

Acquisitions and Acquisitions et

canada Canada

National tibrary Bibliothèque nationale 1*1 of Canada du Canada

Bibliographie Serviceç services bibliographiques

395 Wellington Street 395, rue WelEngton Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre rélérence

exclusive licence allowing the exchsive permettant à **la reproduce, loan, distribute or sell reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou papes or electronic formats. la forme de microfiche/fïh, de**

The author retains ownership of the L'auteur conserve la propriété du may be printed or otherwise de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés **reproduced without the author's ou autrement reproduits sans son permission. autorisation.**

The author has granted a non- L'auteur a accordé une licence non National Library **of Canada to Biblotheque nationale du Canada de copies of this thesis in microform, vendre des copies de cette thèse sous reproduction** sur **papier on sur format électronique.**

copyright in **this thesis. Neither the droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse.** thesis nor substantial extracts from it Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels

0-612-54879-1

Canadä

ROLE OF APOPTOSIS IN MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AND TUMORIGENESIS OF HUMAN CERVICAL CELLS: IMPLICATION OF BAG-1 AND OTHER APOPTOTIC PROTEINS

By

© Zhihu Ding

A **thesis** submitted to the School of Graduate

Studies in partial **fulfillment** of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Division of Basic Sciences

Faculty of Medicine

Mernorial University of Newfoundland

November 1999

St. John's

Newfoundland

ABSTRACT

Recent studies have indicated that inhibition of apoptosis may play an important role in both multistep carcinogenesis and multidrug resistance **(MDR)**. Apoptosis is controlled through **many** cellular genes, The pattern of these apoptosis-regulating proteins varied in different cell types. The molecular mechanism of apoptosis in the rnultistep carcinogenesis and multidrug resistance of cervical cells is still poorly understood.

To examine the role of apoptosis in tumorigenesis and chemoresistance of **human** endocervical cells, a cisplatin-resistant endocervical cell line (HEN-16-2/CDDP) was established by treating an HPV16-immortalized human endocervical cell line previously established in **this** lab, HEN-16-2, **with** cisplatin. A phenotype of MDR **was** identified for HEN-16-2/CDDP by clonogenic survival efficiency assay **using** two stmcturally and fimctionally distinct anticancer drugs: cisplatin and paclitaxel.

The thresholds to undergo apoptosis of HEN-16-2/CDDP cells in response to various apoptotic stimuli **was** compared **with** that of its parental HEN-16-2 cells. **HEN-16- 2/CDDP** cells were found to be **significantly** more resistant to ce11 death induced by several chemotherapeutic **dmgs,** UV irradiation, **anti-Fas** antibody and heat shock. Moreover, the dysregulation of apoptosis in **HEN-16-2/CDDP** cells was found to confer tumorigenicity. Further characterization of HEN-16-2/CDDP cells indicated the following: 1) they displayed distinct morphologies **in** monolayer; 2) they had an increased rate of proliferation in medium containing physiological calcium levels; 3) they demonstrated anchorage-independent growth in **vitro;** 4) they expressed similar levels of pro-apoptotic genes, including **p53,** Bak, **Sax** and the anti-apoptotic **gene** Bcl-2,

 \mathbf{i}

compared to the drug-sensitive cell line, HEN-16-2; and 5) they expressed significantly higher levels of the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl-X_L as well as the p50 and p33 isoforms of BAG-1. Overexpression of BAG-1 in cervical carcinoma C33A celi line confers resistance to cisplatin, etoposide and doxorubicin, but not to actinomycin D **and** pactitaxel. BAG-1 also protects C33A cells **fkom** apoptosis induced by heat shock and W irradiation.

The yeast two-hybrid system **was** established to screen BAG-1 interacting proteins from a human keratinocyte cDNA library. Eighteen positives were obtained from 2.5 $\times 10^6$ clones. Further analysis of the interacting clones identified four genes: *Hsp70*, Hsp70-2, Hsc70 pseudogene and a putative novel Hsp70Y. Carboxyl-terminal amino acids of BAG-1 were found to be important in the mediation of the interactions.

Overexpression of **Hsp70** or **Hsp70-2** in C33A cells conferred the resistance to various apoptotic stimuli, including cisplatin, doxorubicin, etoposide, paclitaxel, actinomycin **D,** heat shock **and** UV irradiation.

In summary, this study provided the fjrst in **vitro** evidence that inhibition of apoptosis conferred MDR and tumorigenesis in endocervical cells. Increased levels of Bcl-X_L and BAG-1 p50 and p33 isoforms were found to be associated with this phenotype. Hsp70s were identified as BAG-1-interacting proteins from a cDNA library using the yeast twohybrid system, and further studies indicated that they may also contribute to the regulation of apoptosis.

 $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1 am indebted to my supervisors, Dr. **Shu-Ching Tang** and **Dr.** Alan Pater, for their supervision, help and encouragement through the entire course of this study. I would also like to extend **my thanks** to my other committee members, Dr. Stephanie Kaiser and Dr. Michael Grant, for their expertise, invaluable comments and enthusiastic support throughout this program. It **is** a pleasure to take this opportunitv to express my sincere appreciation for **all kinds** of generous helps fiom Dr. **Andrejs Liepins.** Without him, the results presented here would never be the same.

I owe my gratitude to my dear friends, Dr. Xiaolong Yang, for his encouragement **and** moral support to keep me going during my studies. **1** an grateful to **Mr.** *Garry* Chernenko, Ms. Yawei Hao, Ms. Ge Jin, Ms. Judy Foote and Ms. Lisa Lee their excellent technicd assistant. **1** wish to extend **thanks** to Mr. **Mike** Witcher, Ms. **Bigan** Mo, Mr. Adam Green, Ms. Jessalyn Beck **and** Ms. Melissa Wilson for their help and friendship. I am also thankful for the Cancer Journal Club faculty and students for their valuable discussion.

Acknowledgement is also due to Dr. Richard D. **Neuman,** Dr. Verna **Skanes, the** Faculty of Medicine and the School of Graduate Studies for their moral and **fundallely** support throughout this program. **I** also wish to extend **my thanks** to Ms. **Paulinre** Cole, and Ms. Heather Dove for their cordial administrative help and support all through out my graduate program.

Finally, my love and appreciation go to my wife, Nan Li, and my parents. This thesis would not have been possible without their love, support and patience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

LIST OF TABLES

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

LIST OF FIGURES

 $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$

 \mathbb{R}^2

 \sim

 \sim \sim

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Apoptosis, carcinogenesis and cancer therapy

1 .lm 1. General information on apoptosis

The term, apoptosis, is derived from the Greek word used to describe the shedding of leaves fiom a tree during **autumn.** Apoptosis, as seen for example in the developing embryo and during tissue turnover in the adult, is a highly regulated cell suicide process.

Developmentally regulated ceil death, which has been studied in both invertebrates and vertebrates, **has** been referred to **as** programmed cell death (PCD) since the **middle** of the $19th$ century (Vogt, 1842). With the finding that regulated forms of cell death also occur in adult multicellular organisms, the term PCD was adopted to describe al1 foms of cell death that are mediated **by** an intracellular program. However, not until 1972 did a report formalize the existence of a form of cell death called apoptosis by describing its distinct morphological characteristics **(Kerr** et al., **1972).**

1.1.2. MorphoIogical characteristics of apoptosis

The earliest recognized morphologie apoptotic changes are: compaction and segregation of the nuclear chromatin; formation of sharply delineated, uniform, fine granular masses that become marginated against the nuclear envelope; condensation of the nucleus and cytoplasm; and the loss of surface cellular protuberances called microvilli (see review Kerr et al., **1994;** Liepins and Bustamante, **1994).** Progression of the

condensation is accompanied by convolution of the nuclear and cell outlines. This is followed by the breaking up of the nucleus into discrete fragments that are surrounded by double-layered envelopes and by budding or blebbing of the cell as a whole to produce plasma membrane-bound vesicles called apoptotic bodies. The extent of **the** nuclear and cellular budding varies with cell type. The cytoplasmic organelles **within** newly formed apoptotic bodies remain weli preserved.

Apoptotic bodies arising in tissues are quickly phagocytosed by neighbouring cells or macrophages and degraded within their lysosomes. There is no inflammation associated with the invasion of specialized phagocytes into the tissue, such as occurs with necrosis, and various types of resident cells, including epithelial ceils, participate in the removal of apoptotic bodies.

Similar morphologic events occur in vitro. However, most apoptotic cultured cells are in the floating population and apoptotic bodies formed in ce11 culture mostly escape phagocytosis and eventually degenerate.

In addition to apoptosis, ce11 death can also occur by necrosis and senescence. Necrosis is believed to occur in response to more intense cell injury, resulting in a loss of osmotic balance. The distinction between apoptosis and necrosis is obvious by electron microscopy. Condensation of nuclear chromatin occurs in the early stages of necrosis, but the chromatin is not radically redistributed, as it is in apoptosis, **and** edges of the chromatin clumps tend to be irregular and poorly dehed. The cytoplasm of the necrotic cell becomes grossly swollen, and plasma and organelle membranes progressively disintegrate. Most important, necrosis, unlike apoptosis, is not under biological control.

Senescence also causes little **tissue** damage, but is less weil regulated, possibly representing a housekeeping form of cell death, as occurs during normal epithelial differentiation.

1.1.3, Biochemical mechanism of apoptosis

Along with the obvious morphological changes, distinct biochemical alterations are also associated **with** apoptosis. The most prominent **is** the randorn cleavage of the genome at intranucleosomal sites, which is detected in agarose gel electrophoresis as a DNA "ladder" composed of **fiagments** in multiples of 180-200 base pairs (bp) (Wyilie, 1987). However, this type of DNA fragmentation does not occur in some experimental systems (Ucker **et** al., 1992; Oberhammer **et** al., 1993; Schulze-Osthoff **et** al., 1994; **Sakahira** et al., 1998; Janicke **et** al., 1998).

Apoptosis triggered by various **stimuli has** in common the ability to induce activation of a **family** of cysteine proteases called caspases, such as caspase-3, which cleave a variety of specific protein substrates (for review, see **Cryns** and Yuan, 1997; Nuñez et al., 1998). Caspases implement cell death and "act" as the execution arm for apoptosis **(Alnemri et** al., 1996; **Nuîiez et** al., 1998). Caspases are crucial components of cell death pathways. They are normally present in the cell as **zymogens** that require proteolysis for activation of enzymatic activity. The **mammalian** caspases have been divided into upstream initiator caspases and downstream effector caspases, based on their sites of action in the proteolytic caspase cascade. Binding of initiator caspase precursors to activator molecules appears to promote procaspase oligomerization **and** autoactivation

by enzymatic cleavage of the procaspase into **hgments.** Enzymatic activation of initiator caspases leads to proteolytic activation of dowmtream effector caspases **and** then cleavage of a number of vital proteins, ùicluding poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase **(PARP),** gesolin, MEKK-1, and **lamin** (for review see **Cryns** and Yuan, 1997). **PARP** cIeavage is observed in most forms of apoptosis (Kaufmann 1989; Kaufmann et al., 1993), and is often used as a marker of apoptosis.

Among the downstream caspases identified, caspase-3 stands out because it is often activated by various celI death signals and cleaves **many** important cellular proteins, including **PARP.** It has been recently demonstrated that caspase-3 activates the endonuclease called caspase-activated DNase *(CAD),* which is responsible **for** the fragmentation of DNA, by specifically cleaving and inactivating the inhibitor of *CAD* **(ICAD/DFF45)** (Liu et al., 1997; **Enari** et al., 1998; **Sakahira** et al., 1998).

1.1.4. Regulation of apoptosis

The regulation of apoptosis is **summarized** in Figure 1.1. Apoptosis **can** be triggered by a wide variety of stimuli, including chemotherapeutic drugs, ultraviolet **light** irradiation (UV), heat shock, cytokines, oxidative stress, growth factor deprivation, viral infections, genetic abnormalities, as weU as normal differentiation and development. Moreover, the initiation of apoptosis involves biochemical changes that might be unique to each apoptotic **stimulus** (Ucker, 1997).

The **p53** tumor suppressor gene, the **"guardian** of the genome", **has** been clearly **linked** to apoptosis induced by various stimuli (Levine, 1997). Two alternative cellular

Figure 1.1. Apoptosis pathways (adapted from Reed, 1998b). Apoptosis can be triggered by a wide varïety of stimuli (examples on the left), all of which have in common the ability to eventuaily induce activation of downstream caspases that cleave a variety of specifïc protein substrates, leading to apoptosis. **The p53** tumor suppressor gene plays an important role in apoptosis, although p53-independent pathways leading to apoptosis also exist. The complexity of the **p53** response may **depend** on the cellular context, At least **two** pathways leading to activation of downstream effector caspases have been identified: a mitochondria-dependent pathway clearly governed by the Bcl-2 family of proteins and a parallel pathway involving activation of upstream caspases, such as those involved in **Fas** signaling. Extensive cross-talk probably exists between these two pathways (bidirectional **arrow).** Several members of a famiIy of apoptosis-suppressing proteins called inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) have been shown to bind directly to **the** active forms of the downstream effector caspases, but not the upstream initiator caspases. Some of the IAP family can bind to and inhibit effector caspases. FLIP/FLAME family of proteins bind to the inactive zymogens of certain upstream caspases **and** prevent **their** activation under some circumstances.

Stimulus

Execution

responses occur as a result of p53 induction: growth **arrest** in the G1 phase of the cell cycle or apoptosis. p53 was recognized as a regulator of apoptosis following the observation that transfection or activation of wild-type p53 in tumor cells can result in rapid apoptotic cell death (Yonish-Rouach **et** al., 1991; **Levy** et al., 1993). Studies demonstrated that ceil death induced by serum deprivation in Myc-overexpressing cells or in interleukin-3 **(IL-3)** -dependent thymocytes required fûnctional p53 to induce apoptosis (Wagner **et** al., 1994; Henneking and Eick, 1994; Canman et al., 1995). DNA strand breaks induce rapid p53 upregulation, but exactly how remains unknown. The upregulation of **p53 is** mostly post-transcriptional, involving both **an** increase in translation and a prolonged protein half-life (Dragovich *et al.*, 1998).

p53 is a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein, and known targets of p53 include genes associated with growth control, cell cycle checkpoints and DNA repair **(e-g., WAF l/CIP** 1, WIP 1, MDMS, EGFR, PCNA, cyclin D 1, cyclin G, **TGF-a,** 14-3-3 **o,** and GADD45), and apoptosis **@ax, Bcl-Xr, FasL,** KGF-BP3, PAG608 and **DRS)** (reviewed by Amundson et al., 1998). Activation of p53 results in a cascade of downstream events, depending on the cellular environment. Although most studies have focused on the involvement of p53 in regulating apoptosis, p53-independent apoptosis pathways were found to exist (Strasser et al., 1994).

At least two apoptotic pathways leading to the execution of apoptosis have been identified (Figure 1.1): a mitochondria-dependent pathway that is clearly governed by Bcl-2 family proteins and a parallel pathway involving activation of **upstream** caspase-8, such as those involved in **Fas and** TNF receptor signaling.

Some cytokines, such as FasL **and TNF-a,** can bind to their receptors on the plasma membrane, causing trimerization of their receptors and thereby activation of an initiator caspase such as caspase-8 through interaction of the receptor **with death** adaptor proteins such as FADD or TRADD (Baker and Reddy, 1998; Nuñez et al., 1998). In addition, other apoptotic stimuli, such **as** the anticancer therapeutic agent using cisdiamminedichloroplatinum (II) (cisplatin, CDDP), can cause mitochondria dysfunction. Mitochodrial dysfunction includes a reduction in the mitochondrial membrane potential $(\Delta \psi m)$, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), opening of the permeability transition pore **(PTP),** and the release of the intermembrane space protein, cytochrome c **(see** review Gross et al., 1999). In response to cytochrome c bhding, the apoptotic proteinase activating factor-1 (Apaf-1) can form a complex with and then activate initiator caspase-9 (Li **et** al., **1997; Zou et** al., **1997).** Cross-talk probably exists between these two pathways within the cell. For example, Bid, a pro-apoptotic Bc1-2 family protein, is cleaved into **two** hgments by caspase-8 in **response** to **signaling** by **Fas** or **TNF** receptor. **The** C-terminal fragment of Bid then binds to mitochondria, **thus** initiates the mitochondria-dependent pathway to apoptosis (Li et al., 1998; Luo et al., 1998).

Several members of a family of apoptosis-suppressing proteins called inhibitor of apoptosis proteins **(IAPs)** have been **shown** to bind directly to the active forms of the downstream effector caspases, such **as** caspase-3, **and** to potently inhibit their enzymatic activities (Deveraux **et** al., 1997; Roy **et** al., 1997). **FLIP** family proteins bind to the pro fonns of certain upstream caspases, such as procaspase-8 and procaspase- **10,** and prevent their activation under some circumstances **(Imler et** al., 1997; Srinivasula **et** al.,

1997).

Different pathways involved in regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis may have different significance in **various** cells or within the same cell at different stages of development or differentiation. Extensive cross-taik probably also **exists** between proliferation **ad** apoptosis within the cell. For example, **Akt,** a growth factor-dependent serine/threonîne kinase, **will** phosphorylate Bad **when** the growth factor **binds** to the receptor. Bad is a Bcl-2 family protein and executes its pro-apoptotic function by binding to anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and Bcl-X_L. Phosphorylated Bad is sequestered by cytosolic **14-3-3** protein, thus releasing and increasing the levels of fiee Bcl-2 and Bcl-**XL.** Therefore, this growth factor-initiated signal pathway interferes with the Bcl-2- and Bcl-XL-dependent regulation of the cellular apoptotic threshold through Bad phosphorylation (Zha et **al.,** 1996; Gajewski and Thompson, 1996).

1.1.5. Apoptosis in carcinogenesis

1.1.5.1. Cellular basis

Normal tissue **has** carefûlly balanced proliferation and apoptosis. Rates of proliferation are paired with rates of apoptosis so that **celi** numbers **remain** constant and tissue homeostasis is maintained (Figure 1.2A). However, given the critical role of apoptosis, it is not surprising that dysregulation of apoptosis occurs fiequently during pathological disturbances. Neoplasia is a good example in which apoptosis is dysregulated. Carcinogenesis is characterized by the abnormal accumulation of cells. This accumulation of cells is generally accepted to be the result of **enhanced** cellular

Figure 1.2. The effect of relative rates of proliferation and apoptosis on homeostasis and carcinogenesis (adapted fiom **Thompson,** 1995). The rates of ceiI proliferation and apoptosis are indicated by the yeliow and **blue** bars, respectively. In mature **organisms,** cell number is controlled by the net effects of celi proliferation and apoptosis, **which** are normaily balanced **and lead** to homeostasis (A). In the absence of compensatory **changes** in the rates, increased cell proliferation (B), or decreased apoptosis (C), can result in cell accumulation, as seen in hyperproliferation, premalignancy and cancer.

proliferation (Figure **1.2B).** However, recent mounting evidence suggests that the downregulation of apoptosis is also of critical importance resulting in an abnormal accumulation of cells during the initiation, promotion and transformation of some neoplasms Pigure **1.2C)** (Reed, **1994;** Reed *et* al., **1995;** Thompson, **1995).**

In addition, apoptosis is important for metastasis. Apoptosis induced in the absence of native or the presence of foreign environment-specifïc factors in foreign sites may serve to prevent the survival of cancer cells that migrate from their native tissues (Williams *et* al., **1990;** Neiman et al., **1991** ; Raff **et** al., **1993;** Cyster **et** al., **1994;** Frisch and Francis, 1994; Boudreau *et al.*, 1995). However, metastatic tumor cells have circumvented this homeostatic mechanism and can survive at sites distinct from the tissue in which they arose. For instance, epithelial cells that detach from the extracellular matrix in the process of metastasis rely on inhibition of apoptosis for survival in the absence of integrin-mediated signaling (Rabinovitz and Mercurio, 1996). Inactivation of the apoptosis pathway is ttius a central event **in** the development of cancers.

1.1.5.2. Molecular basis

Recent advances are beginning to shed some iight on the molecular basis for the role of apoptosis in carcinogenesis. Mutations or dysregulated expression of apoptosisrelated genes, often in synergy **with** other genetic lesions that result **in** a high rate of proliferation, can be shown to result in tumor development. **The** relationship between the acquisition of these genetic lesions and the development of cancer is complex and **highly** tissue-specific.

Several types of **genes** that can be critical in the regulation of apoptosis have been defined. Bcl-2 production at abnormally **high** levels or **in** aberrant patterns is found in approximately **half** of **all** human **cancers,** suggesting that deregulated expression of this proto-oncogene represents one of the most common events associated with **hman malignancy** (Reed, 1995a). Recently, it has been demonstrated that Bcl-2 is only one member of a gene family that can control the cellular apoptotic threshold (Boise et al ., 1993; Kozopas et al-, 1993; **Lin et** al., 1993; **Oltvai** et al., 1993).

The wild-type p53 gene product infiuences several essential processes that prevent potentially oncogenic mutant cell propagation. It appears to have a direct role in DNA repair and also plays a role in regulating DNA repair enzymes, arresting cellular growth **and** inducing apoptosis following exposure to genotoxic stress. Loss of **p53** function is strongly associated with the development and progression of many tumor types (Holistein et al., 1994). Moreover, studies in transgenic mice have confirmed the notion that the hunor suppressor role of **p53** in **vivo** is closely linked to **its ability** to induce apoptosis (Symonds et al., 1994; Donehower **et** al., 1995).

A number of viral oncoproteins have been shown to play roles in **regulating** apoptosis. Examples are the E1B of adenovirus and E6 of human papillomavirus (HPV). E **1B** and E6 disable the p53 pathway **in** apoptosis, cancehg the pRB-mediated **ceU death** response to **E1A** or E7, respectively **(Rao et** al., 1992; Debbas and White, 1993; White **et** al., 1994).

IAPs can block downstream effector caspase and therefore inhibit apoptosis. An example is survivin, one member of the IAP family. Survivin is not detectable in addt

differentiated tissue; however, it is expressed in most cancers tested, including lung, colon, breast, prostate, pancreatic cancers, **high** grade lymphomas, neuroblastomas and gastric carcinoma (Ambrosini **et** al., 1997; **Adida et** *al.,* 1998; **Kawasaki** *et al.,* 1998; *Lu et al.,* 1998). Overexpression of FLIPs (the inhibitors of upstream initiator caspases) is also detected in some human cancers (Irmler et al., 1997).

1.1.6. Apoptosis in cancer chemo- and radiotherapies

Cancer chemo- and radiotherapies **kill** targeted malignant cells by causing irreversible cellular **damage.** The mechanism of this action **was** previously **thought** to be due to **cell** necrosis. However, recent studies have indicated that induction of apoptosis is the primary cytotoxic mechanism of action of most radio- and chemotherapeutic agents (Kerr *et al.*, 1994). Immunohistochemical assays specific for apoptotic cell death have revealed that **cell** death by apoptosis, not necrosis, often follows radiotherapy or chemotherapy (Eastman, 1990; **Hickman, 1992).** Consistent with this notion, clinical data **has** suggested that there are prognostic **links** between treatment outcome and distinct molecular genetic alterations that are lmown to regulate apoptosis **(Fung** and Fisher, 1995). Overexpression of *Bel-2* or related genes can result in a **multidrug** resistance **(MDR)** phenotype in **vitro** (Reed, **1995b;** Thompson, 1995).

1.2. Drug resistance of cancer ceiis

1.2.1. General information

The response of tumors to chemotherapy varies. Failure to respond is fiequent and

is due **usually** to the emergence of **drug** resistance. Dnig resistance is **one** of **he** major obstacles hindering the succeçs of conventional antheoplastic agents. In Canada, drug resistance is the principal explanation for the high **mortality** rate (approximately 65 %) from many cancers found in men and/or women **(NCIC,** Canadian Cancer Stratistics, 1999). The simiificance of this **is** exemplîfïed by the fact that cancer causes a greater loss of potentid years of life **than any** other disease, including heart disease.

Patterns of drug resistance are divided into two groups: intrinsic and acquired. Intrinsic **drug** resistance is seen when previously untreated cancers are resistant to chemotherapeutic agents and is observed in patients **with malignant** melanomas, nonsmali **ceU** lung cancers, pancreatic cancers, renal cancers, **and** colon cancers. Tumors **with** acquired drug-resistance, including breast carcinomas, **mal1** cell lung cancers, acute leukemias, ovarian carcinomas, and cervical carcinomas, are responsive to initial treatments, but often become refractory to further therapy. Relapse of tumors, particularly during or shortly after the completion of therapy, generally heralds the emergience of tumor **cells** that are resistant to the antineopIastic agents used **initially** and ofien **no** other **drugs** to which the patient **was** never previously exposed.

