons of rnicrobial N limitations between soils, as well as provide a predictive index as to** which soils would mineralize or immobilize more nitrogen. Further work is **required to determine the applicability of** NIR **to other soils and to test the strength of the relationships between** NIR **and other established measutes of N availability.**

Acknowledgements-

We would like to thank M. Peterson and T. Zhang for their assistance with data collection and analyses, and H. Fyles for critically reviewing the manuscript. This work was supported by an operating grant and a post-graduate scholarship **provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada.**

REFERENCES

- Analytical Software. 1996. Statistix for Windows user's manual. Version 1.0. Analytical Software, Tallahassee, Florida, USA.
- Anderson, J. P. E. and K. H. Domsch. 1978. A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 10: 215-221.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch. 1989. Ratios of microbial biomass carbon to total organic carbon in arable soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 21: 471-479.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch K. H. 1993. The metabolic quotient for $CO₂$ $(qCO₂)$ as a specific activity parameter to assess the effects of environmental conditions, such as pH, on the microbial biomass of forest soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25: 393-395.
- Au, W. R., J. W. Fyles, and B. Côté. 1998. Microbial biomass respiration response to added carbon and nitrogen as an indicator of nitrogen availability in forest soils. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. In press.
- Bradley, R. L. and J. W. Fyles. 1995. A kinetic parameter describing soil available carbon and its relationship to rate increase in C mineralization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 167-172.
- Carlyle, J. C. 1986. Nitrogen cycling in forested ecosystems. Forestry Abstracts 47: 307-336.
- Cheng, W., Q. Zhang, D. C. Coleman, C. R. Carroll, and C. A. Hoffman. 1996. Is available carbon limiting microbial respiration in the rhizosphere? Soil Biology & Biochemistry 28: 1283-1288.
- Insam, H. and K. Haselwandter. 1989. Metabolic quotient of the soil microflora in relation to plant succession. Oecologia 79: 174-178.
- Jarvis, S. C., E. A. Stockdale, M. A. Shepherd, and D. S. Powlson. 1996. Nitrogen mineralization in temperate agricultural soils: processes and measurement. Advances in Agronomy 57: 187-235.
- **Jenkinson, D. S. and J. N. Ladd. 198 1.** Microbial **biomass** in **soils: measurement and** turnover. Pages **451-471 in** E. **A.** Paul and J. N. **Ladd,** editors. Soil Biochemisiry 5. Marcel-Dekker, New **York,** New **York,** USA.
- Killham, **K. 1985.** A physiological **determination** of the impact of **environmental** stress on the activity of microbial biomass. **Environmental** Pollution 38: **283-294.**
- Nohrstedt, H. O., K. **Amebrant, E.** Wth, **and B.** SWerstromerstrom **1989. Changes in carbon** content, respiration rate, ATP **content,** and microbial biomass in **nitmgen-fertiked pine forest** soils in **Sweden. Canadian Journal** of Forest **Research 19: 323-328.**
- Parkinson, **D.** and D. C. **Coleman. 1991.** Microbid communities **activity** and biomass. Agiculture, **Ecosystems** and **Environment 34: 3-33.**
- Paul, E. A. and **F. E. Clark. 1 989.** Soil microbiology **and biochemistry.** Academic Press Inc., San Diego, California, USA.
- SAS hstitute. **1989. SAS/STAT** user's guide. Version 6. **Fourth** edition. SAS hstitute, Carey, North **Carolina,** USA.
- **Salonius,** P. 0. 1972. Microbiological **response** to **fertilizer treatments** in **ofganic** forest soils. Soil **Science 114: 12- 19.**
- **Smith,** J. L. and E. A. Paul. **1990.** The significance of soi1 microbial biomass estimations. Pages **357-396** in **J. M.** Bollag and **G. Stotzky,** editors. Soil Biochernistry 6. Marcel **Dekker,** New **York,** New York, USA.
- Sparling, G. P., B. *G.* **Ord,** and D. Vaughan. **198** 1. Micmbial **biomass** and **activity** in soils **amended with** glucose. Soil Biology & **Biochemistry 13: 99-104.**
- Sparling, G. P. and B. L. Williams. 1986. Microbial biomass in organic soils: estimation of biomass C, and **effect** of **glucose** or cellulose **amendments** on the amounts of N and P released by fumigation. Soil Biology & Biochernistry 18: **507-5 1 3.**
- Wilkinson, L. **1990. SYSTAT: The System** for **Statistics.** SYSTAT **Inc., Evanston,** Ilinois, USA.

CONNECTING PARAGRAPH III

in Chapter III, evidence supporting the **usetùlness** of **NIR** as an indicator of microbial physiological **nitrogen demand was** presented. NIR **was** found to be more sensitive to changes in soi1 nitrogen availability **than other** microbial **measures,** and **was** aiso **found** to **be** sensitive to **changes** in soi1 **carbon** availability. **Having** established its usefiilness allows the application of **NIR** in field studies to **determine** the impacts of microbial physiological **nitrogen demand** on nitrogen cycling in the forest. Chapter N **describes** a long-term watershed study in which the relationships **between** nutrient availability, **tree** productivity, microbial physiological **statu,** and nitrogen cycling **were** investigated.

CHAPTER IV

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN TREE GROWTH, NUTRIENT FLUXES, AND SOiL MICROBiAL BIOMASS DYNAMICS IN A NORTHERN HARDWOOD FOREST

ABSTRACT

In the forest ecosystem, the input of reduced C to the soil from trees is the driving force behind microbial **growth and** tumover of nutrients. As such, we reasoned that factors that affect **tree** productivity should, as a result, affect tree C allocation to the soil and ultimately microbial activity and growth. Furthermore, we **reasoned** that changes in rnicrobial activity should impact nitrogen mineralization in the soil. To test these hypotheses, **the** relationships between **nutrient** availability, tree productivity, soil C and **N** availability, and microbiai dynamics were investigated in a northem hardwood forest. Strong positive correlations **between** foliar litter **K,** Mg, and **P fluxes and basal area pwth suggested** that the availability of these nutrients **were** limiting on growth. The developrnent of **K** and Mg limitations may **have been** attributable to reductions in atmospheric inputs of these nutrients. Moreover, increased basal area growth and litterfall nutrient fluxes were associated with greater soil C availability. We hypothesized 1. that trees with greater nutritional status were able to fix more carbon and as a result allocate more C belowground, or 2. that **trees** with greater below ground C allocation stimulated microbial nutrient turnover, allowing for greater nutrient uptake, nutritional **statu,** and growth in the trees. Soi1 C availability **was thereafter** found to be related with **the** activity, substrate-use efficiency, and physiological N demand of the soil microbial biomass. The physiological N demand of **the** microbial biomass, in **turn, was** related with the **amount** of N that **was** mineraiized in the soil. In this way, **tree** production and C allocation impacted soil N dynamics by influencing the physiological status of the microbial biomass. Microbial physiological N demand **was also** conelated **with** foliar litterfidl **N** flux, suggesting that the magnitude of N inputs from litter **also influences** the microbial physiological demand for N. Results indicate that plant productivity, microbial dynamics, and nitrogen mùieralization **were** al1 closely linked, and **that** impacts in one component of the ecosystern will **be** expressed in the other **components** of the ecosystem.
Key words: hardwood watershed; carbon and nitrogen cycles; precipitation; nutrient availability; tree productivity; litterfall nutrient fluxes; soil C availability; microbial biomass; microbial physiological status; NIR; net N mineralization.