The phenomenon of clinical drug resistance has prompted studies to identify the mechanisms involved. Using *in vitro* (tissue culture) and *in vivo* (animal and xerograft) models, a number of physical and biochemical mechanisms of drug resistance hawe been identified (Table 1.1). Physiological resistance to chemotherapy implies the host-dmgtumor interactions or anatomic drug barriers. Some physiological and biochemical mechanisms *cm* overlap. Traditionally, the term **"dmg** resistance" in basic science refers

to biochemical resistance that **is seen** in the targeted tumor cells, as opposed to changes such as the detoxification of drugs by the non-malignant liver.

Table 1.1. General mechanisms contributhg to **drug** resistance

1.2.2. Biochemical mechanisms

To understand the complexity of the biochemical mechanisms of **dmg** resistance, **it** is essential to realize that cell killing by each cytotoxic drug is a complex process (Figure 1.3). While some dmgs **can** enter the celi by passive diffusion **through the** plasma membrane **lipid** bilayer, other agents require the presence of special membrane carriers @or pores for **entry. Some dnigs** are inactive in the form in **which they** enter the **ceU**

Figure 1.3. Drug resistance pathways (adapted from Roninson, 1997). The potential **biochemical changes are indicated as black arrows. The dashed line separates two types of** MDR **mechanisms. Above the dashed line, are the mechanisms that limit the extent of drugindwed cellular damage; below the dashed line, are the mechanisms that alter the cellular** response to the damage. ITM, influx transport machinery. ETM, efflux transport machinery.
and need to be activated through chemicai modification by appropriate cellular enzymes. An active **dmg** inside the cell needs to reach and bind to its specific target, such as DNA or microtubules, and interfêre **with** its normal fiinction. These events could **trigger** cell growth arrest or apoptosis.

The alteration of **any** step between **drug** uptake and cellular damage response genes could result in drug resistance. Generally, the mechanisms of drug resistance *cm* be described as those which limit the extent of drug-induced damage or alter the cellular response, and can be categorized into the following six areas.

1.2.2.1. Altered intracellular drug influx or efflux

Decreased intracellular accumulation of cytotoxic agents due to decreased infiux or increased efflux is one of the most common mechanisms of drug resistance. This may result fiom decreased **drug influx** due to a defective carrier-mediated transport system. Decreased influx via a reduction in **high affinity** folate-binding protein transport as well as via a reduced folate carrier has been identified in methotrexate resistant cells (Hill et al-, 1979; Sirotnak **et** al., 198 1; Antony **et** al., 1985; Dixon et *al.,* 1994). Similarly, a deficient membrane influx transport system **has** been identified in cells resistant to nitrogen mustard (Goldenberg *et al.*, 1970).

Enhanced drug efflux may also lower intracellular steady state levels of drugs. Classical **MDR** is fiequently associated with overexpression of P-glycoprotein **(Pgp),** a transmembrane transport protein capable of expelling and maintaining tolerable intracellular levels of certain cytotoxic **dnigs** (Juliano **and** Ling, **1976;** Endicott and **Ling,**

18

1989). **Pgp** belongs to **the** ATP binding **cassette** (ABC) family of transporter molecules, directly binds cytotoxic compounds and expels them fiom the celi through an energydependent efflux mechanism (Hyde *et* al., 1990; Beck and Qian, 1992; **Germanu** *et* al., 1993; Breuninger *et* al., 1995; Bosch and Croop, 1996). **Transducing** the cDNA of *mdr-l* (the gene encoding **Pgp)** into tissue culture cells resulted in **an MûR** phenotype, even before stable cell Iines were selected (Gros et al., 1986; Croop *et* al., 1987). *In* addition, the incorporation of **purined Pgp** into liposomal membranes has demonstrated that **Pgp** is able to hydrolyze ATP and transport dmgs (Saekï *et* al., 1992; *Thierry et* al., 1992; Sharom *et* al-, 1993; Naito and Tsumo, 1995; Shapiro and **Ling,** 1995; Dong et al., 1996; **Eytan** *et* al., 1997). MDR-related protein **(MRP) family** members, MRP1, **MRP2, MRP3, MRP4,** MRP5, and cMOAT, **are** other members of the **ABC** family of transporters (Cole *et* al., 1992; Krishnamachary **and** Center, 1993; **Zaman** et al., 2994; Lautier et al., 1996; Kool et al., 1997).

Lung-related protein (LW) **is** also associated with **an** MDR phenotype (Scheper *et* $al.$, 1993). It has been identified as the major component of certain nucleoprotein particles (vaults), which possibly translocate cytotoxic dmgs fiom nuclei to cytoplasmic vesicles, which in turn release their contents at the cell surface (Scheper et al., 1993; Izquierdo *et* al., 1996).

1.2.2.2. Altered intracellular drug metabolism

The cytotoxicity of many chemotherapeutic agents **is determined** by the enzymatic conversion of the **dmgs** into their active metabolites. For example, 5-fluorouracil(5-FU)

is a pro-drug, and must be activated to its cytotoxic form by the targeted tumor. Resistance to **such** nucleic acid base and nucleoside drugs **has** been associated with decreased conversion of these analogues to their cytotoxic nucleoside **and** nucleotide derivatives by phosphorylases, kinases and the **phosphoribosyltransferase** salvage pathway (Drahovsky and Kreis, 1970).

Furthermore, cellular factors involved in detoxifying chemotherapeutic agents could impact on **the** cytotoxicity of drugs that have gained access to the intracellular compartment of tumor ceils. A nuniber of mechanisms **may** permit detoxification. For example, glutathione (GSH), is an important intracellular antioxidant. When a compound is conjugated with GSH, the compound becomes more hydrophilic, more readily excreted, and usually less toxic (O'Brien and Tew, 1996). A group of cytosolic enzymes termed GSK-S-tramferases (GSTs) conjugate certain drugs with GSH. This detoxification mechanism may require vesicle-mediated transport of GSH-drug conjugates by a poorly understood ATP-dependent GS-X pump. Overexpression of GST- π leads to resistance to alkylating drugs and to platinum compounds through their conjugation with GSH (Ozols et **al.,** 1990). **Further** study indicates that many drugresistant cell lines have increased expression or activity of GSH and/or related enzymes (O'Brien and Tew, 2996).

Metallothioneins (MTs) are low molecuiar weight intracellular proteins charactenzed by **high** cysteine content and afïïnity for binding heavy metals. **Theçe** proteins are located mainly in parenchymal tissues such as the iïver, gut **and** kidneys, where they play a role in the detoxification of cadmium, platinum and certain other heavy

20

metals and in the regulation of normal zinc and copper metabolism. Overexpression of MTs has been correlated with acquired resistance of cancers to cytotoxic alkylating agents and to cisplatin through an unknown mechanism (Mousseau et al., 1993; Kelley et al., 1988; Lohrer and Robson, 1989; **Kaina** et al., 1990).

In addition, enhanced inactivation of pyrimidine **and** purine analogues by elevated deaminases **and** oxidases **has** been linked to resistance toward these agents (Steuart and Burke, 197 1; **Hunt and** Hoffee, 1983).

1.2.2.3. AItereà dmg targets

The mechanisms of cytotoxicity of several antineoplastic drugs involve interactions between the **dmgs** and essential intracellular enzymes that consequently alter or inhibit normal fûnctions. Quantitative or qualitative changes in these enzyme targets of antineopIastic drugs can compromise drug efficacy.

Topoisornerase (Topo) II **is** a nuclear enzyme that modifies the topologie state of DNA to facilitate strand relaxation, controiled cleavage, and religation of the DNA helix during replication **and** repair. It also serves a role **during** chromosome segregation in mitosis. Topo II is an important target of several antineoplastics, including etoposide and doxorubicin, which bind to and inhibit Topo II **enzyrnatic** religation, thereby stabilizing the enzyme-DNA cleavage complex. Qualitative changes affecting Topo II activity in selected cell lines result in an MDR phenotype similar to that described for Pgp, with the characteristic exception of preserved sensitivity to microtubule-targeting agents, such **as** Taxol (Potmesil *et* al., 1987). Alterations of several **other** enzymes, including the

methotrexate-targeted dihydrofolate reductase, are associated with drug-resistance (Haber et **al., 1981).**

1.2.2.4. Changes in molecules involved in repair of cellular damage

Cells contain multiple complex systems involved in damage repair, especially in their membranes **and** DNA. Because such damage **may** occur as a direct or secondary consequence of cytotoxic drug action, altered repair mechanisms can influence drug sensitivity of tumor cells.

For instance, alkylating agents induce lethal DNA damage by forming covalent bonds **with** nucleophilic sites in DNA. The N7 and 06 atoms of guanine are probably the main targets for alkylation of DNA. **Repair** of DNA adducts represents one of the main mechanisms of cellular protection, and one important **DNA** repair enzyme is **06** methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), which removes alkyl adducts from the 06 atom of **the** guanine **base** (Gerson **et** al., 1995). **A** striking correlation between MGMT activity and resistance to nitrosourea and cisplatin has been demonstrated both in **vitro** and in xenograft rnodels (Pegg **et** al., **1984;** Gerson **et** al., **1994).**

Correlation between mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes, such as *MLH*1, and resistance to N-methyl-N⁻-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) and cisplatin has been reported (Kat et al., 1993). Introduction of wild-type hMLH1 gene-carrying chromosome 3 into hMLHl mismatch repair-deficient HCT-116 human colon cancer ceils restored mismatch repair efficiency and conferred increased sensitivity to MNNG (Koi **et** al, 1994).

22

1.2.2.5. Cellular oncogenes and tnmor suppressor genes in dmg resistance

It has recently been appreciated that changes in the level or activity of cellular oncogene and tumor suppressor **gene** products may be responsible for resistance to a broad range of drugs. There are a number of such cellular genes whose upregulation, downregulation or mutation contributes to drug resistance.

For example, c-fos and c-jun proto-oncogene levels can be elevated in chemoresistant celIs **(Bhushan** et al., 1992; **Yamazaki** et al., 1994; Moffat et al., 1996). **Also,** a relationship between cisplatin resistance and overexpression of the c-myc gene has been observed in **an** erylhroleukemia cell line **(Sklar** and Prochownik, 1991). Transfection of c-myc enhanced cisplatin resistance of NIH 3T3 ceils, and antisense oligonucleotide to $c\text{-}myc$ RNA enhanced cisplatin sensitivity of urinary bladder cancer cells **(Mimi** et al., 1991; Mizutani et cl., 1994; Sanchez-Prieto et al., 1995;). Serially transplanted tumors that survived treatment with cisplatin displayed elevation in c -myc expression, and their growth could be inhibited by c-myc antisense RNA (Walker *et al.*, 1996). In addition, blocking Ras oncogene product function by farnesyltransferase inhibitors caused increased radiosensitivity and chemosensitivity (Bernhard et al., 1996; Danesi et al., 1996). Furthermore, studies have correlated *Her-2heu* oncogene expression with intrinsic MDR of **human** non-small cell lung cancer cells (Tsai et **al.,** 1993), and transfection of *Her-2/neu* conferred chemoresistance on these cells (Tsai et al., 1945). Blocking *Her-2/neu* receptor fimction by emodin and tyrphostin, inhibitors of **tyrosine** fiase activity, sensitized Her-Uneu-overexpressing Iung cancer cells to in **vitro** killing by cisplatin, doxorubicin, or etoposide (Tsai et al., 1996; Zhang and Hung, 1996).

One of the roles of **p53** is to regulate the ce11 cycle. Functional p53 is required for Gl cell cycle arrest in response to **DNA** damage. The loss or mutation of **p53** can affect drug sensitivity or resistance (Ruley, 1996; Velculescu and El-Deiry, 1996; Gallagher et al., 1997; Coukos **and Rubin,** 1998). **pRB** is another celi cycle regulator/tumor suppressor gene that is involved in dnig resistance (Wang *et* al., 1998; Yamamoto *et* al., 1998; Yoo et al., 1998). Studies have shown that cells null for the $p21^{WAF1/CIP1}$ cell cycle regulator display defective **repair** of **in vitro** damaged DNA and are more sensitive to the cytotoxic effects of a variety of cytotoxic drugs, as well as to UV (McDonald et al., 1996; Waldman *et al.*, 1996). Recently, $p27^{KIP1}$ has been also demonstrated to play a role in **dmg** resistance in some neoplasia (for review, see Lloyd et al., 1999).

1.2.2.6.. Apoptosis regulation in drug resistance

In addition to the role of apoptotic inhibition in oncogenesis (section 1.1 **S),** it is becoming clear that the same process is involved in the drug resistance of many cancers. This is because essentially **al1** chemotherapeutic dnigs available to date, as well as radiation, ultimately act on tumor cells through apoptosis. The Bcl-2 family proteins have been implicated not only in the pathogenesis of cancer, but also in resistance to chemotherapy. Anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 expression correlates **with** poor response to chemotherapy and **shorter** survival for patients **with** some types of lymphomas, acute myelogenous leukernias, and prostate cancers (Lotem et al., 1993; Campos *et* al., 1993; Reed, 1995a; 199%; 1998). Conversely, reductions in BcI-2 achieved by antisense methods sensitize cells to **multiple** chemotherapeutic dnigs (Webb *et* al., 1997).

Therefore, the **ability** of Bcl-2 to block cell death induced by all types of anticancer dmgs indicates that Bcl-2 represents a **novel MDR** protein. Overexpression of Bcl-2 protein prevents drug-induced apoptosis. Antineoplastic agents interfere with DNA synthesis, or interfere with microtubule formation in tumor cells that contain high levels of Bcl-2, but the cells rernain viable for protracted periods of time, resulting in enhanced clonogenic survival. Bcl- X_L , another anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member, can also confer high-level resistance **to** chemotherapeutic agents (Mïnn et al., 1995; Taylor et al., 1999).

Downregulation of pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins can result in drug resistance. Consistent with this notion, overexpression of **Bax** protein rendered tumor cells more sensitive to many anticancer drugs (Bargou et al., 1996). Ablating Bax expression reduced drug-induced apoptosis (Perez et al., 1997).

The Bcl-2 family members are also linked to drug sensitivity and resistance through their regdation by **p53.** Numerous studies have linked **p53** to apoptosis in cases when DNA is damaged by anticaucer **drugs** (see section **1.1.4).** Inactivation of **p53** correlates with enhanced resistance to anticancer dmgs and a poorer prognosis in most **human** malignancies (Harris and Hollstein, 1993; Lowe et al ., 1994). In a controlled experiment **using** genetically defïned tumors in irnmunocompromised mice, mutation of **p53 was** associated both with resistance to chemotherapy and with tumor relapse (Lowe et al., 1994).

1.3. Molecular mechanism of multistage cervical cell carcinogenesis

1.3.1, The role of HPVs in cervical oncogenesis

Cervical cancer **was** recognized as a sexudly transmitted disease more **than** a century ago and since then numerous infectious agents have **been** surggested to play a causative role (reviewed **by zur** Hausen and de Villiers, 1994; **Alani** and Münger, 1998). A subset of the more **than** 90 papillomavinises (HPVs) **was** detected in more **than** 90% of cases of cervical carcinoma, providing compelling evidence for HPV infection as a causative factor (zur Hausen, 1991a; Bosch et **al.,** 1995; **Alani** and Münger, 1998).

HPVs are small DNA Wuses that are found in **many** vertebrate species **and** contain approximately 8 kb double-stranded circdar genomes, which are generaily divided into three distinct regions: early (E), late (L), and long control regions *(L-CR) (Figure 1.4)*. The early region open reading frames (ORFs) are designated E1, E2, E4, E5, E6 and E7, and encode proteins required for viral DNA replication, viral RNA transcription, viral and cellular gene regulation, and oncogenesis. The late ORFs, **L1 and** L2, encode viral capsid proteins. The LCR, also called the noncoding region or upstream regulatory region, is found between the 3' end of the late region and **5'** end of the early region. **It** contains DNA elements that regulate HEV RNA transcription **and DNA** replication by interacting with viral and cellular transcription and replication factors (Hoppe-Seyler and **Butz,** 1994).

The anogenital HPVs are generally categorized into two groups based on their ability to induce viral-associated cancers: low-risk (not associated with cancer) and highrisk (cancer-associated) (de ViUiers, 1989). Generaily, low-risk HPVs, **such** as **HPV6** and 1 1, are associated with benign genital condylomas and oral and other papillomas; **while** high-risk HPVs, such as HPV16 and 18, are increasingly associated with normal

Figure 1.4. Genomic organization of HPV16 (adapted from Alani and Münger, 1998). *All* **papillomavinises contain a double-stranded circular DNA genome of approximately 8 kb. Transcription occurs from only one strand of DNA. Nucleotide positions are indicated in the circle.**

epithelia, low-grade squamous intraepthelid lessions **(LSILs),** high-grade squamous intraepthelial lessions (HSILs), and invasive carcinomas (zur Hausen, 1991a).

Although infection with high-risk HPV types is relatively common, few infected women eventually develop cervical carcinoma **In** addition, the internai between **primary** KPV infection **and** cervical cancer is **usually** several decades, suggesting that HPV infection alone is insufncient to generate the **fiilly** malignant phenotype **(zur** Hausen, 1994; Ponten et al., 1995). Therefore, other events are also required for the development of cervical cancer.

In vitro studies demonstrated that transfection of high-risk *HPVs*, such as *HPV16* and 18, can initiate immortalization of ectocervical and endocervical cells **(Pirisi** et al-, 1987; Woodworth et al., 1988; **Tsutsumi** et al., 1992; Pecoraro et al., 1989; Woodworth et al., 1989). Furthermore, cotransfection of HPV16 or 18 with an oncogene, such as cmyc, v-fos or H-ras, transformed rodent cells and primary human fibroblasts and keratinocytes **in** *vitro* (DiPaolo et al., 1989; Durst et al., 1990; Pei et al., 1993), suggesting that HPVs **can** cooperate with oncogenes in carcinogenesis.

1.3.2. Functional consequences of high-risk HPV E6 and E7 oncogenes

Two genes of the high risk HPVs, namely *E6* and *E7*, can immortalize and transform cells by cooperating with other oncogenes (Bedell et al., 1989; Phelps et al., 1988; Storey et al., 1988; Storey and **Banks,** 1993). The E6 and E7 viral oncoproteins of high-risk HPVs have also been shown to be selectively maintained in most virally induced **tumors** (Schneider-Gadicke and Schwarz, 1986; Pater and Pater, 1988; HawleyNelson **et** al., **1989;** Woodworth et al., **1989;** van den **Brule** et al., **1991;** zur Hausen, **1991b).**

One property of E6 and E7 that may contribute to oncogenic genetic changes is their fortuitous modulation of the cellular response genes to DNA damage (Figure **1.5).** Normally, DNA damage results in the accumulation of wild-type p53 protein (section 1.1.4), which increases $p21^{waf1/cip1}$, which in turn inhibits the activation of cyclin-cdk complexes that phosphorylate retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRB). Consequently E2F transcription factors therefore remain associated with pRB and are unable to activate transcription of genes required for progression fiom G1 into S phase. Consequently, cells are arrested in Gl, and this **G1** arrest is thought to **dlow repair** of damaged DNA.

The immortalization and transformation activities of high-nsk HPV E6 **and** E7 correlate, at least in part, **with** their inactivation of p53 and pRB (Dyson *et* al., **1989;** *Heck* **et** *al.,* **1992; Mansur** *et* al., **1993;** Münger *et* al., **1989;** Nakagawa *et* al., **1995;** Wemess et **al., 1990). The E6** oncoprotein of the high-nsk **HPVs 16** and **18** binds **p53** and promotes its ubiquitin-mediated degradation (Werness et al., 1990; Scheffner et al., 1990; 1994). Similarly, the E7 protein has been shown to bind pRB and modify pRB function (Dyson **et** al., **1989;** Dyson *et* al., **1992;** Davies et **al., 1993).** The cyclins, **cdk** and other cellular targets that regulate normal cellular function may also be dysregulated by viral **E6 and E7** oncoproteins. Moreover, apoptotic celi death is another important response to DNA damage that may also be influenced by HPV oncoprotein expression. In cervical tumors that are not associated with HPV infection, p53 and pRB may be

Figure 1.5. Effects of HPV oncoproteins on the cell cycle and apoptosis pathway (adapted from Mani and Münger, 1998).

inactivated by mutations, such as deletions, splîce site changes and codon substitutions, **rather** than by binding to a viral protein (Crook et al., 1992).

1.3.3, fi *vitro* **cervical ce11 mode1 of in vivo multistage carcinogenesis**

The initiation of cervical cancers is mostly caused by HPV infection (section 1.3.1). After initiation, the cells may be exposed to some tumor promoting reagents and experience further genetic alterations, such as mutation and activation or inactivation of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (section 1.3.2). A single cell acquires a growth advantage and/or inhibition of apoptosis to form a clonal malignant tumor (section **1.1.5.1).** Both physicd **and** chemical factors could contribute to the **fûrther** progression of cervical cancers after initiation.

Carcinogenesis, or the process of cancer development, in most instances involves a long period of latency. During this time, endogenous and/or exogenous carcinogenic agents **act** on individual cells and cause genetic alterations, mostly involving oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. Most cancers are clonal in origin. Cancer development involves several successive rounds of gene mutations, and tumor progression is usually a multistage progression.

Similar to the preceeding description of cervical carcinogenesis **in vivo,** the multistage nature of cancer also can be observed in the carcinogenesis of **human** cervical cells *in vitro*. Figure 1.6 is a schematic representation of *in vivo* and *in vitro* cervical carcinogenesis. In this scheme, $HPVs$ initiate carcinogenesis in vivo after infecting endocervix-derived metaplastic cells at the transformation zone, where almost all cervical *In vivo* **In vitro**

Figure 1.6. In *vivo* and **in** *vitro* **cervical multstep carcinogenesis.**

neoplasia is formed (Vousden, 1989; **Sun** et **al.,** 1997). Altematively, normal metaplastic endocervical cells **in vitro** are HPV-transfected, imrnortalized, and become transfomed (Tsutsumi et **al.,** 1992; **Sun** et al., 1992; 1997; Yang **et** al., 1996a).

High-risk and low-risk HPVs are found in low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSILs) **in** vivo and both types also extended the cell He **span** in **in vitro** cell culture. Further modifications of cellular genes resulted in **rnainly** high-risk HPVcontaining high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSILs) in vivo or isolated clones of immortalized cells in **vitro.** However, these events **are** insu£ficient to transform cervical celis **in vivo** or **in** *vitro* and produce invasive cervical carcinoma. Other factors, such as smoking, or cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) are required **to** efficiently induce further changes in other cellular genes and transform some of the high-risk HPVcontaining HSILs, or -immortalized cells to form invasive **tumors (Yang et** al., 1996a; Nakao **et** al., 1996).

1.4. BAG-1 and its associated proteins

BAG-1 is a protein **with** multiple isoforms: **p50,** p46, **p33 and** p29. Each isoform is initiated from an alternate translational start site (Yang et al., 1998a; Zapata et al., 1998). The BAG-1 **p50** isoform is distributed predominantly in the nucleus, while other isoforms are located mainly in the cytoplasm or membranes (Yang *et al.*, 1998a), suggesting that BAG-1 is a multifunctional protein.

BAG-1 **was** initially identified as Bcl-2 binding proteins (Takayarna **et** al., 2995). Bcl-2 is a key inhibitor of apoptosis (see section 1.1.4), and BAG-1 can enhance the

ability of Bcl-2 to inhibit apoptosis induced by staurosporine and anti-Fas antibody in Jurkat T cells (Takayama *et* al., 1995), or by **NGF withdrawal** in neuronal PC12 cells (Schulz et al., 1997). BAG-1 can interact with Raf-1, which can bind to Bcl-2 and cooperate in the suppression of apoptosis, and activates its protein kinase in **vitro** and in vivo (Wang *et* al., 1996). *In* addition, BAG-1 **was** found to bind hepatocyte growth factor **(HGF)** and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptors, and enhance their abiiity to inhibit apoptosis (Bardelli *et* al., 1996). Further, overexpression of BAG-1 inhibits the apoptosis induced by staurosporine in 3T3 fibroblasts and C33A cervical carcinoma cells (Takayama et al., 1995; Yang et al., 1999a), heat shock in **GM701** immortalized fibroblasts (Takayama *et* al.. 1997), and IL-3 withdrawal in IL-3-dependent **B** ceil line BdF3 (CIevenger *et* al., 1997). Thus, BAG-1 **was** identifîed **as a** Bcl-2-dependent and independent anti-apoptotic molecule.