INTRODUCTION

The flow of **energy** and **nutrients** through terrestrial ecosystems is dependent on the interactions between the autotrophic and heterotrophic components of the ecosystem (Aber and Melillo 199 1). In forest ecosystems, **trees** are the **main** autotrophic **source** of C input to the system, however their productivity is limited by mineral nutrient availability (Mahendrappa et al. 1986). The heterotrophic microbial community, on the **other** hand, mediates the turnover and release of mineral nutrients in the soil, but its activities are limited by the availability of labile C sources in the soil (Smith and Paul 1990). In this way, the plant and microbial components of the ecosystem are inextricably linked to one another, as tree inputs of reduced **carbon** to the soi1 fùels microbial **growth** and activity, which in *tum* drives the **turnover** of **soi1** nutrients **upon which** tree growth is dependent (Coleman et al. 1983, Pastor and fost 1986, Holmes and **Zak** 1994). **As such,** we should expect to see relationships between **tree** production, soil **C** availability, and microbial activity and nutrient cycling in forest ecosystems.

Numerous studies have examined the relationships between nutrient availability and tree productivity and nutrition, as well as the relationships between the soil microbial biomass and nutrient turnover in the soil. We know of **few** studies, however, that have integrated **tree** productivity **with** microbial biomass dynarnics and nutrient turnover. The few studies that have investigated these relationships have found significant linkages between plant production and microbial activity and biomass on regional scales (Myrold et al. 1989, **Zak** et al. 1994). Clearly, further investigations into the linkages between autotrophic C cycling and microbial nutrient cycling **are** required to improve **our** understanding of ecosystem functioning.

Furthermore, most nutrient cycling studies are concerned with the cycling of C and N in the ecosystern, as it is **often** assumed that **these** are **the** most limiting factors on production in **terrestrial** ecosystems. The response of organisms **in the** ecosystem, however, integrates the cycling of al1 the **nutrients** in the system.

 $-56-$

Consequently, this opens the opportunity for any single nutrient cycle to control the cycling of the other **nutrients,** and ultimately impact **tree** productivity. This was apparent in studies on maple decline, which showed that clirnatic stresses **triggered** deterioration in P and **K** nutrition in **trees,** which **was** subsequentiy followed by forest decline (Côté and Ouirnet 1996). **Further** research on how perturbations in one nutrient cycle are expressed in other nutrient cycles and components of the **ecosystem** may give us insight into the potential impacts of management and other disturbances in forest ecosystems.

The long-term watershed monitoring project established at the Station de Biologie des Laurentides, in southern Québec, was developed with the **airn** of improving **our** understanding of carbon and nutrient cycling in forests, and how **seasonal** and interannual variation in different components of the ecosystem impact **these** cycles. In **this** paper, we report the **fïndings** of a **thtee year** study, undertaken as part of this project, that was directed at investigating tree-soil**nutrient** linkages in the forest. The **primary** objectives of the study were to elucidate the relationships betw **een** 1 . litterfa11 nutrient **fluxes** and aboveground tree production, 2. aboveground **tree** production and soil C availability, 3. soil C availability and microbial physiological **status,** and 4. microbial physiological status **and** nitrogen availability and cycling.

METHODS

Study site

The Hermine watershed is located at the Station de Biologie des Laurentides, near St-Hippolyte, Québec, Canada (45°59' N, 74°01' W). The watershed is situated in the Great-Lakes-St. **Lawrence** forest region of Rowe (1972). The regional climate is classified as cool continental, with long, cold winters and short, cool summers with a growing season that **begins** in rnid-May and ends in mid-November. The area receives 1185 ± 111 mm (SD) of

 $-57-$

precipitation annuaily, with over **half** falling during **the** pwing season **in the** fom of rainfall. The **mean** air temperature in **December** and July are **-10°C** and 1 **g°C,** respectively.

The catchment **has** a **surface area** of **ca. 5 ha wîth a drainage** systern consisting of an intermittent first-order stream. The soils have developed over anorthositic glacial till and are classified as Orthic Ferro-Humic Podzols (Humic Cryorthods) with a **moder humus** form. Some **general** soil **properties** are given in Table 4.1, and a **detailed** description of a typical soil profile **can** be found in Courchesne and Hendershot (1988). The canopy vegetation in the watershed is dominated by **sugar** maple (Acer *saccharum* Marsh.), *with* lesser arnounts of **red** maple (A. *rubrum* L.), American beech (*Fagus grandifolia* Ehrh.), yellow birch *(Benda alleghaniensis* **Brin),** paper birch (B. *papyri/era* **Marsh.),** *and* large-tooth aspen *(Populus grandidendata* Michx.). Nine 300 m² plots, representing the range of species and elevations found in the watershed, have been established from which vegetation and soil data were collected. Some site characteristics of the plots are given in Table 4.2.

Precipitation

Bulk precipitation in the years of 1994 to 1996 was sampled with two collectors made of 20 cm diameter plastic funnels attached to 2 L polyethylene bottles that were kept in an insulated box. The collectors were installed on top of a 15 m meteorological tower located on the watershed divide, **ca.** 100 **m** away fiom the plots. A nylon screen was placed in the funnels to avoid solution contamination by particdate matter. Solutions were sampled every two **weeks during** the growing **season** and at monthly intervals during **the rest** of **the** year. Samples were filtered through a 0.4 **pm** polycarbonate membrane, with total Ca and Mg concentrations of the filtrate determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Varian) and total **K** and N detennined by ion chromatography (Waters). Concentrations of P were not **detezted** in precipitation samples, and therefore were not detennined.

Table 4.2. Some site and vegetation characteristics of the plots in the Hermine watershed measured in 1996.

t **Striped maple (Acerpenrtsylvaticum) comprised ~2% of the basal area in plot 3.**

Tree and litterfall samples

Basal area growth- in *the* fdl of 1993, al1 **trees** with a **stem** diameter greater **than** 9 cm at 1.3 m aboveground (diameter at breast **heigfit-** DBH) **within** each plot **were measured** for **DBH. Trees were remeasured** annually in the fa11 to determine their **annual** increase in DBH. **Annual** increases in **tree** diameter were converted to **annual** basal area **increments,** with total basal **area** increment **per** plot detennined by sumrning the annual basal area **incrernents** of al1 trees in **the** plot. The **basal** area increment of each plot **was** extrapolated to a **per** hectare basis.

Litterfall- During the snow-free seasons of 1994 to 1996, litter was collected monthly fiom five 0.25 **m'** litter **traps** randomly distributed within each plot. Litter samples fiom each plot **were bulked** across al1 sampling dates in **that** year, and dried in a forced-air oven at **6S°C** for 48 h. **Dried** litter **was** weighed and sorted by species into foliage, seed, and miscellaneous fractions, with a subsample of each fiaction ground in a cyclotec mil1 to **pass** through a 40-mesh **screen.** Ground litter samples were digested in a mixed H₂O₂/H₂SO₄ reagent (Allen **1989),** with digests analyzed for their total N and P concentrations using a Quickchem **AE** autoanalyzer, and their total Ca, **K,** and Mg concentrations by atomic absorption spectrophotomeûy. Total **annual** litterfdl mass and foliar litterfall nutrient fluxes for each plot were calculated and reported on a gram per square meter basis.

Soils

Collection- Soil collection occurred monthly from May to September of 1996. The FH **layer** of the forest **floor** and the top **15 cm** of the mineral **Bfh** horizon were taken **from** four sampling points within each plot. Soils **were** passed through a 4.5 cm sieve to remove large roots and coarse woody debris. Soils from each horizon were bulked, respectively, to form composite forest floor and mineral horizon samples for each plot. Samples were thereafter placed into polyethylene bags and brought **back** to the laboratory where **they were** processed **within** 48 h.