BAG-1 is capable of interacting with various other cellular proteins (Figure 1.7). BAG-1 **can** to fonn complexes with a number of steroid hormone receptors, such as estrogen receptor (ER), androgen receptor (AR), and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and modulates their function (Zeiner and Gehring, 1995; Froesch et al., 1998; Kullmann et al., 1998). Recently, BAG-1 **was** demonstrated to interact with **Siah-IA,** which cm inhibit p53-dependent cell-cycle arrest, and to inhibit Siah-1A function (Matsuzawa *et* al., 1998). In late 1997, BAG-1 was demonstrated to interact with heat shock protein 70 chaperones **(Hsp70s) and** modulate their chaperone activity (Takayama *et* aL, 1997, Zeiner *et* al., 1997, Hohfeld **and** Jentsch, 1997). **I** also independently identïfïed **Hsp7O** and **Hsp70-2** as BAG-1-binding proteins **using** the yeast two-hybrid system durhg that

Figure 1.7. BAG-1-interacting proteins. Proteins in blue color were demonstrated to **interact with BAG-1 before 1997. Proteins in yellow were demonstrated to interact with** BAG-1 since 1997, when screening for BAG-1-interacting proteins using the yeast two**hybrid system began.**

time. The Hsp70 family comprises molecular chaperones that play a key role in the folding, translocation and degradation of proteins in eukaryotic ceus through **their** capacity to bind and stabilize non-native protein conformations **@artl, 1996;** Rüdiger **et** *al.,* **1997; Bakau** and Horwich, **1998). Hsp70** is also known to regulate apoptosis (Gabai et *al.,* **1995;** Mosser **et** *al.,* **1997;** JiiZittela **et** *al.,* **1998)** and **may thus** play a role in tumorigenicity and **drug** resistance **(Kaur** and Ralhan **1995,** Ralhan **et** *al.,* **1995;** Jaattela **1995;** Vargas-Roig **et** *al.,* **1998).**

BAG-1 was demonstrated to increase pulrnonary metastases in mice (Takaoka et *al.,* **1997).** Furthemore, **BAG-1** is present at much higher levels in cervical himors **and** breast **tumors than** in surrounding normal tissue (Yang **et** *al.,* **1999a, 1999b). BAG-1 has** also been shown to be expressed at higher levels in lung, breast and cervical tumor cell lines than their non-tumor counterparts (Takayama **et** al., **1998; Yang** *et al.,* **1998b; 1999a; 1999b;** Zapata **et** *al.,* **1998).** Moreover, the increased expression of **BAG-1** correlates **with** enhanced resistance of cervical carcinoma cells to apoptosis **induced** by cisplatin **(Yang et** *al..* **1998b). Al1 these** observations suggest that **BAG-1** may have **an** important roIe in carcinogenesis **and drug** resistance through inhibition of apoptosis.

1.5. Objective of this study

From the foregoing review of the literature, **it cm** be concluded that apoptosis plays an important role both in multistep carcinogenesis and in cancer chemotherapy resistance. Apoptosis is controlled through cellular genes including pro-apoptotic genes (e.g., *p53, Bax, Bak*) and anti-apoptotic genes (e.g., *Bcl*-2, *Bcl*-X_L and *BAG*-1). Also, alterations in these apoptosis-regulating genes have **been** implicated to have an important roIe in carcinogenestis and cancer drug resistance. The expression patterns of these apoptosisregulating proteins vary in different cell types. Therefore, my hypothesis is theat deregdation of apoptosis plays a role in the process of **multistep** carcinogenesis and **MDR** of **human** endocervical cancer.

The molecular mechanism of apoptosis in the multistep carcinogenesis process and MDR is **stiU** poorly understood. An **understanding** of the role of apoptosis in **mulistep** carcinogenesis and MDR of endocervical cancer is important in cancer research. **The** objective of this study is to further characterize the role of apoptosis in carcinogenesis and MDR of **human** cervical cells.

For these purposes, firstly, HPV 16-immortalized endocervical cells were treated **with** cisplatin and a multidrug-resistant endocervical cell line was established. Then, **the** response to various apoptotic stimuli, cellular morphology, growth characteristics, tumorigenicity, **and** cellular apoptosis-regulating **gene** expression of the immortalized and multidrug-resistant cells were **analyzed.**

Secondly, since BAG-I **was** found to be overexpressed in our multidrug resistamt cells, BAG-1 was **then** stably transfected into the low BAG-l-expressing cervicd carcinoma celi line, **C33A,** to **determine** whether overexpression of BAG-1 cam recapitulate the drug-resistance.

Thirdly, the yeast two-hybrid system **was** established **and** employed to screen a complementary DNA (cDNA) **library. Hsp7O** and **Hsp70-2** were isolated as BAG-Ibinding proteins. Furthermore, mutation analyses of the functional domain of **BAG-**

37

which is required for interaction with Hsp70 and Hsp70-2 were conducted in vifro and in vivo. The effect of BAG-1 on Hsp70 chaperones-mediated protein refolding activity in vivo **was also assayed. Additionally, Hsp70 and Hsp70-2 were stably transfected into** C33A cells and the role of their interaction with BAG-1 in drug resistance was further **characterized.**

 ϵ

CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Keratinocyte growth medium *(KGM)* and Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) were puchased **fiom GIBCO-BRL** and ICN, respectively. **GIBCO-BRL** was the supplier for the fetal **calf** serum **(FCS) and** trypsin-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). Penicillin-streptomycin was obtained from **ICN.** HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2T cells were established by Dr. K. Tsutsumi and Dr. **X. Yang** in the laboratory, respectively (Tsutsumi et al., 1992; **Yang** et al., 1996a). **HeLa** and **C33A** cell lines **in** the laboratory stock were previously purchased fiom ATCC.

Taq DNA polymerase, restriction endonucleases and their respective **10x** reaction buffers were obtained from GIBCO-BRL. The coupled transcription/translation TNT system was supplied by Promega.

GIBCO-BRL supplied the **1 kb and** 100 bp DNA ladder **markers.** Low melting point agarose, agarose, acrylamide, **N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide,** urea and 10 **mM** dNTPs (dGTP, dATP, dTTP and dCTP) were all purchased fiom **GIBCO-BRL.** Baker Inc., Bio-Rad, and Carnation were the suppliers of 2-mercaptoethanol, N,N,N',N'-tetrarnethylethyknediamine (TEMED) and skim **mik** powder respectively.

Cisplatin, actinomycin **D,** doxorubicin, etoposide, **5-FU,** staurosporine, sanguinarine, paclitaxel (taxol), N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide (4-HPR or fenretinide) **and all-trans** retinoic acid **(ATRA)** were **all** purchased fiom **Sigma Chernical** Co..

Mouse **anti-BAG-1** monoclonal antibody (mAb) **was** generated and prepared in our lab **(Yang** *et al.***, 1998a). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies for Bcl-X_L, Bax and mouse mAbs** for Bak, Bcl-2, Hsp70 and caspase-3 were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Other mouse mAbs used were: anti-p53 **(DAKO),** anti-actin (Sigma Chemical **Co.)** and **anti-PARP (PharMingen** International).

The yeast two-hybrid system kit was purchased from Clontech. Invitrogen and United States Biochernical Co. supplied the TA Cloning Kit version 3.0 and Sequence Version 2.0 Sequencing Kit, respectively. Kodak **was** the supplier of X-ray film.

Eight-well tissue chamber slides; 35 mm, 60-mm **and** 100-mm tissue culture plates; **and culture** tubes were obtained fiom NUNC. Eppendorf micro test tubes for PCR were obtained from Fisher.

2.2. Celi culture

HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP were cultured in serum-free KGM containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin. HeLa, HEN-16-2T, C33A, C33A-BAG-1, C33A-Hsp70 and C33A-Hsp70-2 were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

All cells were maintained at 37 $^{\circ}$ C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. After three days or when the cells had reached approximately 80% confluence, the medium was aspirated from the plates and the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Then, 2 ml of trypsin-EDTA **was** added into each plate, **which was** placed in the incubator for 10 minutes. For cells cultured in KGM, 8 ml of PBS containing 10%

FCS was added to the trypsinized cells to quench the activity of trypsin. The cells were then suspended and centrifüged at l,OOO **rpm** for 10 minutes. The cell pellets were resuspended with **KGM and** passaged at a dilution of 1 : **10,** 1 **:4,** or 1 :2 into plates. For cells cuitured in DMEM containing 10% FCS, 8 ml of this medium **was** added directly to the trypsinized cells, which were further passaged at 1:10, 1:4, or 1:3 into fresh plates.

2.3. Establishment of endocervical MDR HEN-16-2/CDDP cell line

As shown in Figure 2.1, the multidrug-resistant human endocervical cell line HEN-16-2KDDP was established ffom the HPV-immortalked **human** endocervical cell line HEN-16-2. HEN- 16-2 ceils which were normaliy **maintained** in KGM were fïrst adapted to grow in DMEM. At each passage, they were incubated for 24 hours in DMEM containing 5 μ M CDDP. The CDDP-containing medium was then replaced with fresh DMEM for additional incubation for 3 days. This treatment **was** repeated for approximately 50 passages, **and** then the cells were cuitured in KGM (Figure 2.1).

2.4. Clonogenic survival assays

Clonogenic assays were performed, as previously described *(Vasey et al., 1996)*. Briefly, $10³$ cells were seeded into 60-mm diameter tissue culture plates and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were then incubated with each **dmg** for 24 h, washed twice with sterile PBS at 37°C, and incubated in drug-free KGM for 10-14 days. The cells were were stained with 2% crystal violet **in** methanol and colonies of 50 or more cells were scored. The surviving fraction was calculated as the ratio of the colony-forming

Figure 2.1, Schematic representation of method used to establish FEN- **16-2/CDDP celIs. The dotted lines iadicate the initiation and termination of senun adaption.**

efficiency of drug-treated and untreated cells. The drug concentration resulting in 50% ceU **viablility** inhibition **(ICso) was** detennined fiom each clonogenic survival cuve **(Nagane** et al., **1997).**

2.5. Measnrement of growth rate and saturation density

Exponentially growing cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, and resuspended in 2 ml medium. Cell numbers were counted with a hemocytometer, and approximately 2×10^4 **cells** were dispensed into 60-mm plates for each ceii **he.** Cell **numbers** were counted from each of three plates every two days for 8 days.

The saturation density of each cell line **was** measured by the same procedure 5 days after the cells reached confluence.

2.6. Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy

For iight microscopie analysis of morphology, cells were cultured in 8-well tissue culture chamber slides. The chamber frame was released from the slides, before the cells were studied under light microscopy. **CeU** morphology was documented with **Kodak Tmax 400** film.

For SEM analysis, approximately 50,000 cells were seeded on 24-well tissueculture plates containing acid-cleaned coverslips (Thermanox, no. 5414; **Lux** Scientific Corp.). Cells were allowed to attach to the coverslips at 37° C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were fixed with Karnovsky fixative 2.5% ghtarddehyde (J. B. EM Services) in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Cells were

dehydrated in a **25%, 50%,** 75% and 100% graded ethanol senes followed by Freon-113 substitution. All samples were **dried** simultaneously, sputter-coated **with** gold and examined in a Hitachi S-570 SEM, as previously described (Liepins and Younghusband, 1985).

2.7. Soft agar or anchorage-independent growth assays

The 0.7% agarose underlying gel was prepared by mixing equal volumes of **2x** DMEM containing 20% **FCS and** low melting point agarose melted in sterilized water, dispensing 2.5 ml into **60-mm** plates, **and** leaving the plates at room temperature until the gel solidified. Subseqently, HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP and positive control HEN-16-2T and HeLa cells were trypsinized, resuspended in **2x** DMEM, and counted using a hemocytometer. The 0.35% agarose overlaying gel was prepared by mixing approximately 10' cervical cells in 2.5 ml of **2x** DMEM with 2.5 ml of **0.7%** low melting point agarose in sterilized water, pouring the **mixture** into the 60-mm plates containing the **0.7%** underlying gel. **The** plates were placed at 4 *OC* for 5 minutes, **and** then incubated at **37 OC. One week** later, **1** ml DMEM **was** carefiilly added onto the surface of the soft agar to replenish nutrients. Colony formation was monitored every two days for 2-4 weeks. Triplicate assays were performed for each ce11 line. Representative photographs were taken for documentation.

2.8. In vivo tumorigenicity assays

HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells were **trypsinized** and resuspended in **PBS.**

PBS (0.1 ml) containing 10^7 cells was injected into each of six 2-3 month old female imrnunocompremised nude mice, **and** tumor incidence **was** rnonitored weekiy for 4-8 weeks. HeLa cells were similarly treated as a positive control. The average diameter of each tumor **was** measured when the mouse was sacnficed after 8 weeks,

The tumors were excised and fked in 10% paraformaldehyde. **The** fked tumors were embedded in paraffin, sectioned with a microtome, and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Sun et al., 1992). Stained sections were examined and photographed with Kodak **Tmax** 400 film for documentation by **light** rnicroscopy.

2.9. Western blotting

For protein extraction, cells were washed twice with ice-cold **PBS,** and proteins were extracted from 10^7 cells by lysis in 1 ml ice-cold extraction buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl **pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.02% sodium azide, 1% NP-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate** (SDS), 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 200 μ g/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride *(PMSF)* and 20 **pg/ml** aprotinin] for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 4^oC for 10 minutes, after which the supematants were stored at **-70°C.** Protein concentration was determined using the DC Lowry Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad) as instructed by the manufacturer.

Protein extracts were boiled in **2x** SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gel loading buffer [200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol] for 3 minutes and resolved in an SDS-PAGE gel. **The** running gel **was** prepared with 8-12% acrylamide, 375 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 0.1% SDS, 0.1% ammonium persulphate, and 6 **p1** TEMED. The stacking gel was composed of 5% acrylamide, 125

mM Tris-HC1 (pH **6.8),** 0.1% SDS, 0.1% ammonium persulphate and 5 **pl** TEMED. Electrophoresis was at 20V in SDS-PAGE running buffer (25 mM Tris, 250 mM glycine) **using** a Protean II minigel apparatus (Bio-Rad).

The proteins were subsequently transferred to Hybond enhancedchemiluminescence nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham Corp) under semidry conditions in Towbin transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine and 20% methanol) using a Trans-Blot SD transfer **apparatus** (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked by gentle shaking for 1 hour in **TBST** C20 mM Tris-HCL @H 7.6), 137 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-201 and **5% skim milk** powder. Membranes were incubated with the primary antibody diluted in TBST containing 5% skim milk powder overnight at 4 ^oC, and then washed in TBST. . Membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody diluted in **TBST** with **5%** skim milk powder for 1 hour at room temperature, **and** then washed in TBST. Signals were detected using the enhanced chemilumiscence (ECL) system (Amersham) and subsequently exposing the membranes to ECL **film,** as instructed by the manufacturer.

Afier primary signals were detected with the ECL system, they were removed **fiom** the membranes by incubation in stripping buffer (100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.7) at 50 ^oC for 30 minutes with occasional agitation. Then, membranes were rinsed with TBST and reprobed with anti-actin **mAb** and the signals were detected as for the primary signal.

Signal intensities were quantified by densitometry of bands with Eagle Eye **II Still** Video system (Stratagene).

2.10- Apoptosis assays

Pilot experiments were conducted to determine the **optimum** dose of anticancer **drugs, anti-Fas** antibody and W for apoptosis by morphological criteria, such as blebbing, **using** Light microscopy and SEM, as described in section **2.6; and two** biochemical characteristics, activation of caspase-3 and cleavage of **PARP,** using Western blotting, as described in section 2.9. Unless otherwise stated, apoptosis was evaluated using the trypan blue exclusion assay to assess the percentage cell survival/cell death, This **was** done by **trypsinizing** cells, incubating them with 0.4% **trypan** blue solution (Sigma), and scoring more **than** 200 cells **using** a hemocytometer.

Stock solutions were stored in aliquots at -20°C after preparation as follows: 1mM actinomycin **D**, 5 mM cisplatin, 10 mM doxorubicin, 10 mM 5-FU, 1 μ g/ml sanguinarine were prepared in sterile distilled water; 100 mM etoposide and 1 mM **4-HPR in DMSO; 30** niLM **ATRA acid,** 4 mM paclitaxel (taxol), 2 mM staurosporine in ethanol; **and** 500 pg/ml **anti-human Fas** antibody in PBS containing 50% glycerol.

Approximately 24 hours prior to all treatments, about 5×10^4 cells were seeded per well in 12-well plates. Immediately prior to treating cells, all stock solutions were thawed **and** diluted in medium. UV treatment **was** as described (Aragane et al., 1998). Briefly, immediately before W treatment, ceiis were **washed twice** with prewarmed PBS and exposed to UV43 (290-320 **nm)** with an emission peak of 312 **nm** through **PBS.** A UV dose of 50 $mJ/cm²$ was used. For heat shock, cells were incubated at 45 $^{\circ}$ C for 45 minutes, then returned to culture at 37° C for 2 days.

2.11. Stable transfection of C33A cells

The coding regions of **Hsp70 and** Hsp70-2 cDNA were subcloned into the expression plasmid pCR3.1 (Figure 2.2A).

C33A cells were then transfected using LipofectAMINE (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) with 5 μ g cDNA-containing, or empty vector PCR3.1, according to the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. Briefly, approximately 5×10^5 cells were seeded **per** IO-mm **culture dish.** Cells were then exposed to transfection mixtures for 5 hours at 37 °C. The transfection mixtures were then replaced with fresh DMEM. Cells were passaged 48 **hours** after transfection into three 100-mm plates and selected in the presence of 800 μg/ml G418 for 5-7 days. Well separated colonies were trypsinized, transferred to 96-well plates, then subcultured into 24-well and **fïnally** into 6-well plates in the presence of G4l8 until enough cells were present for protein extraction.

2.12. The yeast two-hybrid system cDNA library screening for identification of BAG1 interacting proteins

2.12.1. Strategy of cDNA iibrary screening

The yeast two-hybrid system (Fields and **Song,** 1989; Chien et al., 1991; Fields and Sternglanz, 1994; Figure 2.3) **was** used as a genetic system to isolate BAG-1-interacting proteins in **vivo.** It uses the restoration of transcriptional activation to assay the interaction between BAG-1 and novel proteins. It relies on the **modular** nature of **many** site-specific transcriptional activators, such as yeast GAL4, consisting of a DNA-binding domain (BD) **and** a transcriptional activation domain (AD) (Figure 2.3A) **(Keegan** et al., 1986).

Figure 2.2. Construction of plasmids. A. pCR3.1. B. pGEX-4T. C. pAS2-1. D. **pACT2. Parental vectors and their sizes are indicated in the circles.**

Figure 2.3. Mechanism **of the method of the yeast two-hybrid system. A.** *Yeast* **GAL4 protein, the transcriptional activator required** for **the expression of genes encoding enzymes of galactose utilization, consists of two physically discrete modular domains. B. If a protein X fiom the iibrary cannot intemct with human BAG-1, the AD will not be brought to the DNA binding site to activate the reporter gene. C. If the protein Y,** another protein from the library, can bind to human BAG-1, protein Y will bring the AD **to the DNA** binding **site and** thus **activate reporter gene expression.**

The BD serves to target the activator to the specific genes that will be expressed, and AD contacts other proteins of the transcriptional machinery to enable transcription to occur. The yeast two-hybrid system is based on the observation that the two domains of the activator need not be a single polypeptide and can be brought together by **any** two interacting fusion proteins, one of which contains the BD **while** the other **has** the AD.

The application of this system requires that two hybrid fusion plasmids be constructed for expressing BD and AD fusion proteins: a BD fused to the bait protein (in this **case GALA BD-BAG-1,** Figure **2.3B** and C), and **an AD** fused to some proteins which may interact with the bait protein **[in this** case the human Keratinocyte MATCHMAKE **cDNA** Library (Clontech) proteins, such **as** AD-X and AD-Y (Figure **2.3B** and **C)].** The two hybrid plasmids are cotramformed into a yeast S. *cewisiae* host **strain** Y **190** harboring the yeast HTS3 and the bacterial *lac2* reporter genes, which contain an upstream GALA binding site. In Fig. 2.3, the interaction of BAG-1 with a novel library protein Y will activate the HIS3 and the *lacZ* reporter genes (Figure 2.3C), while library proteins not interacting with BAG-1, such as X, will not activate the reporter genes (Figure **2.3B).**

2.12.2. Construct pSA2-1-BAG1 plasmid

To constnict a plasmid expressing **GAL4** BD-BAG-1 **p46** fusion protein, the **BAG-**1 isoform **p46** cDNA fkagment **was** amplified by **PCR** in a Hybraid **Thermal** Reactor (BioKAN). The forward primer **was** Dingl, and the reverse primer **was** B3-1 (Table 2.1). **PCR** of the BAG-1 p46 isoform was performed, as recommended by the manufacturer (Stratagene), with minor modifications. 1 μ l 50 ng/ μ l DNA and 1 μ l 10 pmol/ μ 1 of both primers, were mixed with 2 μ 1 of 10x PCR buffer (Stratagene), 0.4 of μ 1 10 **mM** dNTP (GIBCO-BE), 0.2 pl of 5 **U/p1** polymerase (Stratagene) and 14.4 pl water. PCR was performed as follows: 94^oC for 3 minutes; 35 cycles of 94^oC for 1 minute, 55^oC for 1 minute, 72^oC for 1 minute; 94^oC for 1 minute, 55^oC for 1 minute, and 72° C for 8 minutes.

The GAL4 BD plasmid (pAS2-1-BAG-1) was then constructed by subcloning the PCR product into the vector pAS2-1 in-frame with GAL4 BD (Figure 2.2C).

2.12.3. Screening the human keratinocyte MATCHMAKE cDNA Library

The human keratinocyte **MATCHMAKE** cDNA Library of plasmids inserted into the yeast two-hybrid system AD vector pACT2 (Figure 2.2D) was purchased from Clontech. S. *cerivisiae strain Y190* (MATa, ura3-52, his3-200, lys2-801, ade2-101, trp1-901, **leu2-3, 112, gal4Δ, gal80Δ, cyh^r2, LYS2::GAL1_{UAS}-HIS3_{TATA}-HIS3,** URA3::GAL1_{UAS}-GAL1_{TATA}-lacZ), which was Trp⁻, Leu⁻ and His⁻, was cotransformed with pAS2-1-BAG-1 and the MATCHMAKE cDNA Library, using the lithium acetate procedure as described by the manufacturer (Figure 2.4). The transformation mixture **was** then plated on 150-mm petri dishes containing synthetic dropout (SD) media lacking tryptophan, Ieucine, and histidine but including 25 rnM 3-amino-l,2,4-triazole (3-AT), and incubated at 30 °C for 3-5 days. The transformants were screened for B-galactosidase activity **using** a filter lift assay, according to the protocol recommended by the manufacturer (Clontech). Briefly, colonies were transferred to Whatman **#5** filters **and**

Figure 2.4. cDNA library screening for BAG- Linteracting protein positive clones.
cells were permeabilized by freezing for 10 seconds in liquid nitrogen, and thawing at room temperature. Filters were **then** overlaid onto another Whatrnan **#5** filter saturated with Z buffer/X-gal solution $[16.1 \text{ mg/ml Na}_2HPO_4.7 H_2O, 5.5 \text{ mg/ml Na}H_2PO_4.H_2O,$ 0.75 **mg/ml KCl, 0.246 mg/ml MgCl₂.7 H₂O, 0.327 mg/ml X-gal, 0.3% (v/v) 2**mercaptoethanol], and incubated **at** room temperature for 30 minutes to ovemight for color development. Approximately 2.5 \times 10⁶ yeast transformants were screened for **BAG-1-interacting proteins.**

2.12.4. Verifying postitive clones in yeast

As diagrammed in Figure 2.5, each of the initial His^+ and LacZ^+ yeast colonies was streaked out one to five times to segregate multiple pACT2-library **plasmids** within each single colony and β -gal filter lift assays were repeated on well-isolated colonies. The plasmids were then isolated from yeast, transfected into E. coli, and further amplified. These pACT2-library plasmids isolated fiom *E. coli* were then **individually** retransformed into yeast strain **Y190** to test **the** specific interaction of the candidate library clones with pAS2-1-BAG-1, pAS2-1-LAMS, and **pAS2-1** vector. Nonspecfic interactions (those conferring His^+ , $LacZ^+$ when paired with pAS2-1 or pAS2-1-LAM5) were considered false positives and eliminated, **while** clones specific for pAS2- **1 -B** AG-1 **bait** fusion **were** retained.