Extractable **P-** Extractable *P* in forest floor samples **was determinecl** for **the** months of May, July, and Septernber. On each of these dates, a portion fiom the bulk forest flmr sarnple of each plot **was air-âried** at rwm temperature. Extractable P **was** subsequently **determined** by extraction of the **dried** samples in Mehlich **III** solution (Mehlich **1984). Extracts** were **analyzed** colorimetrically for their P concentrations using a Quickchem **AE** automated analysis **system.** Extractable P **was** reported on a **microgram per gram** of dry **soi1 bis. Values** across months were averaged to determine **mean seasonal** extractable P for **each** plot.

Net N mineralization- Net *N* mineralization was detennined **based** on the sequential buried bag technique of Eno **(1960). On** each soil sarnpling date, duplicate subsamples **(ca.** 15 g and **20** g **fresh** weight forest **floor material** and mineral soil, respectively) were taken from each of the bulk horizon samples and sealed into their own respective polyethylene bags. One set of subsamples was returned immediately to the lab and kept frozen at -10° C until analyzed. The other set of subsamples **was** buried in a 15 cm deep hole at one of the sampling points in the plot and left to incubate *in situ* until the following sarnpling date. On the following sampling date, a new batch of *in situ* incubations were **started,** and buried subsamples fiorn the previous month **were** removed **hm** the **ground** and **retumed** to the lab where they **were** fiozen at **-10°C** until analyzed.

At the end of the growing season, all frozen subsamples were thawed overnight in a refngerator at **4°C. Afier** determining the moisture content of each subsample, aiiquots of forest **floor** material and **mineral** soil of **ca.** 10 **g** and **15** g fresh weight soil, respectively, were extracted in 100 mL of **1N** KCl solution. Extracts were filtered and fiozen at -lO°C until analyzed. Filtrates **were** thawed ovemight at **room** temperature and **then analyzed** colorimetncaily **for N&+-N** (nitroprusside-salicylate) and **NO3--N** (Cd reduction) concentrations using a

Quickchem **AE** automated analysis **system. Net N** mineralization for the monthly period was calculateù as the **clifference between** the pst- **and** pre-incubation minerai **N** concentrations of that monthly period, and **was reporteci** as micrograms of **mineral N per** gram of dry mil. Total mineralized N for the **1996 growing** season **was** calculated as the **sum** of al1 monthly net N mineralization values.

Microbial respiration **measurements-** The respirometry techniques used in this study to determine microbial respiration and calculate microbial physiological parameters were **based** on the methods described in Au et al. (1998, Chapter II). **After** detennining the moisture content of the buiked horizon samples of each plot, soils were brought up to 70 % (w/w) field capacity moisture content by the addition of deionized-distiiled water. Soils **were rnixed** thoroughly and incubated in their polyethylene bags at **room** temperature for 7 d. Following the incubation period, 30 g and 70 **g** wet weight forest **floor** material and minerai subsarnples, respectively, **were** removed **hm** the **buik** samples of each plot and **analyzed** for basal respiration, substrate-induced respiration (SIR), and substrate and nutrientinduced respiration **(SNIR).**

For the determination of basal respiration, subsamples were placed in 130 mL specimen jars, flushed with ambient air for five minutes using an aquarium pump, and sealed with Iids equipped with a **rubber** septum. **AAer** 1 h, a 4 cc air sarnple from the headspace of each jar was removed with a syringe and injected into a Hewlett Packard **589041 gas** chromatopph equipped with a Poropak Q **column** and thermal conductivity detector (Hewlett Packard, Avondale, PA) to determine the **CO2** concentration of the air sample. Soils were **dried** at **70°C** ta detemine the dry weight of each subsample. Basai respiration was calculated as the mean of the duplicate subsamples and reported as micrograms of $CO₂-C$ per gram of dry soil **per** hour. **Al1** respiration rates were **corrected** for **changes** in room temperature and pressure, assuming ideal gas laws and a $Q_{10} = 2$.

For the determination of **SIR,** each subsample was placed into a 500 **mL** specimen jar and **amended** with D-glucose **at** a rate of 667 **pg/g** and 143 **pg/g** wet weight forest floor material and mineral soil, respectively, applied in the form of a

250 mg glucose-talc **mixture.** The talc **mixtures** were **stirred** into the soil **usuig** an electric hand blender, after **which** samples were transferred into 130 **mL** specirnen jars and lefi uncovered at **room** temperature for 1.5 h. Samples **were** then **flushed with** ambient air for five minutes, and covered with lids equipped with **septa. Afier** 0.5 h, the COz concentration of the air **within** the headspace of the jars **was determïned as** described **above** for basal respiration. **SIR** values for each plot **were** calculated as the **mean** of the duplicate subsamples and reported as micrograms of *CO?-C* **per gram** of dry soi1 **per** hou.

From the above data, it **was** possible to estimate the microbial biomass-C content of the soil, the specific respiration rate $(qCO₂)$ of the microbial biomass, **and** soil carbon availability index **(CAI).** Microbial biomass-C content **was** calculated using the equation milligrams of microbial- $C = 40.04$ ·SIR + 0.37 developed by Anderson and Domsch (1978). $qCO₂$ is an ecophysiological index that **has** been **used** as an indicator of differences in rnicrobial **substrate-use** efficiency (Anderson and Domsch 1989, Insam and Haselwandter 1989). $qCO₂$ values were calculated as the ratio of basal respiration to microbial biomass-C and reported **as** micrograms of *CO2-C* **per** milligram of mimbial-C. Soi1 **CAI,** the ratio of basal respiration to SIR, was used as a relative microbial measure of the abundance of readily available C in the soil **(Parkinson** and Coleman 1991). **CA1** values that approach O reflect a microbial biomass whose activity levels are **highly** C limited, whereas CAI values that approach 1 reflect a microbial biomass whose activity levels are not C limited (Cheng et **al. 1996).**

The detennination of SNIR **was carried** out **using** the same method **as** described for the determination of SIR, **except** that soils **were amended** with a 250 mg talc mixture including both glucose and Difco nutrient broth. The mixture contained D-glucose at concentrations of 667 **pg/g** and **143** wet weight forest floor material and mineral soil, respectively, and **Difco** nutrient **broth** at concentrations of 833 μ g/g and 357 μ g/g wet weight forest floor material and mineral soil, respectively. SNIR values were calculated as **the** mean of the

- 64 -

duplicate subsampla **and reportcd as** micrograms of **C-C per** gram of dry soil per hour.

By **taking** the difference **between the SNIR** and SIR values of each plot, it was possible to determine the respiration response of the microbial biomass to added nutrients **(NIR)** for each plot. NiR **has been** shown in previous studies to **be** a sensitive and accurate indicator of rnicrobial physiological N **demand** in response to differences in soil C and N availability **(Au** and **Fyks in** *review,* Chapter III), and was shown to correlate negatively with net N mineralization and **foiiar** litterfail **N** flux in the field **(Au** et al. 1998, Chapter II). **The** concept of the **NR measure** assumes that the magnitude of the microbial respiration response to an added labile N **source** is **duectiy** proportional to the physiological N demand of the rnicrobial biomass. **Thus,** a rnicrobial biomass that is more N limited would have a relatively larger **NIR** response than a microbial biomass that is less **N** limited. NIR was calculated by subtracting the mean SIR value from the mean SNIR value for each plot, and **was reporteci** as micrograms of **CO2-C per** gram dry soil **per** hour. Mean seasonal values of al1 respiration-based parameters for each plot were thereafter calculated by averaging values across all months.