2.12.5. DNA sequencing and analysis

Clones retained above were analyzed by DNA sequencing of both strands **using** a

Figure 2.5. Method for verifying BAG-1-interacting protein positive clones.

Sequenase Version **2.0** kit (United States Biochemical Co.), according to the protocol recommended by the mânufacturer. The sequences were then analyzed for sequence homology using the National Center for Biotechnology Infiormation sequence databases through the Basic Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program through the internet http **://www.ncbi.nlm.nih,govBLAST/.**

2.13. In vitro binding assay

2.13.1. In vitro transcription/translation

Hsp70, Hsp70 ATPase domain, Hsp70-2, and BAG-1 cDNA were transcribed/translated *in vitro* in the presence of $\int^{35}S$]-methionine (Amersham) using the TNT system (Promega), as described by the manufacturer.

2.13.2. GST-fusion protein production

To obtain **GST-Hsp70** and GST-Hsp70-2, the coding regions of Hsp7O and Nsp70- 2 cDNA **in pACT2 plasmids** were subcloned in-frame into pGEX-4T-2 GST vector (Pharmacia, Biotech) (Figure **2.2B).** The resulting plasmids were then transfected into the BL21 **strain** of **E.** *coli.* GST fusion proteins were purifïed according to the instructions of the GST gene fusion system manufacturer. Briefly, E. *coli* BL21 containhg either parental pGEX-4T-2 **plasmid** or the **p1asmid** with the inserted cDNA were grown at 30 $^{\circ}$ C with shaking until the A_{600} reaches 1.0. At this time, isopropyl- β -Dthiogalactoside (IPTG) **was** added to a **final** concentration of 0.1 mM and **the** bacteria were cultured for an additional **5** hours, centrifùged, resuspended in **PBS** and sonicated in

eight 15 second bursts on ice. Triton X-100 **was** then added to a **final** concentration of 1% and the tubes were mixed gently for 30 minutes. Lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 \times g and supernatants were collected, combined with 400 µl of GSH sepharose beads and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes with gentle agitation. After this, the unbound proteins were removed with three PBS washes. GST fusion proteins were eluted with 10 mM reduced GSH in 50 **mM** Tris-HCI, pH 8.0. GST fusion protein level and purity were determined by SDS-PAGE of 1 μ l of protein samples and bovine serum albumin standards, **and** staining in Coommassie Brilliant Blue.

2.13.3. In vitro binding assays of BAG-1 and **Hsp70s**

GST protein interaction assays were performed, **as** previously described (Hanada et al, 1995). **Brîefly,** 10 **pg** of **GST** fusion protein was incubated with 10 **pl** of **GST**sepharose beads for one hour in 100 μ l binding buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl₂$, 1 mM EDTA and 0.15% Nonidet P-40) to attach the proteins to the beads. Then, 5 μ l of $\lceil^{35}S\rceil$ -methionine *in vitro*-translated products were incubated with the GST fusion proteins for 2 hours at **4°C.** The **mixture was** washed six times with binding buffer. The beads (in 20 μ l binding buffer) were boiled in 20 μ l 2× SDS gel loading buffer and 20 µl of centrifuge supernatants were subjected to SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, the gel **was dried** and X-ray film **was** exposed. GST **and** binding bufEer were incubated with *in vitro* transcribed/translated proteins as negative controls.

2.14. Deletion mutation analysis of BAGl domains for binding Hsp7Os in vivo

BAG-1 p50, p46, p33, and **p29** isoforms, as well as a series of deletion mutations **which** &re in-fiame **with** the **GAL4** BD of **pAS2-1** were generated **by PCR** (Figure 2.6) **using** the the primers listed in Table **2.1.**

The BAG-1 isoforms and mutations were then **inserted** into the **pAS2-1** plasmid-These plasmids were then cotrançformed with **pACT2-Hsp70** or **pACT2-Hsp70-2** into Y **190,** and the interactions were then determined by filter Iift assays (see section **2.12.3).**

Primer	Strand	Sequence	Amino	BD-BAG-1
			acids	plasmid
B5-0K	Sense	5'-CACCATGGCTCAGCGCGGG-3'	$1 - 345$	$\overline{p50}$
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
Dingl	Sense	5'-GATGAAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	$72 - 345$	p46
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$B5-2K$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGATCGGAGCCAGGAGGT-3'	116-345	$\overline{p33}$
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$\overline{B5-3K}$	Sense	5'-TCATCTCCTCCAAGATCTTCAT-3'	139-345	$\overline{p29}$
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$\overline{B5-4K}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAAACACCGTTGTCAG-3'	200-345	Δ 1
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$B5-5K$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGATCTTGGAGGAGA-3'	286-345	Δ 2
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$\overline{B5-6K}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGTTCAGGCATTCCTAG-3'	315-345	Δ 3
$B3-1$	Antisense	5'-GCTCACTCCACATCGTCTTT-3'		
$\overline{\text{BS-1K}}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	$72 - 320$	Δ 4
$B3-5$	Antisense	5'-TCACTCGGCTAGGAATGCCTGAAC-3'		
$\overline{\text{B5-1K}}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	72-291	Δ 5
B3-5K	Antisense	5'-TCATCTCCTCCAAGATCTTCAT-3'		
$\overline{B5-1K}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	$72 - 215$	$\Delta 6$
$B3-4$	Antisense	5'-TCACCCAATTAACATGACCCG-3'		
$\overline{B5-1K}$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	$72 - 156$	$\overline{\Lambda}$ 7
$B3-3$	Antisense	5'-TCAAAGGTCGTGCTTCTCATTGC-3'		
$B5-IK$	Sense	5'-CACCATGGAGAAGAAAACCCGG-3'	$72 - 121$	Δ 8
$B3-2$	Antisense	5'-TCATACCTCCTGGCTCCGATTCAT-3'		

Table 2.1. Primers used to generate **BAG-1** isoforms and deletion mutations

Figure 2.6. BAG-1 isoforms and deletion mutants. Numbers indicate the amino acids.

2.15. In vivo protein refolding assays

2.15.1. Transient transfection

Approximately 1×10^6 C33A-BAG-1 or C33A-NEO cells were seeded into 60-mm culture dishes, cultured 24 hours and transiently cotransfected with 1.0 µg of pSVluciferase vector and 2.0 μ g of pSV-B-galactosidase vector (Promega) using LipofectAMINE (Life Technologies, Inc.) for 5 hours at 37 ^oC. The transfection mixtures were then replaced with DMEM containing 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Cotransfected cells were cultured for 24 hours, trypsinized and 1×10^5 cells were aliquoted into each cell culture tube (Nunc) and cultured for a further 24 more hours.

2.15.2. Heat shock inactivation and refolding

For heat shock inactivation, transiently transfected cells **were** preincubated in a water bath at 37 °C for about 15 minutes and transferred within 3 seconds to 42 °C for 45 minutes.

For refolding experiments, the medium was replaced 30 minutes prior to heat shock with medium containing 20 μ g/ml cycloheximide to inhibit new protein synthesis. After heat shock treatment, cells were incubated at 37 ^oC for 30 or 60 minutes to allow protein to refold. Luciferase or P-galactosidase activities before heat shock were **taken** as 100%.

2.15.3. Luciferase assays

Cells were chilled to 4 °C, washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 500 µl buffer A **[25 mM** H3P04/Tns-HC1, pH 7.8, 10 mM **MgCt,** 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 15% (v/v)

glycerol, 1 rnM EDTA] containhg **0.5% (v/v)** 2-mercaptoethanol. The lysates were stored at -70 °C.

After mixing 20 µl cell extract and 100 µl luciferase reagent (Biorad), luminescence **was** measured for 60 seconds in a Monolight 2010 luminometer **(Analytical** Luminescence Laboratory).

2.15-4. B-galactosidase assays

P-galatosidase expression assays were performed on the same lysates, using 10 **pl** cell extract **and** 200 pl buffer *Z (60* mM **%Po4, 40** mM **Na&PO4,** pH 7.0, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 mM β -mercaptoethanol) and 40 μ l 4 mg/ml ortho-nitrophenyl-n-Dgalacto-pyranoside (ONPG). The reaction mixture was incubated at 37° C for 50 minutes. The assays were terminated with $100 \mu 10.5 M Na₂CO₃$ and the absorbance was measured at 412 **nm.**

2.16. **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis **was** conducted using the Student's t-test. Differences with a value of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be significant.

CHAPTER 3

RESULTS

3.1. Establishment of MDR endocervical ce11 line HEN-16-2/CDDP

HPV16-immortalized endocervical cells, HEN- 16-2, were treated **with** or without cisplatin **and** then **subjected** to clonogenic survival assays. **Two** structurally **and** functionally unrelated antineoplastic agents, cisplatin and paclitaxel, were used in these assays. Cisplatin is a platinum compound. Several **mechanisms** of anticancer action are proposed for cisplatin: intrastrand crosslinking of DNA, ïnlibiting DNA precursors, and **unmasking** antigenic sites on the celi membrane. Paclitaxel is a **taxane** alkaloid, which binds to microtubules and promotes the rate and extent of tubulin assembly into stable microtubules, thus preventing tubulin depolymerization and cell division.

Clonogenic **survival** curves showed that cisplatin-treated HEN-16-2 cells, and HEN-16-2/CDDP, were more resistant to cisplatin than their parental HEN-16-2 cells (Figure **3.1A),** as well as to paclitaxel (Figure **3.1B).** The resistance to cisplatin or paclitaxel is calculated as the concentration of dnig resulting in 50% cell viablility inhibition (IC₅₀) and was 830 nM for cisplatin and 31 nM for paclitaxel, respectively. Compared to HEN-16-2, HEN-16-2/CDDP cells were found to be significantly more resistant to cisplatin (more **than** 8 fold) or paclitaxel (more **than** 5 fold), respectively. **This** indicates that HEN-16-2/CDDP cells have acquired resistance to structurally and functionally unrelated antineoplastic agents, cisplatin and paclitaxel, **and thus** have a phenotype of MDR,

Figure 3.1. Dose-dependent clonogenic suntival of HEN- **16-2** and HEN- **16-2/CDDP** after cispIatin **and** paclitaxel treatment. About 1,000 cells were seeded into 60-mm diameter tissue culture plates and allowed to attach ovemight in **KGM.** Cells were then incubated with various concentrations of cisplatin (A) and paclitaxel (B) in KGM for **24** hours, washed twice with sterile PBS at 37°C and incubated in drug-free KGM for 10-14 days. Colonies were stained with **2%** crystal violet **in** methanol and counted. Survival cell fraction was expressed as the ratio of the colony-forming cells of drug-treated and untreated control cells. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments.

3.2. Response of multidrug resistant cells and its parental cells to various apoptotic stimuli

Abnormalities in apoptosis control **can** influence the sensitivity of cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs and other onslaughts (see section 1.2)- Overexpression of **anti**apoptotic proteins, such as Bcl-2, in some types of cells cause **drug** resistance. Bcl-2 does **not** interfere **with** the accumulation of dmgs in tumor ceils, obviate the initial damage induced by drugs, or alter the rate of cellular damage repair. It simply increases the threshold to apoptosis and prevents drug-induced cellular damage leading to apoptosis. Increasing the apoptosis threshold confers an MDR phenotype which **can** render celis more resistant to various apoptotic stimuli, including essentially all chemotherapeutic drugs (Reed, 1998b).

To determine whether the increased apoptotic threshold is the major mechanism of HEN-16-2/CDDP **MDR,** fûrther studies were conducted on their apoptotic rate and that of the parental HEN-16-2 cells **using** various apoptotic stimuli îhat may induce apoptosis through difEerent mechanisms, such as chemotherapeutic **drugs,** heat shock, UV light irradiation and **anti-Fas** antibody.

Compared with HEN-16-2, HEN-16-2/CDDP, displayed a significant inhibition of apoptosis induced by cisplatin (Figure **3.2** and **3.3),** Sanguinarine (Figure 3.4), actinomycin D (Figure 3 **S),** doxorubicin (Figure **34,** etoposide (Figure **3.7),** pacfitaxel (Figure 3 **A), 5-FU** (Figure **3.9A),** staurosporine (Figure **3.9B), ATRA** (Figure 3.1 OA) and **4-HPR** (Figure 3.1 OB).

Furthemore, **HEN-16-2/CDDP** exhibited a higher **survival** rate **than** HEN-16-2,

Figure 3.2. Dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2KDDP cells by cisplatin- The percentage of viable cells was determined by **trypan blue** dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*$, p < 0.05, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. A. Dose dependence. CeIls were incubated with the indicated concentrations of cisplatin for 48 h. B. Time dependence. Cells were incubated with $20 \mu M$ cisplatin for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.3. Representive result of caspase-3 activation and PARP cleavage in HEN- **16-2** and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. Lysates from cells treated in 0μ M (lanes 1 and 5) or 5 μ M (lanes 2 and 6), or 10 μ M (lanes 3 and 7), or 20 μ M (lanes 4 and 8) cisplatin were **immunoblotted** with **anti-caspase-3 or anti-PARP.**

Figure 3.4. Representative result of morphological changes of cells undergoing apoptosis induced by sanguinarine. Apoptosis was induced by 1.5 μ g/ μ l sanguinarine for 4 hours **and examined by light microscopy (A and B) or scanning electronic microscopy (C and D). Panels E and F represent untreated cells examined by scanning electronic microscopy.**

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

HEN-16-2

HRN-16-2/CDDP

Figure 3.5. Dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis by actinomycin D in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. The percentage of viable cells **was** determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. \ast , $p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in ce11 viability between HEW-16-2 cells and **HEN-16-2/CDDP** cells. A. dosedependence. Cells were incubated with the indicated concentrations of actinomycin D for 48 h. B. Time-dependence. Cells were incubated with $1 \mu M$ actinomycin D for the indicated periods.

Time (hours)

Figure 3.6. Dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis by doxorubicin in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. The percentage of viable ceils **was** determined by **trypan** blue **dye** excIusion assays. Results represent the mean *2* the standard deviation from **three** independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. A. Dose dependence. Cells were incubated with the indicated concentrations of doxorubicin for 48 h. B. Time dependence. Cells were incubated with 1 μ M doxorubicin for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.7. Dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis by etoposide in HEN-16-2 and **HEN-16-2/CDDP** cells. The percentage of viable cells was determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. A. dose dependence. Cells were incubated with the indicated concentrations of etoposide for 48 h. B. time dependence. Cells were incubated with 40 μ M etoposide for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.8. Dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis by paclitaxel in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. The percentage of viable cells was determined **by trypan** blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between HEN-16-2 cells and **KEN-16-2/CDDP** cells. A. Dose dependence. Cells were incubated **with** the indicated concentrations of paclitaxel for 48 h. B. Time dependence. Cells were incubated with 5 μ M paclitaxel for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.9. Dose-dependent induction of **apoptosis by 5-FU and staurosporine in** HEN-**16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. Cells were incubated** with **the indicated concentrations of 5-FU or staurosporine for 48** h. **A. 5-FU. B. Staurosporine. The percentage of viable** cells was determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*$, $p < 0.05$, is the statistical **significance** of **the difference in ce11 viability between HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-** 2/CDDP **cells.**

Figure 3.10. Dose-dependent induction of apoptosis by ATRA and 4-HPR in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. Cells were incubated with **the indicated concentrations of** ATRA or 4-HPR for 48 h. The percentage of viable cells was determined by trypan blue $\frac{dy}{dx}$ exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in **ce11 viability between HEN-16-2 ceLls and** HEN- **16-2KDDP cells. A. ATRA. B. 4-HPR-**

when treated with 0.5 µg/ml anti-Fas antibody, 50 mJ/cm^2 UV irradiation or heat shock at 45 **OC** for **45** minutes (Figure **3.1** 1).

3.3. Morphology of HEN-16-2/CDDP

The morphology of cultured cervical epithelid cells is **an** indication of the differentiation potential and oncogenicity of the normal or abnormal tissue fiom which the cells are derived **(Turyk** et al., **1989;** Vooijs, **1991). In** KGM, **a** serurn-fiee medium that contains **0.15** mM calcium, both types of the ceIl grew actively **and** formed keratinocyte-like ceIIs (Figure **3.12A** and B). However, when the cells were cultured **in 10%** serum **plus** high calcium-containing DMEM, HEN- **16-2** immortalized cells were slow growing and flat, branched, heterogeneously sized and unevenly distributed (Figure **3.12C).** In contrast, HEN- **16-2ICDDP** cell Iine cultures had higher nucleus/cytoplasm ratio, and showed morphology **and** distribution that were comparable to those of the cells cultured in serum-free KGM (Figure 3.12D).

3.4. Growth characteristics of HEN-16-2/CDDf

Table 3.1 summarizes the growth **rate,** the saturation density **and** anchorageindependent growth in soft agar of HEN-16-2/CDDP compared with its parental cell line $HEN-16-2.$

In serum-fiee KGM, the average growth **rate** decreased slightly in multidrug resistant HEN-26-2/CDDP cells (Figure 3.13 **and** Table **3.1).** The doubling times of **HEN-** 16-2 and HEN- **16-2ICDDP in KGM** were 37 hours **and** 44 hours, respectively. In

83

Figure 3.11. Induction of apoptosis by **anti-Fas** antibody, UV irradiation **and** heat shock in HEN-16-2/CDDP and HEN-16-2 cells. Cells were exposed to 0.5 µg/ml anti-Fas antibody for 24 h, heat shock at 45 $^{\circ}$ C for 45 minutes or 50 $mJ/cm²$ UV irradiation. Cells subjected to heat shock **and** UV irradiation were **then** returned to normal culture for 48 hours. The percentage of viable cells was determined by **trypan** blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean $+$ the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells.

Figure 3.12. Morphology of HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP in monolayer culture. A. **HEN- 16-2 in KGM. B.** HEN- **16-2/CDDP in KGM. C.** HEN- **16-2 in DMEM. D. HEN-16-2/CDDP in DMEM plus 10% FCS. Al1 panels show** light **microscopy photos at the same magnification.**

Figure 3.13. Growth rates of HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells in monolayer culture. Cells were grown in 60-mm plates in the indicated media. Each value represents the mean \pm the standard deviation from three individual experiments.

contrast, a **différent** pattern **was** observed **in** senim-supplemented high calcium level DMEM. HEN-16-2 immortalized cells proliferated more slowly than the multidrugresistant cells. The doubling times of HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP in **DMEM** were significantly different $(p < 0.05)$ (44 hours and 34 hours, respectively). Further, there was a significant difference $(p < 0.05)$ in the saturation densities of MDR cells and their parental cells in KGM (306 \times 10³ and 144 \times 10³ cells/cm², respectively); and in DMEM plus 10% FCS (333 \times 10³ and 55 \times 10³ cells/cm², respectively) (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1. Growth characteristics of HEN- 16-2/CDDP compared **with** HEN-16-2 cells

Growth characteristic ¹	HEN-16-2	HEN-16-2/CDDP
Doubling time		
KGM	$37 + 6$	44 ± 10
$DMEM + FCS$	$44 + 6$	$34 + 2*$
Saturation density		
KGM	$144 + 10$	$306 \pm 65*$
$DMEM + FCS$	$55 + 3$	$333 + 32*$
Anchorage-independent growth		\div

¹The values for the doubling time (hours), saturation density $(x 10^3 \text{ cells/cm}^2)$, are the mean \pm the standard deviation for three **independent experiments. Anchorage-mdependent growth assays were conducted for three independent experiments** *, **p c 0.05, is the significance of the dflefence behveen the values for HEN-16-2CDDP and HEN-16-2 ce&.**

To **further** characterize the oncogenic phenotype, anchorage-independent growth in soft agar assays were performed, in which HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells were assayed for growth **in** soft agar for 2-3 weeks. HeLa cells and HEN-16-2T **(Yang** *et* al., 1996a) served as positive controls. **Generally,** the formation of colonies could be observed after one week of incubation, and the colonies were unequivocally identified

after two weeks. The immortalized cells, HEN-16-2, remained as single cells and did not form colonies in soft **agar** (Figure 3.14B), whereas MDR ceiis, HEN-16-2/CDDP, **formed** colonies (Figure 3.14A) that were similar to those formed **nom** HEN-16-2T **(Figure** 3.14C), but were smaller than those formed from HeLa (Figure 3.14D).

3.5. Tumorigenicity of MDR endocervical cells

HEN-16-2, HEN-16-2/CDDP and positive control HeLa cells were injected into nude **mice.** Table 3.2 **summarizes** the results for each **ceU** he.

Cell line	Passage	Tumor incidence	Tumor size	
		(No. tumors/no. injections)	(cm ²)	
HEN-16-2/CDDP	50-80	6/6	$1.5 - 1.8$	
HEN-16-2	50-80	0/6	N/A	
HeLa	N/A	2/2	$2.0 - 2.5$	

Table 3.2. Tumorigenicity of HEN-16/CDDP compared with *HEN-16-2* in nude mice

AU injections of HEN-16-2/CDDP cells led to tumor formation in nude mice. The tumors were generally apparent **after** three weeks and continued to **grow** until the mice were sacrificed (Figure 3.15). HeLa cells also gave rise to tumors in all injected nude mice. **None** of the untreated immortalized cells induced tumors in nude mice after 2 months, indicating that induction of tumors in nude mice was due to cisplatin treatment.

Two tumors, formed from injection of HEN-16-2/CDDP cells into nude mice, were examined histologically. Both tumors were invasive squamous cell carcinomas characterized by the loss of normal epitheliai cell arrangement, growth into the mouse
$HEN-16-2/CDDP$

HEN-16-2

HEN-16-2T HeLa

Figure 3.14. Anchorage-independent (soft **agar) growth** of HEN-16-2KDDP. **A.** HEN-16-2KDDP. **B,** HEN-16-2. **C.** HEN-16-2T. D. **HeLa.**

Figure 3.15. HEN-16-2/CDDP tumorigenesis on nude mouse.

substratum, high nucleus/cytoplasm ratio and high densities of chromtain staining (Figure 3.16).

3.6. Expression of apoptosis-related cellular genes

The levels of the apoptosis-promoting proteins p53, Balc, **and** Bax were examhed by Western blot analysis. There was no significant difference in the levels of expression of p53, Bak, or Bax between HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP (Figure 3.17 and Table 3 **-3)-**

Protein	HEN-16-2	$HEN-16-2/CDDP$
p53	1.0 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.5
Bak	1.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2
Bax	$1.0 + 0.3$	1.0 ± 0.3
Bcl-2	$1.0 + 0.4$	0.9 ± 0.3
$Bcl-XL$	1.0 ± 0.1	$1.7 \pm 0.2*$
BAG-1 p50	1.0 ± 0.2	$22.9 + 6.1*$
BAG-1 p46	1.0 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.4
BAG-1 p33	$1.0 + 0.3$	$3.3 + 0.8*$

Table 3.3. Expression of apoptosis-regulating proteins in HEN-16-2/CDDP compared with HEN- **16-2'**

¹The levels of cellular proteins were quantified relative to those of HEN-16-2 after normalization to actin control. Protein expression **was quantificd** by **rneasiuing** the **optical** density of **bands at** medium exposure on **X-ray films. The** data represent the mean \pm the standard deviation of three experiments. \ast , p < 0.05, is the significance of the difference between the **dues** for **HEN-16-2/CDDP and HEN-16-2** cells.

Figure 3.16. Histology of HEN-16-2/CDDP tumor. A. Squamous cell carcinomas formed in nude mice. B. Higher magnification showing dysplastic cells in a second turnor, **demonstrating mitotic ceils (arrowheads) proximal to the mouse substratum on the left.**

Figure 3.17. Expression of apoptosis-associated proteins in HEN-16-2/CDDP and HEN-**16-2 cells. Western blot analysis is shown for HEN-16-2** (lefi **lanes) and HEN-16-** 2/CDDP **(right lanes). B-actin was an interna1 control.**

The expression of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 and BAG-1 **p46** isoform **was** not significantly **different** between **HEN-16-2** and **HEN-16-2/CDDP** ceIis (Figure 3.17 and Table 3.3). However, there was a significantly $(p < 0.05)$ higher (1.7-fold) level of the expression of Bcl-X_L in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells than in HEN-16-2 cells (Figure 3.17 and Table 3.3). The expressions of BAG-1 isoforms p50 and **p33** were increased significantly $(p < 0.05)$ from HEN-16-2 cells to HEN-16-2/CDDP cells, 22.9-fold and 3.3-fold respectively **(Figure** 3.17 and Table 3.3). The shortest isoform of BAG-1, **p29,** was not detectable in either of these two cell lines.