Sfatistical analyses

Statistical analyses for differences across years of sampling in tree growth and litterfall data **were** tested by repeated measures **ANOVA** using the **PROC** GLM procedures of SAS (SAS Institute, 1989). Adjusted Greenhouse-Geisser F values with corresponding probabilities **s** 0.05 were considered significant. Comparison of means, upon significance of the **ANOVA, was** perfomed ushg an **LSD** *(t)* test. Statistical analyses for differences **between** plots in soi1 and rnicrobial data were tested by one way **ANOVA ushg** the **PROC GLM procedures** of SAS. Statistical analyses of soil measurements were performed on each horizon separately. F values with corresponding probabilities ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. Comparison of means, upon significance of the **ANOVA,** was performed using Bonferroni's multiple comparison test. Correlation analysis **between tree** growth, litterfall, and **mean seasonal** soil variables, **and between** microbial and soil variables across the **1996 season, was perfonned using the Linear** Models **procedures** of the **Statistix** statisticd **software (Analyticai** Software, **1996). Pearson's** correlation coefficients with corresponding probabilities ≤ 0.10 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Precipitation chemism

Among the three measured years, 1994 was characterized by average precipitation levels with relatively high atmospheric inputs of K^+ and Mg^{2+} (Table **4.3).** 1995 **was** the driest of the three years, **with ca** 8% less total precipitation **than** the **three** year mean- Furthemore, dl nutrient inputs in **1995** fell to levels below their three year means, with marked decreases observed in K^+ and Me^{2+} inputs. Precipitation levels in 1996 were the greatest of the three **years,** with most atmospheric inputs of nutrients generally approaching or exceeding 1994 levels. **K** levels, however, increaseù only slightly and remained below **1994** levels.

Tree growth and litterfall nutrients

Mean basal area growth **was** significantly greater in 1996 **than** in 1994, but was statistically equivalent to growth in **1995** (Table 4.4). **Litterfall** mass, however, was not found to differ significantly across the years (Table 4.4). Patterns in foliar linerfall **nutrient flwes** varied across the years **and** between nutrients. Foliar litter Ca **flux was** significantly lower in **19% than** in **both** 1994 and 1995, whereas foliar litter P flux was significantly greater in 1996 than in **1994** (Table 4.4). Foliar litter N **flux** may have differed significantiy across the years ($P = 0.07$), with the greatest levels measured in 1996 and the lowest levels in 1994. Neither foliar litter **K** or Mg fluxes $(P = 0.12$ and 0.10, respectively) were

		H ₂ O	Inputs $(mg·m-2·y-1)$					
Year	Source	$(10^6 L)$ /ha)	Ca	K	Mg	N	P	
1994	Precip.	12.3	501	340	95	2850	ND	
	$(+1993$ litterfall)		3470	851	467	4690	95	
1995	Precip.	11.3	469	59	61	2610	ND	
	$(+1994$ litterfall)		3540	850	499	4535	78	
1996	Precip.	13.4	721	86	78	2830	ND	
	$(+1995$ litterfall)		4215	866	550	4982	89	
Mean	Precip.	12.3	564	162	78	2763	ND	
	$(+$ litter- fall)		3898	855	505	4736	87	

Table 4.3. Annual budget of abovegound nutrient inputs to the Hermine watershed for the years of 1994 - **1996.**

Year	Basal area growth $(\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{ha}^{\text{-}1} \cdot \text{v}^{\text{-}1})$	Litterfall mass $(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1})$	Foliar litterfall nutrient flux $(mg·m-2·y-1)$					
			Ca	K	Mg	N	P	
1994	2630 ± 180	287 ± 12	3541 ± 64	791 ± 66	438 ± 31	1925 ± 116	78 ± 8	
1995	5170 ± 230	285 ± 12	3494 ± 117	780 ± 92	472 ± 34	2153 ± 118	89 ± 8	
1996	5070 ± 240	267 ± 12	3317 ± 115	725 ± 40	430 ± 24	2163 ± 174	94 ± 13	

Table 4.4. Annual tree productivity and total foliar litterfall nutrient fluxes (means \pm 1 SE) in the Hermine watershed from 1994 - 1996.

found to differ significantly across the years, however both fluxes tended to be lower in **1996 than** in the two previous **years.**

Soi1 and microbial biomass dynamics

With the exception of CAI, net mineralized N and microbial biomass parameters in the forest **floor were** generally 0.5 to 1 **order** of magnitude greater **than** those in the mineral horizon. In the forest floor, none of the variables were found to differ significantly across the plots. Total **rnineralized** N appeared to be greater in plots 3 to 6, whereas **NIR** tended to be lower in plots 3 to 6 (Fig. **4.1).** In the **minerai** horizon, signifiant difierences between plots were observed in microbial biomass, **NIR,** and soi1 moisture (Fig. **4.2).** Microbial biomass **was** significantly greater in plot 2 **than** in plots 4 and 9. NIR **was** significantly greater in plot 1 **than** in **plots 4, 6,** and **9.** Soi1 moistwe **was** significantly greater in plot 1 **than** in plots **5 and** 9.

Correlation analysis

Basal **area growth-** Basal area growth in **1994 was** not found to correlate significantly with any foliar litterfall nutrient flux ($P \ge 0.44$). In 1995, however, **strong** positive correlations between basal area growth **and** foliar litter P (P = 0.01) and K fluxes $(P = 0.001)$ were observed (Fig. 4.3). Similar relationships between basal area growth and foliar **litter K,** Mg, and P **fluxes** in 1996 were also observed (Fig. **4.4),** although the **strength** of the correlations **was** slightly lower (P \leq 0.05) than in 1995. Neither foliar litter Ca flux (P \geq 0.12) nor N flux (P \geq 0.23) **were** found to correlate with basal area growth in **any** of the **years.** To detemine if the above correlations were influenced by a species gradient across the plots, basal area **growth was also** plotted against sugar maple litterfall nutrient **fluxes,** as sugar maple **occurs** ubiquitously throughout the **watershed. Basal area pwth was** found to significantly correlate with sugar maple foliar litter Mg flux ($P = 0.02$), and nearly correlated with foliar litter K ($P = 0.12$) and **P** fluxes ($P = 0.11$) in

Fig. 4.1. Forest floor basal respiration (A), microbial biomass C (B), NIR **(C), gCOz (D), CA1 (E), total mineralized N** (F), **soi1 moisture content** *(G),* **and Mehlich extractable P (H) in 1996 for the nine plots of the Hermine watershed. Values** = **means** *2* **1 SE.**

Mineral horizon basal respiration (A), microbial biomass C (B), NR (C), qCOz (D), CA1 (E), total mineralized N (F), and soi1 moisture content *(G)* **in 1996 for the nine plots of the Hermine watershed. Values** = **means** *q*CO₂ (
(G) in 1
 \pm 1 SE.

Fig. 4.3. Relationship between mean foliar litterfall P and K flux **and basal area growth in 1995 in the 9 plots of the Hennine watershed.**

Fig. 4.4. Relationship between mean foliar litterfall P, Mg, and K flux **and basal** area growth in 1996 in the 9 plots of the Hermine watershed.

 \dagger **Carbon availability index (with horizon in subscript).**

 \ddagger Basal area growth.

*,**,*** Significant correlation at $P \le 0.1$, $P \le 0.05$, and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

 $\ddot{}$

sugar maple (Table 4.5). With **respect** to soi1 variables, **mean** seasonal extractable P was found to negatively correlate with basal area growth in 1996 $(P = 0.02)$. however no other soil variable **was** found to correlate significantly with basal area growth.

Mean **seasonal soif CM- Mean** seasonal **CM** values in **each** horizon were significantly correlated with one another $(P = 0.03)$ (Table 4.6). CAI in the **minerd** horizon **was** found to be strongly correlated with basal area **pwth** in **1996 (P** = **0.008),** however similar relationships between forest **floor** *CAi* and basal area growth were not observed $(P = 0.14)$. CAI in both horizons were found to correlate only with the total foliar **litter flux** of **K, although** total foliar litter P flux was found to nearly correlate with CAI in the mineral horizon $(P = 0.11)$. Signifiant correlations **were found,** however, **when CA1 was** plotted against the foliar litterfaII nutrient **fluxes** of sugar maple (Table 4.5). **CA1** in the forest floor was found to correlate with sugar maple foliar litter **K** ($P = 0.02$) and Mg ($P =$ 0.09) **fluxes,** while *CAi* in the minerai horizon **was fond** to correlate with **sugar** maple foliar litter **K** ($P = 0.01$), Mg ($P = 0.10$), and P fluxes ($P = 0.10$).

Mean **seasonal** *niîrogen measures-* **Mean** seasonal NIR in the forest floor was found to have a strong negative correlation $(P = 0.005)$ with total foliar litter N flux in 1995 (Table 4.7). Correlations between **mean** seasonal NIR in the forest floor and total foliar litter N **flux** in 1996 and total mineralized N in the mineral soil were less strong but still significant ($P \le 0.1$). A nearly significant negative correlation $(P = 0.12)$ between mean seasonal forest floor NIR and total mineralized N in the forest floor was also observed. Mean seasonal NIR values in the mineral horizon were significantly correlated with values in the forest floor (P) = 0.02). Although **mean** seasonal **NiR** in the **mineral** soi1 showed negative relationships with foliar litter **N flux** in **both years and** total mineralized **N** in **both** horizons, a significant correlation was observed only with 1995 foliar litter N flux $(P = 0.06)$. Total mineralized N in the forest floor was correlated with total mineralized N in the mineral soil ($P = 0.002$), however neither were significantly correlated with foliar litter N **flux** in **either** 1 995 or 1996.

			Total foliar litterfall nutrient flux					
	CAI _{BB}	BAG1	Ca		Mg		N	
CAI _{FH}	$**0.71$	0.53	-0.01	**0.66	0.40	0.48	0.06	
CAI _{Bfh}		$***0.81$	-0.14	$*0.61$	0.45	0.57	0.05	

Table 4.6. Correlation coefficients between basal ara growth, total foliar litterfall nutrient fluxes, and mean seasonal CA1 values in 1996.

t Carbon availability index (with horizon in subscript).

 \ddagger Basal area growth.

* ? * * **Y** ** * **Significant correlation at P s 0.1, P s 0.05, and P 5 0.0 1, respectively.**

	NIR_{Bfh}	FLN flux 1995†	FLN flux 1996	$Mineral-NFH$	Mineral- N_{Bfb}
NIRFH	$**0.74$	$***-0.83$	$* -0.63$	$* -0.58$	-0.56
NIR_{Bfh}		$* -0.64$	-0.41	-0.34	-0.49
FLN flux 1995			0.40	0.40	0.43
FLN flux 1996				0.28	0.18
Mineral-N _{FH}					***0.88

Table 4.7. Correlation coefficients between mean seasonal NIR **values, foliar** litterfall N fluxes, and total mineralized N in 1996.

t **FLN** = **Foliaf litterfa11 N.**

fictal mineralized N (with horizon in subscript).

 f^* ,**,*** Significant correlation at $P \le 0.1$, $P \le 0.05$, and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

Seasonal &ta **in 1996-** Analysis of forest **floor** microbial **data** across **the** 1996 season showed that basal respiration, **NIR,** and **CA1** were al1 positively correlated with one another $(P \le 0.01)$ (Table 4.8). Furthermore, basal respiration, microbial biomass, and soil moisture were also positively correlated with one another $(P \le 0.01)$. Microbial biomass, however, was not found to correlate significantly with NIR, CAI, or net mineralized N. Net mineralized N and CAI were negatively correlated with each other $(P = 0.08)$, however neither were significantly correlated with soil moisture $(P > 0.33)$. NIR was strongly correlated with CAI $(P = 0.005)$ and negatively with net mineralized N $(P = 0.003)$, and with soil moisture to a lesser degree ($P = 0.08$).

In the **mineral** horizon, basal respiration and microbial biomass **were** both strongly correlated with NIR ($P \le 0.002$), however the correlation between one another was less strong as it was in the forest floor $(P = 0.05)$ (Table 9). Of the three, however, only NIR was found to correlate with soil moisture content $(P =$ **0.07).** *CAI* **was** found to be positively comelated with basal respiration and negatively correlated with microbial biomass ($P = 0.001$), but was not found to correlate significantly with NIR $(P = 0.95)$. Net mineralized N was not found to correlate significantly with **any** variable except soil moisture.

DISCUSSION

Atmospheric inputs **through** precipitation **can be** an important **source** of mineral nutrients to the forest ecosystem (Likens and Bormann 1977, Bormann and Likens 1979). Grennfelt et al. (1 **985)** reported that up to **i** 9% of **K** and 10% of Mg inputs to a forested watershed in south-western Sweden originated fiom precipitation sources. Similar values for the Hubbard **Brook Experimental** Forest were reported, with 1 1 % of the **K** inputs and 1 **5%** of the Mg inputs to **this area** originating **fiom** precipitation **(Likens** et al. 1977). Although these inputs of **K** (and to a lesser extent Mg) are relatively srnall **cornparecl** to the nutrient cycling

Table 4.8. Correlation coefficients between microbial and soil variables across the 1996 season.

4, * 5 Significant correlation at $P \le 0.1$, $P \le 0.05$, and $P \le 0.01$, respectively.

that occurs through litterfaIl and retranslocation **within** plant tissues (Miller 1986), they nevertheless represent fresh input of labile K and Mg into the system. As such, abrupt changes in the magnitude of these inputs would likely have **an** impact on the abundance of plant-available **K** and Mg in the system.

If we assume that the total annual aboveground input of a **minera1** nutrient to the soi1 is **equal** to the **surn** of precipitation inputs of **that year** and litter inputs fiom the previous year (throughfall **was** not calculated and will not be included), K and Mg inputs from precipitation in 1994 contributed 40% and 20% of the total **annual** aboveground **K** and Mg inputs, respectively, in 1994 (1993 litterfall **data** not shown). K and Mg inputs **fiom** precipitation, however, contributed only 7% **and** 12%, respectively, of the total aboveground **K** and Mg inputs in 1995, and ¹1 % and **15%,** respectively, of the total aboveground **K** and Mg inputs in 1996. Thus, not only did total inputs of K and Mg in 1994 exceed the total inputs in 1995 and 1996, but **aiso** a greater proportion of the **K** and Mg inputs in 1994 originated from precipitation sources, which **unlike** litterfall **sources,** do not have to undergo decomposition and/or leaching prior to becoming plant-available (Kaupenjohann and Zech 1992). These results suggest that **the** availability of labile **K** and Mg for **tree** uptake should have **been** greater in 1994 **than** in **both** 1995 and **1996.**

Correlation analysis **between** basal **area** growth and foliar litterfall K and Mg flux support this observation, as no significant correlations were found in 1994, **whereas** basal **area** growth **was** svongly correlated **with K** flux in 1995, and with both **K** and Mg flux in 1996. This **suggests** that growth in 1995 **was** limited by the availability of **K,** and that growth in 1996 **was** limited by the availability of both K and Mg, whereas growth in 1994 was **limited by** other factors. Andysis of nutrient status in mature foliage would provide **fbrther** insight into the relationships between **tree** nutritional status and growth, however this data **was** not available at the time of preparation of this manuscript.

The importance of P availability in controlling forest productivity is increasingly becoming recognized (Chapin et al. 1978, Pastor **et** al. 1984). The

positive correlations **between** foliar litterfall P **flux** and **basal** area growth in 1995 and 1996 **suggests** that P availability **was** also **lunithg** on **tree** growth in **these** two **years.** Unlike **K** and Mg, however, P inputs to the watershed **hm** precipitation **were** negligible, and as **annual** foliar litterfall P **flux** in 1996 was actually greater **than** in both of the previous **years, the nature** of the limitation may lie **within** differences in uptake fiorn **the** soi1 available-P **pools.** The negative correlation between basal area growth and **mean** seasonal soil extractable-P **suggests** that plots where **trees** had greater P uptake at **the expense** of **the** soil available-P pools had greater growth in 1996.