3.7. Effect of overexpressing BAG-1 in C33A cervical cells on the sensitivity to apoptotic stimuli

Having detennined that a high-level of anti-apoptotic BAG-1 expression **was** associated with insensitivity of HEN-16-2ICDDP cells to different cytotoxic **dmgs** and other apoptotic stimuli, a potential role of BAG-1 in the direct regulation of apoptosis in cervical ceus **was** examined. The BAG-1 p50 stably transfected **C33A** ce11 line, C33A-BAG-1, **was kindly** provided by Dr. Xiaolong **Yang (Yang** et **al.,** 1999b). **C33A-BAG-1** ceils overexpressing BAG-1 (Figure 3.18) were **found** to be more resistant to cell death induced by cisplatin **than C33A** transfected with a control vector (C33A-NEO) (Figure 3.19). **Similarly,** C33A-BAG-1 cells were more resistant to ce11 death induced by doxorubicin (Figure 3.20) **and** etoposide (Figure 3 **-2** 1A) **than** the control cells. Moreover, C33A-BAG-1 ceils were found to have a **higher** survival rate when exposed to heat shock or UV (Figure 3.21B) **than** the control cells. However, parallel studies indicated that

Figure 3.18. BAG- 1 **expression levels in C33A-BAG- 1** versus **C33A-NE0 cells.** p-actin **was an interna1 control.**

Figure 3.19. Effect of BAG-1 on dose- and time-dependent induction of apoptosis by cisplatin in C33A-BAG-1 versus C33A-NE0 cells. The percentage of viable cells was determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. \ast , $p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between stably transfected **NE0** control cells and full-length BAG-1 overexpressing cells. A. Dose-dependence. Cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of cisplatin for 48 h. B. Time-dependence. Cells were exposed to 5μ M cisplatin for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.20. Effect of **BAG-1** on dose- and tirne-dependent induction of apoptosis by doxorubicin in **C33A-BAG-1** versus **C33A-NEO** cells. The percentage of viable cells **was** determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*$, p < 0.05, is the statistical significance of the difference in cell viability between stably transfected NE0 control celIs and fùll-length **BAG-1** overexpressing cells. **A.** Dose-dependence. Cells were treated **with** the îndicated concentrations of doxorubicin for 48 h. B. Time-dependent. Cells were exposed to $1 \mu M$ doxorubicin for the indicated periods.

Figure 3.21. Effect of BAG-1 on induction of apoptosis by etoposide, UV irradiation and heat shock in **C33A-BAG-1** versus **C33A-NE0** cells. The percentage of viable cells **was** determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. \ast , $p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in ce11 viability between stably transfected **NE0** control cells and full-Iength BAG-1 overexpressing cells. A. Dose-dependent induction of apoptosis by etoposide. CelIs were treated with the indicated concentrations of etoposide for 48 h. B. Induction of **ce11** death by UV irradiation and heat shock. Cells were subjected to heat shock at 45 ^oC for 45 minutes or 50 mJ/cm² UV irradiation, and then were returned to normal culture for 48 hours.

overexpression of BAG-1 failed to protect the cervical C33A cells fiom apoptosis induced by actinomycin D or paclitaxel (Figure 3.22).

3.8. Isolation and identification of BAG-1-interacting proteins

Previous studies have indicated that BAG-1 interacts **with** various proteins and modulates their functions (see section 1.4; Figure 1.7). It appears that BAG-1 plays an important role in signal transduction pathways in apoptosis. However, how BAG-1 accomplishes these multiple functions is still unclear. To further characterize BAG-1 and understand its role in regulating apoptosis, screening a **human** keratinocyte cDNA library **was** conducted to clone novel BAG-1-interacting proteins using the yeast two-hybrid system.

Because BAG-1 full-length (p50) had not been cloned in the laboratory before the cDNA library screening, BAG-1 p46 isoform **was** used as bait for interacting proteins in the yeast two-hybrid **system** (Figure 3.23). 18 positive clones were isolated ftom approximately 2.5×10^6 yeast transformants. After verification of 17 positive clones (Figure 3-24), both strands of the cDNA obtained were sequenced and analyzed for sequence homology **through** the Basic Alignment Search Tool **(BLAST)** in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Databases. One 2406 bp and two 1822 bp cDNA sequences obtained fiom positive clones were found to be Hsp70 (Figure 3.25A and Table 3.4). **Two** 2589 bp cDNA sequences obtained fiom positive clones **was** found to be Hsp70-2 (Figure **3.25B** and Table 3.4). One 1832 bp and **ten** 1530 bp cDNA sequences obtained from positive clones were found to be Hsc70 pseudogene (Figure

Figure 3.22. Effect of **BAG-1** on induction of apoptosis by actinomycin D and paclitaxel in **C33A-BAG-1** versus **C33A-NE0** cells. Cells were seeded and incubated with the indicated concentrations of actinomycin D or paclitaxel for 48 hours. The percentage of viable cells was determined by **trypan** blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. \ast , $p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in ce11 viability between stably transfected **NE0** control cells and full-length BAG-1 overexpressing cells. A. By actinomycin D. B. By paclitaxel.

105

Yeast colonies on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His agar plate $(2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ transformants}/50 \text{ plates})$

P-galactosidase filter liR **assay**

Figure 3.23. Representative result of screening and identifying possible BAG-1 interacting-protein positive clones from the yeast two hybrid system. A. Yeast colones **on SD/-Trp/-LeuM3ïs agar plate. B. fi-galatosidase filter** Mt **assay result @lue wlor) for the same colony indicated on A and B by arrows.**

pACT2-Hsp70Y $pAS2-1-BAG-1$

pACT2-Hsp70Y pAS2-1-lamin

pACT2-Hsp70Y $pAS2-1$

Figure 3.24. Representative results of verification of BAG-1-interacting-protein positive **clones from the yeast two hybnd systern. BAG-I was the bait and lamin and pAS2-1** vector plasmids was negative controls to verify the specificity of the interaction between BAG-1 and Hsp70Y. A. Yeast colones on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His agar plates. B. β **galatusidase filter lie assay result.**

Figure 3.25. **BAG-1-interacting-protein** cDNAs clones **indenticdly** to previously **known** sequemes. A. **Hsp70** (top) and **two cDNA** clones (bottom). B. **Hsp70-2** (top) and a **cDNA** clone . C. **Hsc70** pseudogene (top) **and** two cDNA ciones (bottom).

3.25C and Table 3.4). Furthemore, one 5340 bp cDNA sequence **was** found to be identical to Hsc70 at the both 5' and 3' ends. However, the total length of the cDNA is much longer **than** Hsc7O **mRNA.,** indicating **that** this cDNA **may** be **différent** fiom *Hic70* and **any** other *Hsp70* genes. Therefore, **this** 5340 bp **cDNA was** a candidate novel heat shock protein **(Hsp)** gene cDNA **and** arbitrarily **named** *Hsp70Y* **(Figure** 3.26 and Table 3.4).

Table 3.4. Positive BAG-Linteracting cDNA clones identified fiom Keratinocyte **MATCHMAKE** cDNA **library using** yeast **two-hybrid system**

Identity	Clone numbers	Size (bp)	
Hsp70		2406	
	$\overline{2}$	1822	
$Hsp70-2$	$\overline{2}$	2589	
Hsc70 pseudogene		1832	
	10	1530	
Hsp70Y		5340	

3.9. Mutation analysis of BAG-1 functional domain for interaction with Hsp70 and Hsp7û-2

3.9.1. In vitro interaction

The **BAG-1 domains** involved **in** the interaction of **BAG-1 with** Hsp70 and Hsp70-2 were characterzed using *in vitro* protein binding assays. GST-Hsp70 and GST-Hsp70-2

Figure 3.26. A candidate novel heat shock protein farnily member identifëd as BAG-Iinteracting-protein.

were prepared (Figure 3.27). GST-BAG-1 wild-type and **mutated** fusion proteins were kindly provided by Dr. Xiaolong Yang. ³⁵S-labeled *in vitro* transcribed/translated (IVT) proteins were prepared (Figure 3.28A and **B).** GST-fusion proteins immobilized on glutathione sepharose were tested for binding to "s-labeled IVT proteins. **IVT-Hsp70** or IVT-Hsp70-2 bound in **vitro** to GST-BAG-1 **p46** (amino acids 72 to 349, GST-BAG-1 p33 **(amino** acids 116 to 345), GST-BAG-1 **p29** (amino **acids** 139 to 349, GST-BAG-1AN4 (amino acids 200 to 349, but not to GST-BAG-lAC1 **(amino acids** 72 **to** 320), GST-BAG-1AC2 (amino acids 72 to 219), GST-BAG-lAC3 **(amino** acids 72 to **156),** or GST negative controls (Figure **3.29** and 3.30). **Similarly,** GST-Hsp70, or GST-Hsp70-2 bound to IVT-BAG-1 p50 **(amino** acids 1 to 345) but not to GST negative control (Figure 3.31). These results indicated that all of the **four** isoforms of BAG-1 are able to interact **with** Hsp70 or Hsp70-2 and the carboxyl-terminal 145 amino acids **(amino** acids 200 to 345) are important in the mediation of the interaction.

Hsp70 **family** members contain two major domains: the amino-terminal 44 kDa ATPase domain and carboxyl-terminal domain *(Sriram et al., 1997)*. To determine whether the **Hsp70** ATPase domain **was** responsible for the interaction between BAG-1 and Hsp70, sequences encoding this Hsp70 ATPase **domain** were **generated** by PCR and inserted into the expression vector pCR3.1. IVT-Hsp70 ATPase domain was found to interact **with** GST-BAG-1 p50 (Figure 3.3 **1).**

3.9.2. In *vivo* **interaction**

The yeast two-hybrid system is **highly** sensitive, and cm be used to assay the

Figure 3.27. GST-Hsp70 and GST-Hsp70-2 preparation. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) **was used to determine the concentration of GST-Hsp70 or GST-Hsp70-2. A Coomassie** Brilliant Blue R250 stained SDS-polyacrylamide gel is shown.

Figure 3.28. In *vitro* transciption/transIation **of proteins used in BAG-L-Hsp70s in vitro interaction assays. A. In vitro transcripted/translated Hsp70 (WT-Hsp70) and Hsp70-2 (NT-Hsp70-2). Lysate was loaded as a negative control, and NT-luciferase was positive** control. B. In vitro transcripted/translated ATPase domain of Hsp70 [IVT-Hsp70 (ATPase)], BAG-1 p50 [IVT-BAG-1 (p50)], and BAG-1 p46 [IVT-BAG-1 (p46)]. **Lysate was loaded as a negative control, and IVT-Hsp70 was positive control.**

Figure 3.29. In vitro assay of interaction between Hsp7O and BAG-1. GST protein was used as a negative control. **IVT-Hsp70 was directly loaded as positive** control.

Figure 3.30. In vitro assay of interaction between Hsp70-2 and BAG-1. GST protein was **used as a negative control. INV-Hsp70 was directly loaded as positive eontrol.**

Figure 3.31. In *vitro* **assay** of interaction between **BAG-1 and Hsp70 ATPase** domain. Binding buffer, **IVT-Luciferase,** or GST protein **were used** as negative controls. NT-**BAG-1 p50 was directly toaded** as positive control.

interaction of short peptides with proteins. Moreover, the assays are performed in eukaryotic yeast cells, which produce proteins with a conformation more closely resembling human proteins than those produced in the bacterial system. To further characterize the structural domain of BAG-1 responsible for the interaction between BAG-1 and Hsp70 or Hsp70-2, BAG-1 p50, p46, p33 and **p29** isoforms, and a series of nested BAG-1 deletion mutations were generated by **PCR** (Figure 3.32) and inserted infiame with the GAIA BD to the **pAS2-1** plasmid. The interaction of the different isoforms and deletion mutations of BAG-1 with Hsp7O **was** detected by the yeast twohybrid system (Figure 3.33). Table **3.5** sumarizes the interactions in the yeast twohybrid system. These results indicated that the carboxyl-terminal 30 amino acids from 3 **15** to 345 are responsible for the interaction between BAG-1 and Hsp70 or Hsp70-2.

3.10. Effect of BAG-1 on Hsp70s-mediated protein refolding activity in vivo

To **examine** whether the interaction of BAG-1 with **Nsp7O** chaperones affects Hsp70 chaperone-mediated protein refolding function, C33A-BAG-1 and C33A-NEO cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing luciferase **and** Bgalactosidase. The luciferase and β -galactosidase expressing cells were subjected to heat-shock to partially inactivate luciferase and β -galactosidase enzymatic activity. After returning the cells to 37 °C for 30 minutes, luciferase activity in C33A-BAG-1 cells was 1.68 fold compared to that before recovery. After 60 minutes, luciferase activity **was** 1.83 fold that **prior** to recovery. In cornparison, luciferase activity in C33A-NE0 ceils **was** 1.37 fold and 1.42 fold at 30 minutes and 60 minutes after recovery. Thus,

Figure 332. Analysis of PCR products of BAG-1 isoforms and deletion mutants. An ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel is shown.

Figure 3.33. In vivo interaction between BAG-1 deletion mutants and Hsp70. β **galactosidase filter** lift **assays. A. Interactions** between **Hsp7O and BAG-1 isoforms and** BAG-1 Δ 1, Δ 2 and Δ 3 mutants were detected. B. No interaction between Hsp70 and BAG-1 Δ 4 **to** Δ 8 mutants was detected.

Plasmid (amino acids)		pACT2	pACT2- Hsp70	pACT2- Hsp70-2
	ND			
$pAS2-1$ (-)				
pAS2-1-BAG-1 p50 (1-345)			$+$	$+$
pAS2-1-BAG-1 p46 (72-345)			\ddag	$\ddot{}$
pAS2-1-BAG-1 p33 (116-345)			\ddag	\ddag
pAS2-1-BAG-1 p29 (139-345)			$+$	$\ddot{}$
$pAS2-I-BAG-1\Delta1(200-345)$			$\ddot{}$	$+$
$pAS2-1-BAG-1\Delta2 (286-345)$			\div	$+$
pAS2-1-BAG-1Δ3 (315-345)			\ddag	\div
pAS2-1-BAG-1Δ4 (72-320)				
$pAS2-1-BAG-1\Delta5$ (72-291)				
pAS2-1-BAG-1Δ6 (72-215)				
$pAS2-I-BAG-1\Delta7 (72-156)$				
pAS2-1-BAG-1 Δ 8 (72-121)				

Table 3.5. Deletion mutation analysis of the functionai domain of human BAG-1

kp70-2 in the yeast two-hybrid system

overexpression of BAG-1 in C33A-BAG-1 cells (Figure 3.18) led to a small, but significant increase in luciferase refoldïng (Figure 3.34A). **Similarly,** the expression of BAG-1 led to a small but significant increase in the refolding of B-galactosidase. compared with that of C33A-NE0 control ceiis (Figure 3.34B). B-Galactosidase activity in C33A-BAG-1 **was** found to recover 1.59 fold BAG-1 after refolding for 30 minutes and 1.90 fold after refolding for 60 minutes, whereas β -galactosidase activity in C33A-NEO recovered only 1.05 fold and 1.28 fold at 30 and 60 minutes, respectively (Figure 3.34B).

3.11. Effect of overexpression of Hsp70 or Hsp70-2 in cervical C33A cells on sensitivity to apop totic stimuli

Although induction of hsp70 protein synthesis led to an enhancement of apoptosis in human leukemia **cells** (Chant et al., 1996) and other ceU types (Murdoch, 1995; **Furlini** *et* al., 1994, Galea-Lauri *et* al., 1996), Hsp7O chaperones are thought to play cytoprotective roles in most types of celis during **times** of stress by inhibithg apoptosis (Polla *et* al., 1996).

To determine the role of Hsp70 in cervical cell apoptosis, C33A cells were transfected with a plasmid expressing Hsp70 (pCR3.1-Hsp70) or Hsp70-2 (pCR3.1-Hsp70-2), or the control plasmid (pCR3.1), and stable clones overexpressing Hsp70s were obtained (Figure 3.35).

When challenged with 45 minutes of heat shock at 45°C, C33A cells overexpressing Hsp70s displayed enhanced survival compared with control transfectants

121

Figure 3.34. Effect of BAG-1 on In vivo protein refolding in **C33A** cells following heat shock. BAG-1 promotes Hsp70s mediated refolding of denatured luciferase and βgalactosidase in **vivo. C33A-NE0** and **C33A-BAG-l** cells were transiently transfected **with** pSV-luciferase and pSV-B-galactosidase. One day after transfection, cells were distributed into ce11 culture tubes **and** cultured for one more day, **and** then subjected to 42°C heat shock for 45 minutes. After returning cells to 37°C culture for 30 minutes or 60 minutes to allow protein refolding, the cells were lysed for detection of enzymatic activity. The luciferase or β -galactosidase activities before heat shock were taken as 100%. Results represent the mean \pm the standard deviation from three independent experiments. \ast , $p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in relative enzymatic activity between stably transfected NEO control cells and full-length BAG-1 overexpressing cells. A. luciferase. B. B-galactosidase.

Refolding time (min)

Figure 3.35. Hsp70s protein expression in C33A-hsp70 and C33A-hsp70-2. B-acth was an interna: control.

(Figure 3.36). It was also found that Hsp70s overexpression led to the inhibition of ce11 death induced by various chemotherapeutic drugs and UV irradiation (Figure 3.36).

 \sim

Figure **336.** Effect of overexpressing of **Hsp7Os** on induction of apoptosis by different agents. Cells exposed to heat shock at 45 $^{\circ}$ C for 45 minutes or 50 mJ/cm² UV irradiation and then returned to 37 $^{\circ}$ C culture for 48 hours. Cells were also treated with 5 pM **cisplatin,** 0.25 **pM** doxorubicin, 40 **pM** paclitaxel, 25 nM actinomycin D or 20 **pM** etoposide for 48 hours. The percentage of viable cells **was** detennined by **trypan** blue dye exclusion assays. Results represent the mean f : the standard deviation from three independent experiments. $*, p < 0.05$, is the statistical significance of the difference in ce11 **viability** between stably transfected NE0 control cells and full-length BAG-1 overexpressing ceils.

CHAPTER 4

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

4.1. Cisplatin treatment of hnman endocervical ceils immortalized by HPV16 and the multidrug-resistant phenotype

Cisplatin was discovered in the 1800s. Its biologic activity was first noted by Rosenberg in 1961. **After** multiple preclinical trials, it **was** released for clinical use in 1972 (Eustace, 1980). Since that time, **it has** become one of the most commonly used chemotherapy drugs **and** it is efficacious in a multitude **of** cancers.

Cisplatin is one of the most effective chemotherapeutic agents in treating cervical cancer. However, the response to cisplatin is generally short in duration, **and** acquired drug resistance is the greatest obstacle to the success of chemotherapy.

The cellular mechanisms of drug resistance depend upon altered levels or function of key gene products. These alterations may result from changes that occur at any point along the pathways of gene expression and regulation. Indeed, multiple molecular processes have been shown to be **involved** in examples **of drug** resistance, including altered drug influx and efflux, altered drug metabolism, altered drug targets, and altered cellular response to their damage (see section **1.2).** The prevalence of these changes reflects the phenotypic and genetic instability of cancer cells under the mutagenic pressure of antineoplastic agents. This **acquired drug** resistance may resuit fiom the selection **of** clones originally insensitive to the **drug** or **hm** the induction of resistance through the disruption or modulation of gene expression.

The endocervix is the origin of over 95% of cervical tumors- A **human** endocervical in **vitro system has** been used to study the role of *HPV* in the oncogenesis of endocervical cancer. ft **has** provided the direct evidence that linked **HPV** to immortalization and **fùrther** transformation of the HPV-immortalized cervical ceils by carcinogens including smoking (Yang 1996a). In vitro endocervical MDR systems, however, have not yet been estabfished to mimic and study acquired **MDR** in endocervical cancers.

The sensitivity **to** chemotherapeutic drugs is determined by a varïety of cellular factors, including **drug** uptake and retention, ability to **repair** damage and propensity to undergo irreversible growth arrest or apoptosis. Drug treatment can inbibit tumor growth in at least two distinct ways: irreversible growth arrest and apoptosis. Irreparable damage to chromosomes prevents celi division, and therefore cells **will** no longer be able to generate progeny. This process occurs **in al1** ce11 types and is sometimes referred to as mitotic death. In some ce11 types, the cellular **damage may trigger** apoptosis. For both effects of drug treatment, the indicator for success or failure of anti-cancer therapy is whether cells can survive the onslaught of drug treatment and retain the capacity to divide. Therefore, drug resistance of tumors is often determined by clonogenic survival of drug-treated cells.

As was expected, our clonogenic **survival** assays indicated that the cisplatin-treated endocervical cells acquired resistance to cisplatin (Figure 3.1A). Moreover, these cisplatin-resistant cells also acquired cross-resistance to paclitaxel (Figure 3.1 B), an anticamer **dmg** stnicturaUy **and** fûnctionaiiy **diffierent** fiom **cisplatin.** Therefore, cisplatin

treatment of human endocervical cells immortalized by HPV16 conferred an MDR phenotype.

An in *vitro* endocervical ceii **system** mimicking drug-resistance acquisition **in vivo** will be advantageous to study the molecular **meçhaanism** underlying the development of **drug** resistance. However, in **vitra cell** monolayers and **in** vivo epitheia are markediy different. The status and expression of HPV genes, three-dimensional cell-cell interactions and **other** cofactors, such as hormones, growth factors and the host immune surveillance, are some key differences (Herrington, 1995). Despite this limitation, the in *witro* **model system in this study remains a useful model to analyze the cellular** mechanisms of acquired MDR in cervical cancer, particularly in understanding the role of apoptosis in endocervical cells carcinogenesis and acquired **MDR.**

4.2. Response of endocervical MDR cells and parental cells to various apoptotic stimuIi

Clinical **studies with** patients suffiring **fiom** acute myeloid leukemia have identined a correlation between **high** levels of Bcl-2 protein and a poor prognosis for the outcome of chemotherapy (Campos et **al.,** 1993). Also, experiments have shown that over-expression of Bcl-2 or the absence of p53 can significantly increase clonogenic sumival of at least some types of turnour cells after radiation or dmg treatment **(Lowe et** al., 1993a; b; Strasser et al., 1994). These results provide evidence that abnormalities in apoptosis control can influence the sensitivity of cancer celis to chemotherapeutic dmgs (section **1.2.2.6).** Overexpression of anti-apoptotic proteins in some types of cells causes

resistance to nearly all apoptotic stimuli, conferring an MDR phenotype that differs from other types of drug-resistance mechanisms (Reed, 1998b).

To determine whether the inhibition of apoptosis is the major mechanism of the MDR of endocervical cells, HEN-16-2/CDDP, further experiments were conducted to study the response of multidrug resistant endocervical cells **and their** parental celis to various apoptotic stimuli, including therapeutic drugs, heat shock, UV light irradiation **and anti-Fas** antibody.

4.2.1. Induction of apoptosis by cancer chemotherapeutic agents

In a **study** investigating the mechanism of action of etoposide (a topo II inhibitor), it was found that etoposide induced internucleosomal DNA fragmentation **(Kaufmann**, 1989). This observation raised the possibility that etoposide causes apoptosis. Since **then,** the spectnim of chemotherapy agents causing apoptosis **has** expanded, and the evidence **supporfing** the role of apoptosis in chernotherapy continues to accumulate. The chemotherapeutic agents that have been identified as apoptosis-inducing include etoposide, dexamehasone, cisplatin, paclitaxel, **5-FU,** doxorubicin **ATRA** and **4-HPR (Kaaann,** 1989; **Walker et** al., 1991; **Shinomiya et** al., **1994;** Havrilesky **et** al., 1995; Huschtscha **et** al., 1996). The occurrence of apoptosis **has** been documented by demonstration of endonucleosomal DNA breakdown and other biochemical and morphologie criteria of apoptosis.