Foliar litterfall **K,** Mg, and P **fluxes** and basal **area** growth were similarly correlated with **CA1** in the mineral horizon and nearly correlated with *CAi* in the forest floor. The nature of correlation analysis does not allow for causality to be established in **the** relationship between variables, but **the results** lead **us** to **hypothesize** on **some** of the **processa** that may **be** occurring independently or **concurrentl** y.

(1) *Trees with greater nuiritional status. as reflected by greater litterfol1* **nuirient flues.** *had* **iess of** *a nutrient limitation on their productivity. and consequentiy had greater above- and belowground C allocation, as measured* **by** *basal area growth and soil CAL respectiveiy.* **Increases** in **tree** production in response to greater nutrient availability **have** been well docurnented, however **there** is as yet no **clear** consensus on the nature of the relationship **between** aboveand belowground C allocation in forest ecosysterns. Some studies have suggested that belowground C allocation is proportional to aboveground production (Nadelhoffer et al. 1985, **Zak** et al. 1994), whereas others have suggested that belowground production on infertile sites **cornes** at the **expense** of aboveground production (Keyes and **Gner** 198 1). Given **the** interpretation that **CA1** values are directly proportional to the arnount of readily available C in the soil, **the** relationship between **basal** area growth and **CA1** suggests that above- and belowground C allocation **can** be **proportional** to one **another.** Basal area **groWh,** however, is the last aboveground sink into which trees allocate C over the course of the growing season (Kozlowski et al. 1991). As such, trees that have already met the C **demands** of mot and shoot **growth** may **be** able to allocate "surplus" C to secondary sinks **such** as basal **area pwth** and mot exudates proportionally. We recognize that *CAI* is not an actual **meamre** of the labile C **pool** in **the** soil, but that it provides a relative microbial physiological indicator of the abundance of readily-available C in **the** soil. **There** currently is no standard **methoà** to accurately measure soil labile C pools, however, **and** the **use** of the ratio of basal respiration to SIR as a measure of **C** limitation **appears** to **be** theoretically **sound,** as the **only** difference **between** the two respiration responses is the addition of available **carbon (Cheng** et al. **1996).**

(2) *Trees with greater below ground C allocation, as measured by soil* CAI, intensified microbial activity and nutrient turnover, resulting in greater *nutrient availability to the* **wees** *and greater* **tree** *production.* Microbiallyrnediated transformations of nutrients in the soil are important **processes** in the cycling of most nutrients, particularly in the cycling of soi1 **N** and P (Chapin et al. 1978, Jenkinson and **Ladd** 1981, Paul and **Clark 1989,** Wardle **1992). It** is suggested that microbial turnover of nutrients is not Iimited by the **supply** of nutrient sources in the soil, but by lack of available C or **energy** sources to mineralize **these** nutrients (Smith and Paul **1 990).** Consequentl **y,** plants that allocate greater amounts of C to the soil may stimulate rnicrobial **activity** and increase turnover of soil nutrients (Aber and Melillo **1** 99 **1**). **Berendse et al.** (**1 99 1**) proposed that the stimulation of microbial activity and mineralization of indigenous soil N on a site once dominated by the ericaceous shrub *Erica tretralix* L. was *as* a result of the greater root labile C inputs fiom the **invading perennial gras** *Malinia* **caerulea** (L.). Bradley and Fyles **(1995)** found that soils developed under birch seedlings had accelerated nutrient cycling compared to soils developed under other species, and attributed this to the **superior** rhizodeposition of birch **mots** which stimulated microbial nutrient acquisition. Of the **three** litterfall **nutnents** that **were** correlated with basal **area growth,** the availability of **P** is the most strongly influenced by microbial turnover **(Yanai 1991)**. As such, trees

that allocated **more** C belowground may **have** stimuiated greater microbial ùnmobilization and subsequent **turnover** of P, **and** as a result **their** growth **may** have benefited from greater P uptake.

Closer inspection of the **above** correlations reveais that the upper siope plots tend to consistently **occu** near **the** top of the regressions **between** basal **area** growth and foliar litterfidl **flwes** of P, **K, and** Mg. Despite the lack of **clear differences** in microbial and soil characteristics **between** plots, a potential influence on these correlations may arise from the transition from shade tolerant climax species in the lower slope plots, to **progresively** more shade ïntolerant, pioneer species in the upper slope plots. **Early** successionai **species** such as birch and aspen are known for their rapid growth and high nutrient uptake (Bormann and Likens 1979, Pastor and Bockheim 1984). As **such,** it is possible that the greater annual production and foliar litterfall nutrient **fluxes** observed in the upper dope plots are as a **result** of the greater occurrence of **birch** and aspen **in these** plots. **Both** basal **area** growth **and** *CAi,* however, showed **similas** relationships when currelated with sugar maple foliar litterfidl nutrient **fluxes** alone. This suggests that **sugar** maples **occurxing** in the upper slope **plots** also **had** greater basal area growth **and** nutritionai statu. **Thus,** it **seems** unlikely that the **observed** relationships are due only to a species gradient across the plots. Alternatively, the presence of birch **and** aspen in **the upper** slope plots **may be** stimulating greater **nutrient** tuniover in the plot as a whole **by virtue** of **pater** belowground C allocation. In this way, the presence of birch **and aspen could** have a stimulatory effect on the nutrient uptake and growth of the other species occurring in the plot.

As microbial activity is driven by plant inputs of C (Coleman et al. 1983, Holmes and **Zak** 1994) **we** should expect to **see** relationships **between** microbial activity and **soi1 C** availability. As **such,** it is **not** surprising that significant positive correlations **between** soil **CAI,** basal respiration, **qC@,** and **NIR were** found in **the** forest floor. C availability in the forest **floor was** not only a major control on the activity levels of tne microbial biomass, but **also** on the substrateuse efficiency and physiological N demand of the microbial biomass. Soils with

greater available C not only **mpported** a relatively N iimited microbial biomass, but would **also supporteci** a microbial **community** that was less efficient at substrate use **(Insam** and Haselwandter **1 989,** Bradley and Fyles **1** 995).

Whereas microbial activity was strongly correlated with soil CAI, microbial biomass content in **the** soil showed insignificant relationships with forest floor **CM,** and even negative relationships with **mineral** horizon **CAL** The latter seemed to be strongly **influenceà by decreases** in microbial biomass and concurrent **inmeases** in **CA1** in **September.** Nevertheles, **these** results suggest that biomass levels **are detennined** by other façtors **than** labile-C availability. McGill et al. (1 986) found that rnicrobial biomass levels **were** ptedominateiy controtled by long term C availability and environmental fluctuations. **Our results** support this observation, as microbial biomass levels showed stronger positive relationships **with** soil moisture **than** with soil *CAL* The negative effect of soil drying on the active component of the soil microbial biomass has **ken reported** extensively (Bottner 1985, West et al. 1987, Wardle and Parkinson 1990), and has been attributed to **death** of the active component of the biomass due to desiccation (Bottner 1985) and/or the shift of part of the active component of the biomass to a **dormant** state (Wardle and Parkinson 1990). Soi1 moisture in **both** horizons, however, was poorly related to CAI and $qCO₂$, suggesting that fluctuations in moisture content had little impact on rnicrobial carbon limitation and substrateuse efficiency.