In **vivo** studies have also provided evidence that chemotherapeutic agents induce apoptotic tumor ceil deah. For example, a retinoic acid-treated T-ceil lymphoma **was**

shown to undergo apoptosis in **vivo** (Su et al., 1993). In a **shidy** of esophageai squamous cell carcinoma, it was shown that both radiation and chemotherapy (5-FU, cisplatin, and bleomycin) induced apoptotic cell death *in vivo*, as determined by examination of biopsy specimens (Moreira et *al.,* 1995). In **vitro** and in **vivo studies** clearly show the induction of apoptosis by chemotherapeutic agents in various cell lines **and** tumors. In this study, compared with HEN-16-2, endocervical MDR HEN-16-2/CDDP cells showed a significant inhibition of apoptosis induced by cisplatin (Figure 3.2 and 3.3), actinomycin D (Figure 3.5), doxorubicin (Figure 3.6), etoposide (Figure 3.7), paclitaxel (Figure 3.8), **5-FU** (Figure 3.9A), staurosporine (Figure 3.9B), **ATRA** (Figure 3.10A) **and 4-HPR** (Figure 3.10B). These **result** suggest that inhibition of apoptosis **might** be responsible for the MDR phenotype of HEN-16-2/CDDP cells.

4.2.2. Induction of apoptosis by W irradiation

A **variety** of extrinsic and intrinsic signals cm trigger apoptosis, including environmental stress such as UV (Sachs **and** Lotem, 1993; Buttke and Sandstrom, 1994; Kyriakis et al., 1994; Thompson, 1995). In this study, HEN-16-2/CDDP showed inhibition of apoptosis induced by 50 mJ/cm² dose UV (Figure 3.11), further suggesting that the phenotype of this MDR of human endocervical cells may be due to the inhibition of apoptosis, rather **than** by overexpression of **Pgp** or other classic **MDR** proteins.

4.2.3. Induction of apoptosis by heat shock

The **spectnun** of tissue susceptibility to apoptosis induction by heat shock is

essentially similar to cancer therapeutic agents and radiation (Allan and Harmon, 1986; **Barry** et **al.,** 1990; Sellins and Cohen, 199 1; Takano et **al,** 1991;). In **this study,** HEN-16-UCDDP cells **were** more resistant **than** parental **ceIis** to apoptosis induced by heat shock at 45 ^oC for 45 minutes (Figure 3.11). This result supports the concept that inhibition of apoptosis may cause the MDR phenotype found in human endocervical cells.

4.2.4. Induction of apoptosis by anti-Fas antibody

Fas (also caiied **CD95),** a receptor for **Fas** ligand **(FasL),** belongs to the TNF receptor family, and transduces the FasL apoptotic signal into cells (Nagata and Golstein, 1995). The molecular mechanism for Fas-induced apoptosis is currently being elucidated. Aggregation of the **Fas** receptor by binding to **FasL,** or by crosslinking **with an anti-Fas** antibody induces the formation of a death-inducing signaling complex **(DISC)** of proteins composed of **Fas, an** adaptor calied **FADD,** and the inactive profonn of caspase-8 (Kischkel et al., 1995; Nunez et *al.,* 1998). Oligomerization of procaspase-8 in DISC seems to induce self-processing into the mature, active, p20 **and** pl0 subunits of caspase-8 protease. **The activated** caspase-8 is **then** released **fkom** DISC, **and** activates other downstream caspases by proteolytic cleavage of their zymogen forms (Medema et al., 1997).

In many cells, overexpression of anti-apoptotic proteins, such as $Be1-2$ and $Be1-X_L$, inhibits apoptosis induced by a variety of stimuli, including anti-Fas antibody (Cory, 1995; Korsmeyer, 1995; Reed, 1997). Consistently, the cell **death** rate induced by **anti-** Fas antibody is less in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells than HEN-16-2 cells (Figure 3.11), further suggesting that resistance of HEN-16-2/CDDP to multiple drugs is due to the dysregulation in apoptosis pathways, but less likely due to the enhanced **drug** efflwc, enhanced drug detoxification, altered **dmg** targets, or altered cellular damage repair.

4.3. Evidence of tumorigenicity of cervical cells by anticancer drug cisplatin

It **has** been **weII** recognized that cervical carcinogenesis is a multistage process in which **HPV** and other co-factors are necessary for the full malignant transformation of primary cervical cells (zur Hausen, 1991; 1994; Herrington, 1995). Many carcinogens, such as those in cigarette smoke, have been demonstrated to be risk factors for cervical cancer (J?hillips and Smith, 1994; **Yang,** et al., **1996a; Nakao et** al., 1996).

The HEN-16-2/CDDP cell **line** pcssesses the general **growth** characteristics of cervical tumors (Li et al., 1992): **faster growth** in medium containing senun **and** a **high** calcium level, higher saturation density, and anchorage-independent growth (Figures 3.13, 14 and Table 3.1). Moreover, **HEN-16-2/CDDP** cells fonned tumors in nude **mice,** whereas a similar passage of untreated HEN-16-2 cells remained non-tumorigenic in nude mice (Figure 3.15 and Table 3.2). These results provided the first in vitro evidence that cisplatin treatment can further transform HPV-immortalized endocervical cells, supporting the hypothesis that cisplatin **has** carcinogenic potential (Greene, 1992).

The carcinogenic potential of dmgs used in cancer **therapy has** been recognized for decades (Haddow et al., 1948). In laboratory animals, cisplatin **has** been found to produce malignancies, notably acute leukemia (Kaldor et al., 1988, Barnhart and Bowden, 1985;

Kempf and Ivankovic, 1986). Cisplatin-associated second malignancies were found to develop in **many** cancer patients (see **review** Green, 1992).

DNA **damage** upon cisplatin treatment rnay occur in **both** neoplastic and nonneoplastic cells. Lethal **injury** to neoplastic cens is obviously the **desired** effect; however, non-neoplastic cells may also be killed or damaged. If the nonlethal DNA damage in normal cells can be faithfully repaired, the cells return to normal. However, if the cellular damage cannot be repaired, nomal cells will undergo apoptosis. Altematively. the **damaged** cells **may have undergone initial** stages **of** oncogenic progression. Such ceils may enter the ceU cycle again without DNA **damage** repair, thus mutation occurs in these cells. Then, if the accumulated mutations cause an upregulation of **cell proliferation** or downregulation of apoptosis, new primary neoplastic cells can arise. Carcinoma *in situ* can then progress to invasion and metastasis if the host defenses are compromised.

When **the HPV16** E6 protein is expressed in cervical cells, baseiine p53 protein levels are reduced dramatically **following** ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis through interaction **with** E6 (section **1.3.2).** This causes the loss of cell cycle **arrest** following DNA damage (Kessis et al., 1993; Demers et al., 1994; Foster et al., 1994; Hickman et al., **1994;** SIebos et al., **1994).** Inactivation of p53 by the **HPV16 E6** protein **was** found to increase the rate of mutagenesis (Havre **et** ai., **1995).** Thus, the inactivation of p53 function by HPV16 E6 in HEN-16-2 cells allows the survival of cells mutated by cisplatin **treatrnent that** would otherwise be eliminatd by apoptosis.

Therefore, high-risk HPVs may indirectly contribute to cervical tumorigenesis by

promoting genomic instability and the accumulation of mutations in HPV-infected cells **der** treatment **with** cispiatin.

In view of the fact that many human premalignancies contain disabled or dysregulated genes such as **p53** that effectively induce apoptosis or Bcl-2 that effectively block apoptosis, clonogenic cells surviving such genotoxic treatment in **vivo** are likely to iinduce mutations contributing to progression of a **benign** disease as a paradoxical consequence of attempts to eradicate a **malignant** one. Therefore, the use of carcinogenic dmgs for cancer treatment needs clear justification according to the susceptibility of cells **to** the DNA-damage-induced apoptosis **versus** oncogenic mutation. The benefits fiom the cancer therapy should be carefully evaluated. Abrogation of apoptosis provides a double hazard of tumorigenicity and multidrug-resistance in the face of genotoxic therapy, such **as** cisplatin.

4.4. Role of apoptosis-related cellular genes In multidrng resistance and tumorigenesis of hnman endocervical ceils

Recent **clinical** studies have shown a strong correlation between apoptosis and progression of premalignant cervical lesions (Isacson et al., 1996; Shoji et al., 1996). Celldar, but not **HPV,** genes regulating apoptosis such as the bcl-2 family, are considered ta be important for this correlation (Shoji et al., 1996; Pillai *er* al., 1996; Yang et **al.,** L998b). However, the precise role of apoptosis-associated genes in this oncogenic progression is still poorly understood. One purpose of this study **was to** determine whether the expression of apoptosis-associated proteins varied during the process of **acquired MDR** and tumorigenesis induced by the anticancer **dmg** cisplatin. The expression of these anti-apoptotic proteins, Bcl-X_L and BAG-1 p50 and p33 isoforms, increased significantly in HEN-16-2/CDDP, indicating that these proteins may be involved in the aquired **MDR and** tumorigenesis of **human** endocervical cells. In contrast, the levels of the apoptosis-promoting proteins p53, **Bak, and Bax** varied little when the expression of each **was** compared in HEN-16-2 **and** HEN-16-2/CDDP.

Deletions or **mutations** of **the** tumor suppressor gene, p53, have been detected in a rnajority of various common human cancers (Hollstein **et** al., 1991; Levine **et** al., 1991; Levine, 1997). p53 **was** found to play an important role in apoptosis; for example, the p53 protein is **required** for DNA damage-induced apoptosis in lymphocytes and colonic epithelial cells (Clarke *et* al., 1993; Lowe *et* al., 1993a; Clarke *et* al., 1994; Strasser **et** al., 1994). Loss of p53 function was reported to cause resistance to apoptosis induced by DNA-damaging reagents in various human cells **(Zhan** et al., 1994). Conversely, overexpression of p53 **was** shown to induce apoptosis in **certain** cell types (Oren, 1994; Yonish-Rouach et al., 1991; 1995). It **has** become clear that the p53 response varies not only according to the insulting stimulus but also according to the tissue and cell type involved (Midgley **et** al., 1995; MacCallum **et** al., 1996). Although much data regarding p53 is **now available** (over 10,000 p53-related papers published since 1992), the precise mechanism of p53 fimction is still uncertain.

Since p53 expression **varied** Iittle in HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP (Figure 3.17 and Table 3.3), expression levels of Bax and Bak were examined. They are Bcl-2 family members that antagonize the function of Bcl-2 and promote apoptosis under conditions of

stress (Oltvai **et** al., 1993). **Bax** was show to be downstream of p53 and transactivated by p53 (Mïyashita et al., 1994; 1995). Like p53, **Bax** can fiinction as a tumor-suppressor gene by inducing apoptosis in tumor cells (Yin et al., 1997). In support of this hypothesis, inactivation of **Bax** accelerated transformation of epithelial ceUs in transgenic mice expressing a truncated SV40 large T antigen that inactivates the tumor suppressor **pRB** but not p53 (Yin et al., 1997). Bax and Bcl-2 appear to have intrinsic independent functions as effectors of apoptosis and survival, respectively. The evidence includes observations of mutants of **Bax** and Bcl-2 that are incapable of **dimerizing,** but still display antagonistic activity towards each other and remain capable of inducing or repressing apoptosis, respectively (Cheng et al., 1996; **Sirnonian et** al., 1996a,b; 1997; **Zha** and Reed, 1997; Wang et al., 1998;). **Experiments** with knock-out mice demonstrated that Bax promoted cell death in the absence of Bcl-2 (Knudson and Korsmeyer, 1997).

No changes were **fond** in the expression of **Bax** or **Bak proteins** when comparing HEN-16-2 with **HEN-16-UCDDP** celIs (Figure 3.17 and Table **3.3),** suggesting that dysregdation of apoptosis may not be caused by dysregulated expression of **Bax** or **Bak.** This was consistent with results using the HEN-16-2 ceil **line** transformed by CSC **(Yang et** al., 1996a; 1998b).

Overexpression of Bcl-2 renders pre-B lymphocyte cells resistant to apoptosis (Vaux et al., 1988). Recently, high levels of Bcl-2 mRNA or protein were found in neuroblastoma, lymphoma, breast, lung, prostate and cervical cancers (Haldar et al., 1994; Ikegaki et al., 1994, Bargou et al., 1995, Liang et al., 1995; McDonnell et al.,

1992; Reed *et al.*, 1991; Yang *et al.*, 1998b). However, no mutations in Bcl-2 were found. Overexpression of Bcl-2 **was** found to prevent **cell death** induced by a wide variety of apoptotic stimuli, including chemo therapeutic **drugs** (White, 1 **996;** Reed, 1994). The expression of Bcl-2 **was** found to be progressively enhanced der **primary** cells were immortalized by HPV16 and again **after** the **imrnortalized** cells were transformed by CSC **(Yang** *et* al., 1998b), suggesting that abnomal activation of the Bcl-2 **gene** was involved in cervical **ceil** irnmortalization by HPV16 **and** late events of cervical tumorigenesis induced by CSC. In this study, however, the expression of Bcl-2 was found to be not significantly different between HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2/CDDP (Figure 3.17 and Table 3.3). Therefore, in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells, dysregulation of apoptosis may be caused by altered expression of other apoptosis-related genes.

Two splice forms of Bcl-X, Bcl-X_L and Bcl-X_S, have different functions: Bcl-X_L is the longer form **and** has an anti-apoptotic **effect,** whereas Bcl-Xs is the shorter form and promotes apoptosis (Boise et al., 1993). Overexpression of Bcl-X_L mRNA and protein **was** reported in **human** 1ung cancer cell lines, lymphomas, colorectal adenocarcinornas, gastric cancers and cervical cancers (Kirose *et al.,* 1997; Krajewska *et* al., 1996; Kondo *et al.*, 1996; Reeve *et al.*, 1996; Xerri *et al.*, 1996; Yang *et al.*, 1998b). Bcl-X_L was the predominant form of Bcl-X expressed in human cervical cells, and it was overexpressed in both the HPV16-immortalized and the CSC-transformed ce11 lines; whereas the expression of Bcl-Xs protein was undetectable in all the **ceil** lines (Yang *et* al., 1998b). Consistent with these observations, the expression of Bcl-X_L was found to be higher in HEN- 16-2/CDDP **than** HEN- 16-2 celis (Figure 3.17 and Table 3 **-3).**

BAG-1 is **a** gene which **was** isolated through the interaction of its protein with Bcl-2 (section 1.4). Cotransfection of BAG-1 with Bcl-2 **was** shown to increase the protection from cell death induced by several stimuli, including staurosporine, anti-Fas antibody, cytolytic T celIs, and cytokine withdrawal **(Takayama** et al., 1995; Clevenger **et** al., 1997). BAG-1 is not a member of the Bcl-2 family and may have unique function in protection fiom apoptosis. Overexpression of BAG-1 protected GM701 immortalized fibroblasts from heat shock-induced cell death, but did not similarly protect 293 human kidney epithelial cells **(Takayama et** al., 1997).

Recently, BAG-1 **was** found to be overexpressed in **human** cervical carcinoma cell lines **and** tissues. Enhanced resistance to apoptosis induced **by** staurosporine **was** found to correlate well with expression of the BAG-1 p5O isoform **in** human cervical cells. Further study has indicated that the overexpression of BAG-1 p50 in cervical carcinoma C33A cells enhanced the resistance to apoptosis induced by staurosporine (Yang **et** al., 1999b). In agreement with **these** hdings, the expression of BAG-1 p50, **and** p33 isoforms **was** higher in HEN-16-2/CDDP **than** in HEN-16-2. However, in HEN-16-2 cells and HEN-16-2/CDDP cells, the expression level of the p46 isoform of BAG-1 is similar (Figure 3.17 and Table 3.3), and the shortest isoform of BAG-1, p29 was undetectable (Figure 3.17).

Consistent with the effects of staurosporine, in this **study,** overexpression of BAG-1 p50 in cervical C33A cells conferred resistance to apoptosis induced by anticancer drugs including cisplatin, doxorubicin and etoposide (Figure 3.19, 20, 21). Also, this overexpression conferred resistance to apoptosis induced by UV and heat shock (Figure

3.21). However, it failed to protect C33A cells from apoptosis induced by two other anticancer drugs, actinomycin D and Paclitaxel (Figure 3.22). To further determine whether BAG-1 or Bcl- X_L play a direct role in the process of multidrug resistance and carcinogenesis of HPV16-immortalized endocervical ceils, it would be interesting to overexpress Bcl-X_L or BAG-1 different isoforms in HEN-16 cells and assay oncogenic properties and the pheotype of drug-resistance.

The precise roles and mechanisms of BAG-1 in regulating apoptosis **in** cervical cells are complex and not well understood. Avenues to be considered are the functional interactions of BAG-1 **with** other apoptosis-related proteins (Figure 1.7).

The recognition that **the** expression of apoptosis-related genes can be regulated by various biologic response modifiers, such as retinoids, cytokines, and growth factors, suggests opportunities for modulating apoptosis by combination chemotherapy. Because different chemotherapeutic agents have different mechanisms of action to induce apoptosis, it would be of interest to examine their efficacies in various combinations in the induction of apoptosis in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells.

Alternatively, antisense approaches to downregulate protein expression could be envisioned. Indeed, sequence-specific down-regulation of $Bcl-2$ or $Bcl-X_L$ expression in *vitro* **has** been reported to markedly enhance sensitivity to chemotherapeutic dmgs *(Campos et* **a[.,** *1994;* Kitada *et* **al.,** 1994; ZiegIer et *al.,* 1997; Taylor *et* al., 1999). Therefore, it would be interesting to assay whether inhibition of BAG-1 or Bcl-X_L expression by antisenses could sensitize the HEN-16-2/CDDP cells to apoptotic stimuli.

Apoptosis is controlled **through** cellular **genes including** apoptosis-promoting genes

(p53, Bad, Bak, Bax, Bcl-X_S, Bid, Bik, Bim/Bod, B1k, Bok, Hrk/Dp5, Nip3 and Nik) and apoptosis-inhibiting genes (*Bcl-2, Bcl-w, Bcl-X_L, Bfl-1, Mcl-1*, and *BAG-1*) (for review, see Chao and Korsmeyer, 1998; *Zamzami* **et aL** , 1998; Reed, 1998a). Alterations in these apoptosis-regulating gene products **may** have important roles in carcinogenesis and **MDR** in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells. Therefore, it could be interesting to further examine those apoptosis-related genes that have not yet been studied in **HEN-16-2/CDDP.**

4.5. Identification of Hsp70 and Hsp70-2 chaperones as BAGl interacting proteins from a cDNA library using the yeast two-hybrid system

The anti-apoptotic protein BAG-1 **has** been reported to form complexes **with and** modulate the functions of Bcl-2, the **serine/threonine-specific** protein kinase Raf-1, steroid hormone receptors, such as ER, AR, and GR, retinoic acid receptor (RAR), HGF and PDGF receptors, and **Siah-IA** (Takayama et al., 1995; Wang **ef** al., 1996; **Bardelli** et al., 1996; Kullmann et al., 1998; Liu **et** al., 1998; Matsuzawa et al., 1998; Froesch et al,, 1998; see section 1.4). These observations suggest a general regdatory role for BAG-1 in **signal transduction pathways** involved **in** cell **survival and** possibly other cellular processes as well. However, how BAG-1 accomplishes these multiple fiunctions is still unclear.

To further characterize and understand the role of BAG-1 in regulating apoptosis, a cDNA library **was** screened for novel BAG-1 interacting proteuis. Using BAG-1 p46 as bait in yeast two-hybrid screening, 17 human cDNA sequences of *Hsp70*, *Hsp70-2*, Hsc70 pseudogene and Hsp70Y were cloned (Table 3.4; Figure 3.25 **and** *3.26). Hsp70Y* was highly homologous to Hsc70 at its amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal ends; however, the total length of *Hsp70Y* **cDNA** was much longer than *Hsc70* mRNA, indicating that *Hsp70Y* was a different gene from *Hsc70* and any other *Hsp70* genes, and therefore **was** a novel candidate *Hsp* **Figure 3.26).** Shce *Hsp70Y* **was** 5340 bp, the analysis of this gene **is** still ongoing.

A variety of strategies, including Southwestern blots, phage display, and the yeast two-hybrid system, have been devised to screen large libraries for genes or **kgments** of genes whose products may interact **with** a protein of interest. The yeast two-hybrid system is an *in vivo* method that uses yeast GAL4 protein transcriptional activity as a screening method and **an** assay of exogenous protein-protein interactions Fields and Song, **1989;** Chien et al., **1991;** Fields and Sîemglanz, 1994; Figure 2.3; section 2.12.1).

After the yeast two-hybrid system was developed and refined, various genes were identified through its use. In fact, Hsc?O/Hsp70 **was** identified to be BAG-1-interacting protein by Takayama et al. (1997) using mouse BAG-1 as bait in the yeast two-hybrid system, at the tirne that **1** independently **identifïed** Hsp70, **Hsp70-2,** and **Hsp7OY** to be BAG-1-interacting proteins using **human** BAG-1 **p46 as** bait in the yeast two-hybrid system (see sections 2.12 and 3.7). These independently reproducible results indicate the **high** efficiency **and** specificity of the yeast two-hybrid **system** to screen cDNA libraries.

The yeast two-hybrid system **has** several advantages over other techniques for characterizhg protein-protein interactions. First, it is **highly** sensitive, being able to detect weak and transient interactions that are not detected by other methods. Second, it enables not only identification of interacting proteins, but also the rapid **cloning** of genes

encoding these proteins. Moreover, because it **is** performed in vivo, the proteins produced in this eukaryotic system are probably more similar to their counterparts produced in native cells than those produced in bacterial systems.

The BAG-1 p50 isoform **bas** 71 more amino-terminal amino acids compared to BAG-1 p46. The BAG-1 p50 isoform was reported to collaborate with AR, enhancing its transactivation fimction, whereas the BAG-1 p46 isoform did not (Froesch et **al.,** 1998), indicating an important fimctional difference between the BAG-1 **p50** and **p46** isoforms. Therefore, it would be valuable to screen a cDNA **library using** BAG-1 p50, or even using the amino-terminal fiagment as bait in the yeast two-hybrid system. cDNA encoding proteins that would include, but would not be limited to, AR should be identified as BAG-1 p50 isoform amino-terminal domain binding proteins.

Although BAG-1 was **initidy** identified as a Bcl-2-binding protein, attempts to dernonstrate interactions between BAG-1 and Bcl-2 **using** purified proteins have not been successful. It is possible that BAG-1 interacts with Bcl-2 using Hsp70 chaperones or other proteins as adaptors.

A novel yeast system based on the yeast two-hybrid system, termed the yeast threehybrid system, **has** been recently used to identify interactions among three proteins (Licitra and Liu, 1996), and this system appears to be a potentially useful tool to further characterize BAG-1. Because it is possible that BAG-1 indirectly interacts with other proteins **using** Hsp70 chaperones as adaptors, BAG-1 could be expressed as a fusion protein with the **GAIA BD,** and **Hsp7O** could be conditionally expressed **fkom the** *Pmas* promoter in pBridge plasmid (Figure 4.1). The GAL4 AD fusion protein from a cDNA

library (the same cDNA library that was used in the yeast two-hybrid system), would then be screened, **as** for the yeast two-hybnd system (Figure 4.1).

It is **also** possible that interactions between **Hsp70** chaperones and BAG-1 may confer a BAG-1 conformation that facilitates the direct interaction of BAG-1 with other proteins. In this case, the yeast three-hybrid method described above would be usefiil to identify and clone these proteins and characterize their interactions. However, Hsp70 would need to be inserted in-fiame with *GALA* BD, whereas BAG-1 would be conditionally expressed from the P_{met25} promoter pBridge plasmid.