Only **weak** relationships were observed between net mineralized N **and** microbial respiration, biomass, **qC02,** and **CM.** This suggests that **measures** of C availability **and** microbial biomass parameters related to **carbon** are inadequate at predicting N dynamics in the soil. The strong negative correlation **between** forest floor **NIR** and net **mineralized** N, however, **suggests** that C availability in the forest floor affected nitrogen mineralization by controlling the physiological N **demand** of the microbial biomass, which in tum **detennined** the amount of N that **was** mineralized. In this way, soi1 C availability, as **determined** by plant C allocation, influenced forest floor **N** availability by controlling the physiological N

demand of **the** microbial biomass. Foliar littcrfall N **flux was** not found to correlate with total mineralized N in **either** horizon, however **was** found to **be** negatively correlated with **NIR** in both horizons. **Thus,** the physiological **N** demand of **the** microbial biomass **appears** to **be** also linked to the magnitude of historical litter N inputs to the soil. Similar linkages were not apparent in the **mineral** horizon, **and** this is consistent with the **resuits reportd** in Au et al. (**I** 998). in the mineral horizon, N rnineralization seemed to **be** predominately controlled by environmental controls (soil moisture), **whereas** rnicrobial physiological **N** demand **seemed** to **be more directly** reiated to mimbial activity and size **than** to levels of available C or N.

in summary, our **results** suggest tht linkages **between** atmospheric, tree, and soil level processes controlled the C and N **cyciing** in the Hermine watershed in 1 996. Relative decreases in atmospheric **K** and Mg inputs in 1995 and 1996, in contrast to 1994, may have resulted in **K** and Mg **nutrient** Iïmitations on productivity in those years, as evidenced by strong correlations between basal area growth and foliar litterfall **K** and Mg **fluxes.** The availability of P **aiso appeared** to be limiting productivity, as significant correlations between foliar litterfall P fluxes and basal area growth were also observed. This was further supported by the negative correlation between basal area growth and extractable **P** in the soil, suggesting **that** trees with greater P uptake at the expense of **the** soil available **P** pools had increased growth.

Plots with greater basal **area** growth and foliar litterfall nutrient **fluxes** were also found to have greater soil C availability, leading us to put forth two hypotheses: 1. trees with greater numtional status were able to **fix** more **carbon** and as a result allocate more C belowground, and/or 2. trees with greater below ground C allocation stimulated microbial nutrient turnover, allowing for greater nutrient uptake, nutritional **status,** and growth in the **trees.** Soi1 C availability **was** thereafter found to correlate with the activity and physiological N demand of the soi1 microbial biomass, with the latter found to **be** linked with the amount of mineralized in the soil. in this way, **tree** production and C allocation impaaed soi1 **N dynamics by** influencing **the** physiologid **status** of the **microbial** biornass. **NIR was also correlated with foliar litterfall N flux, suggesting that NIR and nitrogen** mineralization are also related to litter N inputs.

Ow work suggests that **ecosystem** level variations in a particular **energy** or **nutrient** cycle **can be** expresseci in the other nutrient and **energy cycles by virtue** of the multitude of linkages **within** the **ecosystem. Further** long-terni studies that monitor **seasonai** and **annual** variations in the forest will be usefil in **detexmining** the impacts of acute and **chronic disturbances** on forest ecosystems. Moreover, the interdependence **between** the plant and **microbial components in detennining the flows of C and nutrients in the ecosystem were ernphasized in this study. Additional** work **to** investigate **the** relationships **between** plant production and microbial **activity** and nutrient turnover **wiU hetp** us to understand how **these** components will respond to disturbances at different levels of **the ecosystexn.**