4.6. Region of BAGl interacting with Hsp70 and Hsp7û-2

Hurnan BAG-1 contains several structural domains that have the potential to be involved in protein-protein interactions **(Dr. Xiaolong Yang, unpublished data)**. There is an α -helical domain located between amino acids 225 and 261. The α -helical domain is a structure with potential for mediating protein-protein interactions (Muchmore *et al.*, 1996). In addition, there is a ubiquitin-like domain located between amino acids 163 **and** 199. The ubiquitin-like domain is also a candidate for facilitating protein-protein interaction, since ubiquitin is involved in interactions with **many** proteins through this domain on target proteins **(for** review, see Hershko and Ciechanover, 1992). **The** yeast two-hybrid system results demonstrated that the BAG-1 carboxyl-teminal amino acids 315 to 345 are responsible for the interaction with **Hsp70** and Hsp70-2 (Figure 3.33 **and** Table 3.5). These findings confirmed the result of *in vitro* binding assays (Figure 3.29;

Figure 4.1

3.30; 3.3 1). My results are consistent **with** those of other independent studies **(Takayama et** al., 1997; **Zeiner** *et* **al., 1997).** Recently, the **BAG-1** carboxyl-terminal domain responsible for interaction **with** Hsp7O chaperones was demonstrated to be located in **a** domain conserved **among BAG-1 family** members (Takayama et al., **1999).**

4.7. BAG1 modulation of Hsp70s chaperone activity

Exposure of cells to sublethal temperature or other stress induces the synthesis **and** accumuiation in the cytoplasm of a set of proteins, collectively hown as Hsps which subsequently makes the cells resistant to normally lethal temperatures or to other forms of cellular injury (Lindquist, 1986; **Parsell** and Lindquisî, **1993).** These phylogenetically sirnilar **and** highly conserved proteins function as enhancers of cell **survival** and behave as molecular protein chaperones at the biochemical level. Hsps bind to nascent or misfolded polypeptides under normal conditions or to denatured proteins created under the influence of physical agents, leading either to their correct folding or to rapid elimination (Beckmann *et* **al.,** 1990; Parsell **and Lindquist,** 1993; Welch, **1993).**

The **family** of **Hçp70** molecular chaperones are **hown** to play key roles in protecting **mammalian** cells. The ATP-bound form of Hsp7O **binds** and releases polypeptides or proteins quickly, whereas the ADP-bound fonn **maintains tight binding** to substrates (Flynn *et al.*, 1989; Palleros *et al.*, 1991; Schmid *et al.*, 1994). BAG-1 has been suggested to have the activity of a nucleotide exchange factor for Hsp70 chaperones in vitro, analogous to the role of GrpE in the bacterial DnaK/DnaJ cycle (Höhfeld and Jentsch, 2997). In contrast, **other data** indicated that **BAG-1 was** a negative regulator of Hsp70 chaperone activity in **vifro** (Takayama et al., 1997; Zeiner **et** al., 1997; Bimston **et** al., 1998). However, the in *vitro* conditions, such as ATP concentration in the reaction buffer of these experiments were different.

These contradictory results suggest that BAG-1 could either inhibit or promote the ATPase activity of Hsp70 chaperones probably dependent on the reagent composition and Ievels in the interaction buffer, and thus modulate the Hsp70s-mediated refolding of thermaLIy denatured proteins. **Thus** far, the precise mechanisms by which BAG-1 regulates Hsp70s-mediated refolding activity in vitro remain unclear.

In my in **vivo** experiments, **der** heat shock, luciferase **and** P-galactosidase in **C33A human** cervical carcinoma cells were denatured, and had reduced levels of enzymatic activity. After retuming **to** normal culture conditions, it appears that **the** expression of BAG-1 protein led to a small but significant increase in the refolding of luciferase and β galactosidase, compared with **C33A-NE0** control cells (Figure **3.34A** and B).

The chaperone fûnction of the **mammalian** Hsp70s is modulated by **their** physical interactions with other proteins. This modulation involves cooperation among multiple chaperone cofactors in complexes that include Hsp70s, Hsp40, Hip, Hop, CHIP and BAG-1 (Hohfeld, 1998; Keiley, 1998; Ballinger **et** al., 1999).

A recent study found that the carboxyl tenninal domain of BAG-1, which was responsible for the interaction with Hsp70s, was conserved among several novel BAG-1 family **members,** including BAG-2 and BAG-3 (Takayama **et** al., 1999). This observation suggested that the modulation of Hsp70s-mediated protein refolding activity by BAG-1 may be redundantly shared with other novel BAG-1 family members.

Moreover, different cell types have distinct intracellular contexts, which could also affect the Hsp7Os-mediated protein refolding activity. To delineate a general **mechanism** whereby BAG-1 modulates Hsp70s-mediated protein refolding *in vivo*, other cell types will also need to be studied,

Hsp70 chaperones are multiple function proteins, and play a role in signal transduction pathways, which lead to adaption to stressful conditions in cells and organisms. Evidence has accumulated for their participation in **regulating** the activity of signahg proteins (Kimura **et al.,** 1995), such as the steroid receptors (Picard **et** al., 1990; Tsai et al., 1994; Nathan and Lindquist, 1995) **and** Ras and Raf kinases (Stancato et al., 1993). Therefore, it **would** be interesting to investigate how **BAG-1** regulates **other** functions of Hsp70s, such as protein complex assembly, translocation and Hsp70associated **protein-ubiquitin-proteasorne** pathway protein-degradation.

4.8. The role of Hsp70s in inhibition of apoptosis

Hsp70s are highly expressed in many tumor cells and have reported to be an indicator of poor therapeutic outcome in breast cancer (Mivechi **and** Rossi, 1990; Ferrarini et al., 1992; Kaur and Ralhan, 1995; Ciocca et al., 1993). Furthermore, transgenic mice overexpressing the human Hsp70 develop T-cell lymphomas (Seo *et al.*, 1996). *Ail* these data suggested that Hsp7O may play a role **in** tumongenesis **and dmg** resistance.

The role that Hsp70s play in the regulation of apoptosis is unclear. **Conflicing** reports on the subject possibly stem from the various mechanisms that different cells use

in response to different stimuli that induce apoptosis. **After** induction of **Hsp70** accumlation, protective effects against Fas-stimdated apoptosis **and** protection from apoptosis-inducing **dmgs** have been reported (Poila **et** al., 1996; Mehlen **et** al., 1996; **Samali** and Cotter, 1996), although the induction of **Hsp70** may not protect fiom apoptosis (Cox **et** al., 1994). In this study, it **was** found that **Hsp70** or **Hsp70-2** overexpression in cervical carcinoma C33A cells conferred protection from cell death induced by various stimuli, including several chemotherapeutic **dmgs,** UV irradiation and heat shock (Figure 3.36).

The precise molecular mechanism whereby Hsp70 expression leads to cell survival or inhibition of apoptosis is not understood. **It has** been suggested to be due to protection from protein denaturation, misfolding and degradation (Hartl *et al.*, 1994; Craig *et al.*, 1994; **Laroia** et al., 1999). Others have suggested that Hsp70s-mediated ce11 **survival** mechanism may arise from its assistance in the transfer of newly synthesized proteins into mitochondria helping to maintain overall mitochondrial integrity (Ungermann *et al.*, 1994; Pfanner **et** al., 1994), which plays an important role in regulating the cell death pathway (for review, see Green and Reed, 1998; Gross et al., 1999; see section 1.1.4). One study indicated that Hsp7O-mediated ceil survival involves the inhibition of caspase activity (Mosser **et** al., 1997); whereas another recent study suggested that Hsp70 exerts its anti-apoptotic function downstream of caspase-3-like effector proteases **(raiattela et** al., 1998).

4.9. Future directions

To more fûlly understand the **molecular** mechanism of apoptosis in carcinogenesis and MDR in human endocervical cells, several experiments could be done using this *in* vitro **HEN-16-2** and **HEN-16-2/CDDP** model:

- 1. It would be interesting to overexpress Bcl- X_L or BAG-1 different isoforms in HEN-16 **cells** and to determine whether BAG-1 and Bcl-XL play a direct role in the process of **multidrug** resistance **and** carcinogenesis.
- 2. Assay mRNA and protein expression levels of *BAG-1*, *Bcl-X_L* and other related genes after treating both cell types with cisplatin or other agents.
- **Examine** other apoptosis-related genes, which **may** also contribute to the inhibition of apoptosis in HEN-16-2/CDDP cells, and their role in MDR and tumorigenesis.
- 4. Use mRNA differential display method (Yang *et al.*, 1996b) or *cDNA* microarrays (Duggan *et al.*, 1999) to identify genes that are differentially expressed in the process of inhibition of apoptosis. Such studies are critical to better understand the molecular mechanisms whereby apoptosis is dysregulated in human cervical cells, since, as discussed above, MDR **and** tumongenesis are driven by a series of changes in gene expression. Thus, identifying genes that are differentially expressed, especially oncogenes, hunor suppressor genes, in the process of **MDR** and tumorigenesis are **critical** to understanding **the** molecular **mechanisms involved** in apoptosis.
- 5. Assay whether inhibition of $BAG-1$, $Bcl-X_L$ or other related gene expression by antisenses or other agents could sensitize the **HEN-16-2/CDDP** cells to apoptotic

stimuli.

6. **Compare the results obtained for HEN-16-2 and HEN-16-2fCDDP with sirnila. experiments** using **primary human ectocervical cells.**

CHAPTER 5

REFERENCES

- Adida C, Berrebi D, Peuchmaur M, Reyes-Mugica M, **Altieri** DC. Anti-apoptosis **gene,** survivin, and prognosis of neuroblastoma. Lancet 351(9106):882-3, 1998.
- **Alani RM,** Münger K **Human** papillomavinises **and** associated malignancies. J Clin Oncol 16(1):330-7, 1998.
- Allan DJ, Harmon BV. The morphologic categorization of cell death induced by mild hyperthennia and cornparison **with** death induced by ionizing radiation and cytotoxic dmgs. Scan Electron Microsc (Pt 3):1121-33, 1986.
- Alnemri **ES,** Livingston DJ, Nicholson DW, Salvesen *G,* Thombeny NA, Wong W, Yuan J. **Human** ICE/CED-3 protease nomenclature. Cell87(2): 17 1, 1996.
- Ambrosini *G,* Adida C, **ALtieri** DC. **A** novel anti-apoptosis gene, **survivin,** expressed in cancer **and** lymphoma. Nat Med 3(8):917-2 1, 1997.
- .Amundson **SA,** Myers TG, Fomace **AJ** Jr. Roles for **p53** in growth arrest and apoptosis: putting on the brakes **after** genotoxic stress. Oncogene l7(25):3287-99, 1998.
- Antony AC, Kane MA, Portillo RM, Elwood PC, Kolhouse JF. Studies of the role of a particdate folate-binding protein in the uptake of 5-methyltetrahydrofolate by cdtured human **KB** cells. **J** Bi01 **Chem** 260(28):14911-7, 1985.
- Aragane Y, Kulms D, Metze D, Wilkes *G,* Poppeimam B, Luger TA, **Schwarz** T. Ultraviolet iight induces apoptosis via direct activation of CD95 (Fas/APO-1) independently of its **Ligand** CD95L. J **Celi** Bi01 140(1):171-82,1998.
- **Baker** SJ, Reddy EP. Modulation of **life and** death by the TNF receptor superfamily. Oncogene 17(25):3261-70, 1998.
- Ballinger CA, Connell P, Wu Y, Hu Z, Thompson LJ, **Yin** LY, Patterson C. Identification of **CHIP,** a novel tetratricopeptide repeat-containing protein that interacts with heat shock proteins and negatively regulates chaperone functions. Mol Cell Biol 19(6):4535-45,1999.
- Bardelli A, Longati P, Albero D, Goruppi S, Schmeider C, Ponzetto C, Comoglio PM. HGF receptor associates with the anti-apoptotic protein BAG-1 **and** prevents cell death. **EMBO J** 15(22):6205-12,1996.
- Bargou RC, Daniel PT, Mapara MY, Bommert **K,** Wagener C, Kallinich B, Royer HD, Dorken B. Expression of the bcl-2 gene farmily in normal and malignant breast tissue: low bax-alpha expression in tumor cells correlates with resistance towards apoptosis. Int J Cancer 60(6):854-9, 1995.
- Bargou RC, Wagener C, Bommert K, Mapara M^Y, Daniel PT, Arnold W, Dietel M, **Guski** H, Feller A, Royer HD, Dorken B. Overexpression of the death-promoting gene bax-alpha which is downregulated in breast cancer restores **sensitivity** to different apoptotic stimuli and reduces **tumor growth** in SCID **mice. J** Clin hvest 97(11):2651-9, 1996.
- Barnhart KM, Bowden GT. Cisplatin as an initiating agent in two-stage mouse skin carcinogenesis. Cancer Lett 29(1): 101-5, 1985.
- Barry MA, Behnke CA, Eastman A. Activation of **programmed** cell death (apoptosis) by cisplatin, other anticamer dnigs, toxins **and-** hyperthermia Biochem Pharmacol

40(10):2353-62,1990.

- Beck WT, Qian XD. Photoaffinity substrates for P-glycoprotein. Biochem Pharmacol 43(1):89-93, 1992.
- **Beckmann RP, Mizzen** LE, Welch WJ- Interaction of **Hsp** 70 **with** newly **synthesized** proteins: implications for protein folding and assembly. Science 248(4957):850-4, 1990.
- Bedell MA, Jones KH, Grossman SR, Laimins LA. Identification of human papillomavirus type 18 transforming genes in immortalized and primary cells. J Virol63(3): 1247-55, **1989.**
- **Bernhard ET, Kao** G, **Cox AD, Sebti** SM, Hamilton AD, Muschel RJ, McKenna WG. **The** farnesyltransferase inhibitor FTI-277 radiosensitizes H-ras-transformed rat embryo flbroblasts. Cancer Res 56(8):2727-30, **L996,**
- Bhushan **A,** Abramson **R,** Chiu E, Tritton **TR,** Expression **of** c-fos in human **and** murine multidrug-resistant **ceus.** Mol Pharmacol **42(1):69-74, 1992.**
- Bimston D, Song J, Winchester D, Takayama S, Reed JC, Morimoto RI. BAG-1, a negative regulator of Hsp70 chaperone **activiîy,** uncouples nucleotide hydrolysis fiom substrate release. EMBO J 17(23):6871-8, 1998.
- Boise **LH,** Gonzalez-Garcia M, Postema CE, **Ding** L, Lindsten T, **Turka** LA, Mao **X, Ndez** G, Thompson **CB.** bcl-x, a bcl-2-related **gene that** functions as a dominant regulator of apoptotic cell **death.** Cell74(4):597-508, **1** 993.
- Bosch FX, Manos MM, Munoz N, Sherman M, Jansen AM, Peto J, Schiffman MH, Moreno V, Kurman R, Shah KV. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in cervical

cancer: a worldwide perspective. International biological study on cervical cancer **PSCC)** Study Group. J Nat1 Cancer Inst 87(11):796-802, 1995.

- Bosch **1,** Croop J. P-glycoprotein multidrug resistance and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 1288(2):F37-54,1996.
- Boudreau N, Sympson **CJ,** Werb **2,** Bissell **UT.** Suppression of ICE and apoptosis in mammary epithelial cells by extracellular matrix. Science 267(5199):891-3, 1995.
- Breuninger LM, Paul S, Gaughan **K,** Miki T, Chan A, Aaronson SA, Kruh GD. Expression of multidrug resistance-associated protein in NIH/3T3 cells confers multidrug resistance associated **with** increased **drug** efflux and altered intracellular drug distribution. Cancer Res 55(22):5342-7, 1995.
- Bukau B, Horwich AL. The Hsp70 and Hsp6O chaperone machines. Cell 92(3):351-66, 1998.
- Buttke TM, Sandstrom PA. Oxidative stress as a mediator of apoptosis. Immunol Today 15(1):7-10, 1994.
- Campos L, Rouault JP, Sabido O, Oriol P, Roubi N, Vasselon C, Archimbaud E, Magaud **JP,** Guyotat D. **High** expression of bcl-2 protein in acute myeloid leukemia cells is associated with poor response to chemotherapy. Blood 8 l(1 l):3O9 **1-6,** 1993.
- Campos L, Sabido O, Rouault **JP,** Guyotat D. Effects of BCL-2 **antisense** oligodeoxynucleotides on in *vitro* proliferation and **survival** of normal marrow progenitors and leukemic cells. Blood 84(2):595-600, 1994.
- Canman CE, Gilmer TM, Coutts SB, **Kastan** MB. Growth factor modulation of p53 mediated growth arrest versus apoptosis. Genes Dev 9, 600-611, 1995.
- Chant ID, Rose PE, Morris AG. Susceptibility of AML cells to *in vitro* apoptosis correlates with heat shock protein 70 (hsp 70) expression. Br J Haematol 93(4):898-902,1996,
- Chao DT, Korsmeyer SJ. BCL-2 family: regdators of cell death. **Annu** Rev Jmmunol 395-419,1998-
- Cheng EH, Levine B, Boise LH, Thompson **CB,** Hardwick JM. Bax-independent inhibition of apoptosis by Bcl- X_L . Nature 379(6565):554-6, 1996.
- Chien CT, Bartel PL, Sternglanz R, Fields S. The two-hybrid system: a method to identify and clone genes for proteins that interact with a protein of interest. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88(21):9578-82, 1991.
- Ciocca DR, Clark GM, Taridon **AK, Fuqua** SA, Welch WJ, McGuire WL. Heat shock protein hsp70 in patients with axillary lymph node-negative breast cancer: prognostic implications. J **Nat1** Cancer Inst 85(7):570-4, 1993.
- Clarke AR, Gledhill S, Hooper ML, Bird CC, Wyliie AH. p53 dependence of **early** apoptotic and proliferative responses within the mouse intestinal epithelium following gamma-irradiation. Oncogene 9(6):1767-73, 1994.
- Clarke AR, Purdie CA, Harrison DJ, Morris RG, Bird CC, Hooper ML, Wyllie AH. Thymocyte apoptosis **induced** by p53-dependent **and** independent pathways. Nature 362(6423):849-52, 1993.
- Clevenger CV, **Thickman K,** Ngo W, **Chang WP,** Takayama S, Reed K. Role of Bag-1 in the survival and proliferation of the cytokine-dependent lymphocyte lines, Ba/F3 and Nb2. Mol Endocrinol 11(5):608-18, 1997.
- Cole SP, Bhardwaj G, Gerlach **JH, Mackie** JE, Grant CE, Almquist **KC,** Stewart **AJ, Kurz** EU, **Duncan** AM, Deeley RG. Overexpression of a tramporter gene in a **multidrug**resistant **human lung** cancer cell *line.* Science 258(5088):1650-4, 1992.
- Cory S. Regdation of lymphocyte survival by the bcl-2 gene **family-** Annu Rev Immun01 13513-43, 1995.
- Coukos *G,* Rubin SC. Chemotherapy resistance in ovarian cancer: new molecular perspectives. Obstet Gynecol 91(5 Pt 1):783-92, 1998.
- Cox G, Oberley LW, Hunninghake GW. Manganese superoxide dismutase and heat shock protein 70 **are** not necessary for suppression of apoptosis in **human** peripheral blood neutrophils. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 10(5):493-8, 1994.
- Craig **EA,** Weissman JS, Horwich AL. Heat shock proteins and molecular chaperones: mediators of protein conformation and turnover in the cell. Cell78(3):365-72, 1994.
- Crook T, Wrede D, Tidy JA, Mason WP, Evans DJ, Vousden KH, Clonal p53 mutation in primary cervical cancer: association with **human-papillomavirus-negative** tumours. Lancet 339(88O 1): 1070-3, **1** 992.
- Croop JM, Guild BC, Gros P, Housman DE. Genetics of multidrug resistance: relationship of a cloned gene to the complete mdtidrug resistant phenotype. Cancer Res 47(22):5982-8, 1987.

Cryns V, Yuan J. Proteases to die for. Genes Dev 12(11):1551-70, 1998.

Cyster **JG,** Hartley SB, Goodnow CC. Competition for follicular niches excludes selfreactive cells from the recirculating B-cell repertoire. Nature $371(6496):389-95$, 1994.

- Danesi R, Nardini D, Basolo F, Del Tacca M, Samid D, Myers CE. Phenylacetate inhibits protein isoprenylation and growth of the androgen-independent LNCaP prostate cancer cells transfected with the T24 Ha-ras oncogene. Mol Pharmacol 49(6):972-9, **1996-**
- Davies R, Hicks R, Crook T, Morris J, Vousden K. Human papillomavirus type 16 E7 associates with a histone Hl **kinase and** with p **107** through sequences necessary for transformation. J **Virol67(5):2521-8, 1993.**
- de Villiers EM. Heterogeneity of the **human** papillomavirus group. **J** Virol **63(11):4898- 903,1989.**
- Debbas **M,** White **E.** WiId-type **p53** mediates apoptosis by **El& which** is inhibited by **ElB,** Genes Dev **7(4):546-54,1993.**
- Demers GW, Foster SA, Halbert CL, Gailoway DA. Growth arrest by induction of **p53 in** DNA damaged keratinocytes is bypassed by **human** papillomavirus **16** E7. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA **9 1(10):4382-6, 1994.**
- Deveraux QL, Talcahashi **R,** Salvesen GS, Reed **JC.** X-linked IAP is a direct inhibitor of cell-death proteases. Nature 3 **88(6639):3OO-4, 1997.**
- DiPaolo JA, Woodworth CD, Popescu NC, Notario V, Doniger **J.** Induction of **hman** cervical squamous cell carcinoma by sequential transfection with human papillomavirus **16** DNA and **viral** Harvey ras. Oncogene **4(4):395-9, 1989.**
- Dixon KEI, Lanpher **BC,** Chiu **J,** Kelley **K,** Cowan **KH.** A novel cDNA restores reduced folate carrier activity and methotrexate sensitivity to transport deficient cells. J Biol Chem **269(1): 17-20, 1994.**
- Donehower LA, Godley LA, Aldaz M, Pyle R, Shi YP, Pinkel D, Gray J, Bradley A. Medina D, **Varmus** HE. Deficiency of p53 accelerates **mammary** tumorigenesis in Wnt-1 transgenic mice and promotes chromosomd instability. Genes Dev 9, 882- 895,1995.
- Dong M, Penin F, Baggetto LG. Efficient purification and reconstitution of Pglycoprotein for functional and structural studies. J Biol Chem 271(46):28875-83, 1996.
- Dragovich T, Rudin CM, Thompson **CB.** Signal transduction pathways that regulate cell survival **and** celi **death.** Oncogene l7(25):3207-13, 1998.
- Drahovsky D, Kreis W. **Studies** on **dmg** resistance. **II. Kînase** patterns in P8 15 neoplasms sensitive and resistant to 1-beta-D-arabino furanosylcytosine. Biochem Pharmacol l9(3):940-4, 1970.
- Duggan DJ, Bittner M, Chen Y, Meltzer P, Trent **JM.** Expression profihg **using** cDNA microarrays. Nat Genet 21(1 Suppl):10-4, 1999.
- Durst M, Gallahan D, Jay *G* **and** Rhim JS. Neoplastic transformation of **human** keratinocytes by human papilomavirus type 16 **and** activated **ras** oncogene. In papillomavinises, pp.255-263. Wiley-Liss, Inc., New York. 1990.
- Dyson N, Guida P, Munger **K,** Harlow E. Homologous sequences in adenovirus **E1A** and **human** papillomavirus E7 proteins mediate interaction with the same set of cellular proteins. J Virol 66(12):6893-902, 1992.
- Dyson **N,** Howley PM, Munger **K,** Harlow E. The **human** papilloma virus-16 E7 oncoprotein is able to bind to the retinoblastoma gene product. Science

243(4893):934-7,1989.