REFERENCES

- Aber, J. D. **and** J. M. Melillo. 1991. **Terrestrial** ecosystems. **Saunders** College Publishing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Allen, S. E. **1989.** Andysis of vegetation and other forest floor **constituents.** Pages **160-2020 in S. E.** Allen editor. **Chernicd** anaiysis of ecoiogical materials. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, UK.
- **Amlyticd** Software. **1996. Statistix** for Windows user's manual. Version **1 .O. Analfical** Software, Tallahassee, **norida,** USA.
- **Anderson, J. P. E. and K. H.** Domsch. **1978.** A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. Soil Biology $\&$ Bioçhernistry 10: 2 **15-22** 1.
- Anderson, T. H. and K. H. Domsch. 1989. Ratios of microbial biomass carbon to total **organic** carbon in arable soils. Soil Biology & Biochernistry 21: 47 **1- 479.**
- **Au,** W., J. W. Fyles, and B. Côté. *In* **Press.** Microbial biomass respiration **response** to **added** carbon and nitrogen as an indicator of nitrogen availability in forest soils. Canadian Journal of Soil Science.
- **Au, W.** and **J.** W. Fyles In *Review.* **Response** of **the** microbial biomass and nitrogen **induced** respiration in to differences in C and N availability in a forest soil. Submitted to Soil Biology & Biochemistry.
- **Berendse, F., R.** Bobbinck, and G. Rouwenhorst- 1989. **A** comparative **study** on nutrient cyding in wet **heathiand** ecosystems. Oecologia 78: 338-348.
- Bormann, F. **H.** and **G. E. Likens. 1979.** Patterns **and** process in a forested ecosystern. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- Bottner, P. 1985. Response of microbial biomass to alternate moist and dry conditions with 14C- and 15N-labelled plant material. Soil Biology & Biochemistry l7:329-337.
- Bradley, R. L. and **J.** W. Fyles. **1995.** Growîh of **paper birch** *(Beirla papynfera)* seedlings **increases** soil available-C and microbial acquisition of soi1 nutrients. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 1565-1571.
- Chapin, F. S., **R.** J. Barsdate, and D. Barèl. 1978. Phosphorus cycling in **Alaskan coastal tundra:** a hypotheçis for the regulation of nutrient cycling. Oikos 31: 189-199.
- Cheng, W., O. Zhang, D. C. Coleman, C. R. Carroll, and C. A. Hoffman. 1996. Is available carbon limiting microbial respiration in the rhizosphere? Soil Biology & Biochemistry 28: 1283-1288.
- Coleman, D. C., C. P. P. Reid, and C. V. Cole. 1983. Biological strategies of nutrient cycling in soi1 systems. **Advances** in Ecological Research 13: **1-** 55.
- Côté, B. and R. Ouimet. 1996. Decline of the maple-dominated forest in southern **Quebec:** impact of **naturaJ** stresses and forest management. Environmental Reviews 4: 133-148.
- Courchesne, F. and W. H. Hendershot. 1988. Cycle annuel des éléments nutritifs dans un bassin-versant forestier: contribution de la litière fraîche. Canadian Journal of Forest **Research** 24: 832-838.
- **Eno,** C. F. 1960. Nitrate production in the field by incubating the soil in pl yethylene bags. Soil Science Society of **Amerka** Proceedings 24: **277-** 279.
- Grennfelt, P., S. Larsson, P. Leyton, and B. Olsson. 1985. Atmospheric depostion in the Lake Gardsjon **area,** SW **Sweden. Pages** 101 - IO8 **in** F. Andersson and B. **Olsson,** editors. An acid forest lake and **its** catchment. Ecological Bulletins 37.
- Holmes, W. E. and **D.** R. **Zak.** 1994. Soi1 microbial **dynarnics** and net **nitrogen** mineralization in **northern hardwood** ecosystems. Soil Science **Society** of **America Journal 58: 238-243.**
- Insam, H. and K. Haselwandter. 1989. Metabolic quotient of the soil **rnicroflora in** relation to plant succession. **Oecologia** 79: 1 7 **1** - **1 78.**
- **Jenkinson, D. S. and J. N.** Lad 198 1 . Microbiai **biomass** in **soils:** measurement and turnover. Pages 451-471 in E. A. Paul and J. N. Ladd, editors. Soil Biochemistry **5.** Marcel **Dekker,** New York, **New York,** USA.
- Kaupenjohann, M. **and** W. **Zech.** 1992. Potassium **requirements** of fast-growing tropical **tree** plantations. Pages **325-343** in Potassium in ecosystems: biogeochemical **flwtes** of **cations** in agm- and forest- systems. Proceedings of the 23rd Colloquium of the International Potash Institute. International Potash Institute, CH-4001 Basel, Switzerland.
- Keyes, M. R, and **C. C. Grier. L 98 1. Above-** and below-ground net production in 40-year-old **Douglas-tir** stands on low and **high** productivity sites **Canadian** Journal of Forest **Research** 11: **599-605.**
- **Kozlowski, T. T.,** P. J. **Krarner,** and S. G. **Pallardy.** 1991. The physiological ecology of woody plants. **Academic** Press **Inc., San** Diego, California, USA.
- Likens, G. E., **F. H.** Bormann, N. M. Johnson, and R. S. Pierce. 1967. **The** calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium **budges** for a **srnail** forested ecosystem. Ecology 48: **772-785.**
- Likens, G. E., F. H. **Bormann,** R. S. Pierce, **J.** S. Eaton, and N. M. Johnson. 1977. Biogeochemistry of a forested ecosystem. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- McGill, W. B., K. R. Cannon, J. **A.** Robertson, and F. D. **Cook.** 1986. Dynamics of **soi1 microbial biomass** and water-soluble **organic** C in **Breton** L **after** 50 years of cropping to **two** rotations. **Canadian** Journal of Soil Science 66: **1-** 19.
- Mehtich, **A.** 1984. Mehlich III soi1 test **extractant:** a modification of Mehlich II **extractant.** Communications in Soi1 **Science** and Plant Analysis 1 5: 1 **409-** 1416.
- Miller, H. G. 1 986. **Carbon x** nutrient interactions-the **limitations to productivity.** Pages 373-385 in R. J. Luxmoore, J. L. Landsberg, and M. R. Kaufman, Editors. Coupling of carbon, water **and** nutrient interactions **in woody** plant suil **systems. Tree** Physiology 2.
- Myrold, D. D., P. A. Matson, and D. L. Peterson. 1989. Relationships between soil microbial properties and above-ground stand characteristics of conifer forests in Oregon. Biogeochemistry 8: 265-28 1 .
- Nadelhoffer, K. **J.,** J. D. Aber, and J. M. Melillo. 1985. Fine **mots,** net **primary** productivity, and soil nitrogen availability: a new hypothesis. Ecology 66: 1377- 1390.
- **Parkinson, D.** and D. C. Coleman. 1991. **Microbial connunities activity** and biomass. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 34: 3-33.
- Pastor, J., J. D. Aber, C. A. McClaugherty, and J. M. Melillo. 1984. Aboveground production and N **and P** cycling dong a **nitmgen** mineralization gradient in Blackhawk Island, Wisconsin. Ecology **65:** 256-268.
- Pastor, **J.** and J. G. **Bockheim.** 1984. Distribution and cycling of nutrients in an **aspai-rnixed-hardwood-spodsol ecosystem** in Northem **Wisconsin.** Ecology 65: 339-353.
- Pastor, J. and W. M. Post. 1986. Influence of climate, soil moisture, and succession on forest **carbon** and **nitrogen** cycles. Biogcochemisûy 2: 3-27.
- Paul, E. A. and F. E. Clark. 1989. Soi1 microbiology and biochemistry. Academic Press **Inc.,** San Diego, California, USA.
- Paul, E. A. and N. G. Juma. 1981. Mineralization and immobilization of soil nitrogen by microorganisms. Pages 179-194 **in** F. E. Clark and T. Rosswall, editors. Terrestrial nitrogen cycles: processes, ecosystem strategies and management impacts. Ecological Bulletins-NFR 33.
- Rowe, J. S. 1972. Forest regions of **Canada. Canadian Forestry** Services Publications. No. 1300. Ministry of **Fisheries** and the Environment, **Ottawa,** Ontario, Canada.
- SAS Institute. 1989. **SAS/STAT user's** guide. Version 6. **Fourth** edition. **SAS** Institute, Carey, North Carolina **USA.**
- Smith, J. L. and **E. A. Paul.** 1990. **The** significance of **soi1** microbial biomass estimations. Pages 357-396 in J. M. Bollag and G. Stotsky, editors. Soil Biochernistry 6. Marcel Dekker, New York, New York, **USA.**
- Wardle, D. A. 1992. **A** comparative assesment of factors **which** influence rnicrobial biornass carbon and nitrogen levels in soil. Biological Reviews 67: 32 1-358.
- **Wardle D. A-** and D. Parkinson. 1990. Interactions **between** miçrobial variables and the soil microbial biomass. Biology and Fertility of Soils 9: 272-280.
- West, **A.** W., G. P. Sparling, and G. P. Grant. 1987. Relationships **between** mycelial and bacteriai populations in stored, **air-dried** and glucoseamended arable and grassland soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 19: 599- **605.**
- Yanai, R. D. 1991. Soil solution phosphorus dynamics in a whole-tree-harvested **northern** hardwood forest. Soil Science Society of Arnerica Journal 55: **1746- 1752.**
- **Zak.** D. R., D. Tilman, R. R. Parmenter, C. W. *Rice,* F. M. Fisher, J. Vose, D. Milchunas, and C. W. Martin. 1994. Plant production and soil microorganisms in late-successional ecosystems: a continental-scale study. **Ecology 75:** 2333-2347.

CHAPTER V

GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The primary objective of this study was to examine the mechanisms of microbial control on soil N availability. As the microbial biomass impacts the soil mineral N pools through the processes of mineraiization and immobilization, it **was hypothesized** that the physiological demand for N of the biomass should be a major determinant of whether **N was** being mineralized into or immobilized out of the soil mineral N pools. The development of the respiration-based parameter NIR, which quantified the physiological N demand of the microbial biomass, provided us with the opportunity to test this hypothesis.

The results **from** this study suggest that N mineraiization is strongly governeci by the physiological **dernands** of the soil microbial biomass. The availability of labile C in the soil appears to be a main determinant of the microbiai physiological N demand. Historical N availability and inputs also appears to affect the magnitude of N limitation experienced by the microbial biomass. **Thus,** microbial physiological status is the integrated response to **both** C and N availability in the soil. Results **fiom** this study emphasize the **need** for studies that integrate the flows of C and N in **both** the autotrophic and heterotrophic components of the forests ecosystem. Finally, the consistent relationships that were found between NIR and **N** mineralization in the forest floor suggest that NIR may be a potentially usefùl predictive index of forest floor N mineralization.

Although the appIication of **NIR** in studies of nitrogen cycling appears promising, at times the relationship between microbial physiological status and soil N availability are unclear. While the negative relationship between NIR and N mineralization in the forest floor **was** generally found to be consistent, it **was** often not observed in the mineral horizon. Furthemore, NIR and N mineralization **were** not found to be significantly related when soil N dynamics were highly variable. Although **NIR** is clearly related at times to N availability in the soil and could potentially be applied as a predictive index of N mineralization, **fiirther** investigation to determine the controls on microbial physiological **status** when NIR is not conelated with N availability are needed before NlR **can be** widely accepted.

This research has also been valuable in establishing a framework upon which further studies can be developed. The application of ¹⁵N and ¹⁴C tracer techniques in subsequent studies on the physiological status of the microbial biomass may **provide** additional insight into **the** nature and controls of the NIR response. Furthemiore, the concept of **NIR** is based **upon** eliciting a physiological respiration response from the soil microbial biomass to an added N substrate. By **changing** the nature of the added substrate, this **approach could** be used to determine the microbial physiological demands for other soil nutrients. Finally, cornparisons between NIR and other established indices of **N** availability will be required on a range of benchmark soils in order to determine the robustness of NIR as a measure of soil **N** availability.

Appendix 1. Microbial biomass and N mineralization parameters (means ± 1 SE) of the mineral horizon.