- **Eastman** A. Activation of programmed ce11 death by anticamer agents: cisplatin as a mode1 **system.** Cancer Ceils 2,275-280,1990,
- Enari M, **Sakahira** H, Yokoyama H, Okawa **K,** Iwamatsu A, Nagata S. A caspaseactivated DNase that degrades DNA during apoptosis, and its inhibitor ICAD. Nature 391(6662): 43-50, 1998.
- Endicotî **JA,** Ling V. The biochemistry of P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance. Annu Rev Biochem 58:137-71, 1989.
- Eustace P. History and development of cisplatin in the management of malignant disease. Cancer Nus 3(5):373-8, 1980.
- Eytan GD, Regev **R,** Oren G, Hurwitz CD, **Assaraf** YG. Efficiency of P-glycoproteinmediated exclusion of rhodamine dyes fiom multidrug-resistant cells is determined by their passive trammembrane movement rate. **Eur** J Biochem 248(l): 104-12, 1997.
- Ferrarini M, Heltai S, Zocchi MR, Rugarli C. Unusual expression **and** localization of heat-shock proteins in human tumor cells. Int J Cancer 51(4):613-9, 1992.
- Fields S, Song O. A novel genetic system to detect protein-protein interactions. Nature 340(6230):245-6, 1989.
- Fields S, Stemglanz R. The two-hybnd system: an assay **for** protein-protein interactions. Trends Genet 10(8):286-92, 1994.
- Flynn *GC,* Chappeli TG, Rothman JE. Peptide **binding** and release by proteins implicated as catalysts of protein assembly. Science 245(4916):385-90, 1989.
- Foster SA, Demers GW, Etscheid BG, Galloway DA. The ability of human papillomavirus E6 proteins to target p53 for degradation *in vivo* correlates with their ability to abrogate actinomycin D-induced growth **arrest. J** Virol 68(9):5698-705, 1994.
- Frisch SM, Francis H. Disruption of *epithelial cell-matrix interactions induces apoptosis.* J Ce11 Bi01 124(4):619-26, 1994.
- Froesch BA, Takayama S, Reed **JC. BAG-1L** protein enhances androgen receptor function. J Biol Chem 273(19):11660-6, 1998.
- Fung CY, Fisher DE. p53: from molecular mechanisms to prognosis in cancer. J Clin Oncol 13, 808-811, 1995.
- Furhi G, Vignoli M, Re MC, Gibellini D, Ramazzotti E, Zauli **G,** La Placa M. Human immmodeficiency **vinis** type 1 interaction with the membrane of **CD4+** cells induces the synthesis and nuclear translocation of 70K heat shock protein. **J** Gen Virol 75 (Pt 1): 193-9, 1994.
- Gabai **VL,** Zamulaeva N, Mosin **AF,** Makarova YM, Mosina VA, Budagova **KR.,** Malutina **YV,** Kabakov **AE.** Resistance of Ehrlich tumor cells to apoptosis can be due to accumulation of heat shock proteins. FEBS **Lett** 375(1-2):21-6, 1995.
- Gajewski TF, Thompson CB. Apoptosis meets signal transduction: elimination of a **BAD** influence. Cell87(4):589-92, 1996.
- Galea-Lauri J, Richardson AJ, Latchman DS, Katz DR. Increased heat shock protein 90 @sp90) expression leads to **increased** apoptosis in the monoblastoid ceii **line** U937 following induction with TNF-alpha and cycloheximide: a possible role in
immunopathology. J Immunol 157(9):4109-18, 1996.

- Gallagher **WM,** Caimey **My** Schott **B,** Roninson **IB,** Brown **R** Identification of **p53** genetic suppressor elements **which** confer resistance to cisplatin. Oncogene l4(2): 185-93, 1997.
- Germann UA, Pastan I, Gottesman MM. P-glycoproteins: mediators of multidrug resistance. **Semin** Cell Bi01 4(1):63-76, 1993.
- Gerson SL, Berger SJ, Varnes ME, Donovan C. Combined depletion of 06-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase and glutathione to modulate nitrosourea resistance in breast cancer. Biochem Pharmacol 48(3):543-8, 1994.
- Gerson SL, Willson JK. O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase. A target for the modulation of **dmg** resistance. Hematol Oncol Clin North **Am** 9(2):43 1-50, 1995.
- Goldenberg GJ, Vanstone CL, Israels LG, Iise D, Bihler **1.** Evidence for a transport carrier of nitrogen mustard in nitrogen mustard-sensitive and -resistant L5178Y lymphoblasts. Cancer Res 30(9):2285-9 1, 1970.
- Green DR, Reed JC. Mitochondria **and** apoptosis. Science **28 l(S3** 81): 1309-12, 1998.
- Greene MH. 1s cisplatin a human carcinogen? J Nat1 Cancer **Inst** 84(5):306- 12, 1992.
- Gros P, Ben Neriah YB, Croop JM, Housman DE. Isolation and expression of a complementary DNA that confers multidrug resistance. Nature 323(6090):728-31, 1986.
- Gross A, McDonneli **JM,** Korsmeyer SJ. BCL-2 **family** members and the mitochondria in apoptosis. *Genes* **Da,** l3(lS): 1899-9l1, 1999.

Haber DA, Beverley **SM,** Kiely ML, **Schimke** RT. Properties of **an** altered dihydrofolate

reductase encoded by amplified genes in cultured mouse fibroblasts. **J** Biol Chem **256(18):9501-10,1981.**

- Haddow **A,** Harris R, Kon *G,* Roe E. The growth-inhibitory and carcinogenic properties of 4-aminostilbene and derivatives. Phil. Trans. A. Roy. **Soc. A: 241-247,1948,**
- Haldar S, Negrini M, Monne M, Sabbioni S, Croce CM. Down-regulation of bcl-2 by p53 in breast cancer cells. Cancer Res **54(8):2095-7,1994.**
- Hanada M, Aime-Sempe C, Sato T, Reed JC. Structure-function analysis of Bcl-2 protein. Identification of conserved domains important for homodimerization with **Bcl-2** and heterodimerization **with Bax.** J Biol Chem *UO(2O):* **1 1962-9, 1995.**
- Harris CC, Hollstein M. **Clinical** implications of the p53 tumor-suppressor gene. N **Engl J Med 329(18):13 18-27, 1993.**
- Hart1 FU, Hlodan **R,** Langer **T.** Molecular chaperones in protein folding: the art of avoiding sticky situations. Trends Biochem Sci 19(1):20-5, 1994.
- Hartl FU. Molecular chaperones in cellular protein folding. Nature 381(6583):571-9, **1996.**
- Havre PA, Yuan J, **Hedrick** L, Cho KR, Glazer **PM.** p53 inactivation **by HPV16** E6 **resdts** in increased mutagenesis in **human** cells. Cancer Res **55(19):4420-4, 1995.**
- Havrilesky LJ, Elbendary **A,** Hurteau **IA,** Whitaker **RS,** Rodriguez **GC,** Berchuck **A.** Chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in epithelial ovarian cancers. Obstet Gynecol **85(6): lOO7-LO, 1995.**
- Heck **DV,** Yee CL, Howley **PM,** Munger K. Efficiency of **binding** the retinoblastoma protein correlates with the transforming capacity of the E7 oncoproteins of the

human papillornavinises. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA 89(10):4442-6, 1992.

- Hermeking H, Eick D. Mediation of c-Myc-induced apoptosis by p53. Science 265, 2091-2093.1994.
- Herrington CS. Human papillomaviruses and cervical neoplasia. II. Interaction of HPV with other factors. J Clin Pathol $48(1)$:1-6, 1995.
- Hershko A, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin system for protein degradation. Annu Rev Biochem **61:761-807,** 1992.
- **Hiclunan** ES, Picksley SM, Vousden KH. Celis expressing HPV16 **E7** continue cell **cycle** progression following **DNA** damage induced p53 activation. Oncogene 9(8):2177- 81, 1994.
- **Hickman** JA. Apoptosis induced by anticancer drugs. Cancer Metastasis **Rev** 1 l(2): 12 1 39, 1992.
- Hill BT, Bailey BD, White JC, Goldman ID. Characteristics of transport of 4-amino antifolates and folate compounds by two **luies** of **L5178Y** lymphoblasts, one with **impaired transport** of rnethotrexate. Cancer Res 39(7 Pt 1):2440-6, 1979.
- Hirose Y, Yoshimi N, Suzui M, Kawabata K, Tanaka T, Mori H. Expression of bcl-2, **bax,** and Bcl-XL proteins in azoxymethane-induced **rat** colonic adenocarcinornas. Mol Carcinog 19(1):25-30, 1997.
- Höhfeld J, Jentsch S. GrpE-like regulation of the hsc70 chaperone by the anti-apoptotic protein BAG-1. EMBO J 16(20):6209-16, 1997.
- **HoMeld** J. Regdation of the heat shock conjugate Hsc7O in the **mammalian** celi: the characterization of the anti-apoptotic protein BAG-1 provides novel insights. Bi01

Chem 379(3):269-74, 1998.

- Hollstein M, Rice K, Greenblatt MS, Soussi T, Fuchs R, Sorlie T, Hovig E, Smith-Sorensen B, Montesano **R,** Harris CC. **Database** of p53 gene sornatic mutations in **human** tumors and **cell lines.** Nucleic Acids **Res** 22(17):3551-5, 1994.
- Hollstein M, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Harris CC. p53 mutations in human cancers. Science 253(5015):49-53, 1991.
- Hoppe-Seyler F, **Butz** K. Cellular control of human papillomavirus oncogene transcription. Mol Carcinog 10(3):134-41, 1994.
- Hunt SW **34** Hoffee PA. Amplification of adenosine **deaminase** gene **sequences** in **deoxycoformycin-resistant** rat hepatorna cells. **J** Bi01 Chem 258(21):13185-92, 1983,
- Huschtscha LI, Bartier WA, Ross CE, Tattersaii **MH.** Characteristics of cancer **ce11** death after exposure to cytotoxic drugs in vitro. Br J Cancer 73(1):54-60, 1996.
- Hyde SC, Emsley P, Hartshorn MJ, Mimmack MM, Gileadi U, Pearce SR, Gallagher MP, **Gill** DR, Hubbard **RE,** Higginç CF. Structural mode1 of ATP-binding proteins associated **with** cystic fibrosis, **multidrug** resistance and bacterial transport. Nature 346(6282):362-5, 1990,
- Ikegaki N, Katsumata M, Minna J, Tsujimoto Y. Expression of bcl-2 in **small** ce11 lung carcinoma cells. Cancer Res 54(1):6-8, 1994.
- Irmler M, Thome M, Hahne M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Steiner V, Bodmer JL, Schroter M, Burns K, Mattmann C, Rimoldi D, French LE, Tschopp J. Inhibition of **death** receptor signals by **cellular** FLIP. Nature 3 88(6638): 190-5, 1997.
- Irmler M, Thome M, Hahne M, Schneider P, Hofmann K, Steiner V, Bodmer JL, Schroter M, Burns K, Mattmann C, Rimoldi D, French LE, Tschopp J. Inhibition of death receptor signals by cellular FLIP. Nature 388(6638):190-5, 1997.
- Isacson C, Kessis **TD,** Hedrick L, **Cho** KR. **Both ceii** proliferation and apoptosis increase with lesion grade in cervical neoplasia but do not correlate with human papillomavirus type. Cancer **Res** 56(4):669-74, 1996.
- Izquierdo MA, Scheffer GL, Flens **MI,** Schroeijers AB, van der **Vak** P, Scheper W. Major vault protein LRP-related **multidrug** resistance. **Eur J** Cancer 32A(6):979-84, 1996.
- Jäättelä M, Wissing D, Kokholm K, Kallunki T, Egeblad M. Hsp70 exerts its antiapoptotic function downstream of caspase-3-like proteases. EMBO J 17(21):6124-34, 1998.
- Jattela M. Over-expression of hsp7O confers tumorigenicity to mouse **fibrosarcoma** cells. Int J Cancer 60(5):689-93,1995.
- Janicke RU, Sprengart ML, Wati MR, Porter AG. Caspase-3 is required for DNA hgmentation and morphological **changes** associated with apoptosis. J Bi01 Chem 273(16):9357-60, 1998.
- Juliano RL, Ling V. A surface glycoprotein modulating drug permeability in Chinese hamster ovary cell mutants. Biochim Biophys Acta 455(1):152-62, 1976.
- **Kaina** B, Lohrer **H, Karin** M, Herrlich P. Overexpressed **human** metallothionein IIA gene protects Chinese hamster ovary cells **fiom killing** by alkylating **agents.** Proc Nat1 Acad **Sci** USA 87(7):2710-4, 1990.
- Kaldor **JM,** Day NE, Hemminki K.. **Quantirjing** the carcinogenicity of antineoplastic drugs. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol 24(4):703-11, 1988.
- **Kastan MB, Zhan** Q, el-Deiry WS, Carrier F, Jacks T, Walsh WV, Plunkett BS, Vogelstein B, Fomace **AJ** Jr. A **mammaIian cell** cycle checkpoint pathway utilizing p53 **and GADD45** is defective in ataxia-telangiectasia Ce11 71(4):587-97, 1992.
- Kat A, Thilly WG, Fang WH, Longley MJ, Li GM, Modrich P. An alkylation-tolerant, mutator **human cell** line is deficient in strand-specific mismatch repair. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA 90(14):6424-8, 1993.
- Kaufmann **SH,** Desnoyers S, Ottaviano Y, Davidson NE, Poirier *GG.* Specific proteolytic cleavage of poly(ADP-niose) polymerase: **an** early marker of chemotherapyinduced apoptosis. Cancer Res 53(17):3976-85, 1993.
- Kaufmann SH. Induction of endonucleolytic DNA cleavage in human acute myelogenous leukemia cells by etoposide, camptothecin, **and** other cytotoxic anticancer **drugs:** a cautionary note. Cancer Res 49(21):5870-8, 1989.
- Kaur J, **Ralhan** R Differential expression of 70-kDa heat shock-protein **in** human oral tumorigenesis. Int J Cancer 63(6):774-9, 1995.
- Kawasaki H, Altieri DC, Lu CD, Toyoda M, Tenjo T, Tanigawa N. Inhibition of apoptosis by survivin predicts shorter survival rates in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 58(22):5071-4, 1998.
- Keiley SL, **Basu A,** Teicher BA, Hacker **MP,** Hamer DH, Lazo JS. Overexpression of metallothionein confers resistance to anticancer drugs. Science 241(4874):1813-5, 1988.
- Kelley WL. The J-domain family and the recruitment of chaperone power. Trends Biochem Sci 23(6):222-7,1998.
- Kemp CJ, Wheldon **T, Balmain** A. p53-deficient mice are extremely susceptible to radiation-induced tumorigenesis. Nat Genet 8(1):66-9, 1994.
- Kempf SR, Ivankovic S. Carcinogenic effect of cisplatin **(cis-diammine-dichloroplatinum** @), CDDP) **in BD M** rats. J **Cancer** Res Clin Oncol 111(2):133-6, 1986.
- Kerr JF, Winterford CM, Harmon BV. Apoptosis. **Its** significance in cancer and cancer therapy. Cancer 73(8):2013-26,1994.
- Kerr **JF,** Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenornenon **with** wideranging implications in tissue kinetics. Br J Cancer 26(4):239-57, 1972.
- Kessis **TD,** Slebos **RJ,** Nelson WG, Kastan MB, **Plunkett** BS, **Han** SM, Lorincz AT, Hedrick L, Cho KR. **Human** papillomavirus 16 E6 expression disrupts the **p53** mediated cellular response to DNA damage. Proc **Nat1** Acad Sci USA 90(9):3988- **92,1993.**
- **Kimura** Y, **Yahara** 1, **Lindquist** S. Role of the protein chaperone **YDJl** in establishg Hsp90-mediated signal transduction pathways. Science 268(52lS): 1362-5, 1995.
- Kischkel FC, Hellbardt S, Behrmann I, Germer M, Pawlita M, Krammer PH, Peter ME. Cytotoxicity-dependent APO-1 (Fas/CD95)-associated proteins form a deathinducing signaling complex **(DISC)** with the receptor. EMBO **J** 14(22):5579-88, 1995.
- Kitada S, Takayama S, De Riel K, Tanaka S, Reed JC. Reversal of chemoresistance of lymphoma cells by antisense-mediated reduction of bcl-2 gene expression.

Anlisense Res Dev **4(2):71-9,1994.**

- Knudson CM, Korsmeyer SJ. Bcl-2 and **Bax** fùnction independently to regulate ce11 death. Nat Genet 16(4):358-63, 1997.
- Koi M, Umar A, Chauhan **DP,** Cherian SP, Carethers **JM,** Kunkel TA, Boland CR. **Human** chromosome **3** corrects mismatch repair deficiency **and** microsatellite instability **and** reduces **N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitmsoguanidine** tolerance **in** colon tumor cells **with** homozygous **hMLH1** mutation. Cancer Res **54(16):4308-12,1994.**
- Kondo S, Shinomura Y, **Kanayama** S, Higashimoto Y, Miyagawa JI, **Minami** T, Kiyohara T, **Zushi** S, **Kitamura** S, Isozaki **K,** Matsuzawa Y. Over-expression of Bcl-X_L gene in human gastric adenomas and carcinomas. Int J Cancer 68(6):727-**30, 1996.**
- Kool **M,** de Haas M, Scheffer GL, Scheper RJ, van **Eijk** MJ, fijn **JA,** Baas F, Borst P. Andysis of expression of **cMOAT (MRP2), MRP3, MRP4** and MRPS, homologues of the **multidrug** resistance-associated protein gene **(MRPl),** in human cancer ce11 lines. Cancer **Res 57(16):3537-47, 1997.**

Korsmeyer SJ. Regulators of cell death. Trends Genet 11(3):101-5, 1995.

- Kozopas KM, **Yang** T, Buchan HL, Zhou P, **Craig** RW. **MCL1,** a gene expressed in programmed myeloid cell differentiation, has sequence similarity to **BCL2. hoc Nat1** Acad **Sci** USA **90(8):3516-20, 1993.**
- Rrajewska M, Moss SF, Krajewski S, Song **K,** Holt PR, Reed **JC.** Elevated expression of Bcl-X and reduced **Bak** in primary colorectal adenocarcinornas. Cancer Res **56(10):2422-7, 1996.**
- Krishnamachary N, Center MS. The MRP gene associated with a non-P-glycoprotein multidrug resistaace encodes a 190-kDa membrane bound glycoprotein. Cancer Res 53(16):3658-61, 1993.
- **Kullmann M,** Schneikert J, MOU **J,** Heck S, Zeiner **M,** Gehring U, Cato AC. RAP46 is a negative regulator of glucocorticoid receptor action and homone-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 273(23): 14620-5, 1998.
- Kyriakis JM, Banerjee P, Nikolakaki E, Dai T, Rubie **EA,** Ahmad MF, Avruch J, Woodgett JR. The stress-activated protein kinase subfamily of c-Jun kinases. Nature 369(6476): 156-60, 1994.
- Laroia *G,* Cuesta R, Brewer **G,** Schneider RJ. Control of **mRNA** decay by heat shockubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Science 284(5413):499-502, 1999.
- Lautier D, Canitrot Y, Deeley RG, Cole SP. Multidrug resistance mediated by the multidrug resistance protein (MRP) **gene.** Biochem Pharmacol 52(7):967-77, 1996.
- Levine AJ, Momand J, Finlay CA. The p53 tumour suppressor gene. Nature 351(6326):453-6, 1991.

Levine AJ. p53, the cellular gatekeeper for growth and division. Cell 88(3):323-31, 1997.

- Levy N, Yonish-Rouach E, Oren M, Kimchi A. Complementation by wild-type p53 of interleukin-6 effects on **Ml** celis-induction of celi cycle exit **and** cooperativity **with** c-myc suppression. Mol Cell Bi01 13,7942-7952, 1993.
- Li **H,** Zhu **H,** Xu CJ, Yuan J. Cleavage of **BID** by caspase 8 mediates the rnitochondrial damage in the **Fas** pathway of apoptosis. CeU 94(4):491-501, 1998.
- Li P, **Nijhawan** D, Budihardjo **1, Srinivasula** SM, Ahmad M, Alnemri ES, Wang X.

Cytochrome c and dATP-dependent formation of Apaf- l/caspase-9 complex initiates an apoptotic protease cascade. Cell91(4):479-89- 1997.

- Li SL, **Kim MS,** Cherrick HM, Doniger J, Park **NH.** Sequential combined tiunorigenic effect of HPV-16 and chemical carcinogens. Carcinogenesis 13(11): 1981-7, 1992.
- Liang XH, Mungal S, Ayscue **A,** Meissner JD, Wodnicki P, Hockenbery D, Lockett S,Herman B. Bcl-2 protooncogene expression in cervical carcinoma cell **lines** containing inactive p53. J Ce11 Biochem 57(3):509-21, 1995.
- Licitra EJ, Liu JO. A three-hybrid system for detecting **smali** ligand-protein receptor interactions. Proc **Nat1** Acad Sci USA 93(23): 128 17-2 1, 1 996.
- Liepins A, Bustamante JO. Cell injury and apoptosis. Scanning Microsc 8(3):631-43, 1994.
- **Liepins** A, Younghusband **HB.** Low temperature-induced ce11 surface membrane vesicle shedding is associated with DNA fragmentation. Exp **Cell** Res 161 (2):525-32, 1985.
- Lin EY, Orlofsky A, Berger MS, Prystowsky MB. Characterization of A1, a novel hernopoietic-specific early-response gene with sequence **similanty** to bcl-2. I Immunol 151(4): 1979-88, 1993.
- Lindquist S. The heat-shock response. Annu Rev Biochem 55:1151-91, 1986.
- Liu R, Takayama S, Zheng Y, Froesch B, Chen GQ, Zhang X, Reed JC, Zhang XK. Interaction of BAG-1 with retinoic acid receptor **and** its inhibition of retinoic acidinduced apoptosis in cancer cells. J Bi01 Chem 273(27):16985-92, 1998.
- Liu X, Zou H, Slaughter C, Wang X. DFF, a heterodimeric protein that functions downstream of caspase-3 to trigger DNA fragmentation during apoptosis. Cell

89(2): 175-84,1997.

- Livingstone **LR,** White A, Sprouse J, Livanos E, Jacks T, Tlsty **TD.** Altered cell cycle arrest and gene amplincation potential accompany loss of wild-type p53. **Cell** 70(6):923-35,1992.
- Lloyd RV, Erickson LA, Jin L, **Kulig** E, Qian **X,** Cheville JC, Scheithauer BW. p27kipl: a multifùnctional cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor with prognostic significance in **human** cancers. Am **J Pathol254(2):313-23,1999.**
- Lohrer H. Robson T. Overexpression of metallothionein in CHO cells and its effect on cell **killing** by ionizing radiation and **alkylating** agents. Carcinogenesis 10(12):2279-84, 1989.
- Lotem J, Sachs L. Regulation by bcl-2, c-myc, and p53 of susceptibility to induction of apoptosis by heat shock and cancer chemotherapy compounds in differentiationcompetent and -defective myeloid leukemic cells. Cell Growth Differ 4(1):41-7, 1993.
- Lowe SW, Bodis **S,** McClatchey **A,** Remington L, **Ruley** HE, Fisher DE, Housman DE,Jacks T. **p53 status** and the efficacy of cancer therapy in *vitro.* Science *266(S* l86):807- 1 O, 1994.
- Lowe SW, Ruley HE, Jacks **T,** Housman DE. p53-dependent apoptosis modulates the cytotoxicity of anticamer agents. Ce11 74(6):957-67, 1993a.
- Lowe SW, Schmitt EM, Smith SW, **Osborne** BA, Jacks T. p53 is required for radiationinduced apoptosis in mouse thymocytes. Nature 362(6423):847-9, 1993b.

Lu CD, Altieri **DC,** Tanigawa N. Expression of a novel anti-apoptosis gene, survivin,

correlated **with tumor** cell apoptosis **and** p53 accumulation **in** gastric carcinomas. Cancer Res S8(9): 1808-12, 1998.

- Luo **X,** Budihardjo 1, Zou **H,** Slaughter C, Wang X. Bid, a Bcl2 interacting protein, mediates cytochrorne c **release** fiom mitochondria in response to activation of ce11 surface death receptors. Cell 94(4):481-90, 1998.
- MacCallum DE, Hupp **TR, Midgley** CA, Stuart D, Campbell SJ, Harper **A,** Walsh FS, Wright EG, **Balmain** A, Lane **DP,** Hall PA. **The** p53 response to ionising radiation in adult and developing murine tissues. Oncogene 13(12):2575-87, **1996.**
- Mansur **CP,** Androphy EJ. **Cellular** transformation by papillomavirus oncoproteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1 l55(3):323-&, 1993.
- Matsuzawa S, Takayama S, Froesch BA, Zapata **JM,** Reed JC. p53-inducible **human** homologue of Drosophila seven in absentia **(Siah)** inhibits **ceU** growth: suppression **by** BAG-1 . EMBO J 17(10):2736-47, 1998.
- McDonald ER 3rd, Wu *GS,* **Waldman** T, El-Deiry **WS.** Repair Defect in p21 WAF1/CIP1 -/- human cancer cells. Cancer Res 56(10):2250-5, 1996.
- McDomell TJ, Troncoso P, **Brisbay** SM, Logothetis C, **Chung** LW, Hsieh JT, Tu SM, **Campbell** ML. Expression of the protooncogene bcl-2 in the prostate and its association with emergence of androgen-independent prostate cancer. Cancer Res 52(24):6940-4, 1992.
- Medema **JP,** Scaffidi C, Kischkel FC, Shevchenko A, **Mann** M, Krammer PH, Peter ME. FLICE is activated by association with the CD95 death-inducing signaling complex (DISC). EMBO J 16(10):2794-804, 1997.
- Mehlen P, Schulze-Osthoff K, Amgo **AP. SmaU** stress proteins as novel regulators of apoptosis. Heat shock protein 27 blocks **Fas/APO-1- and** staurosporine-induced celi death. J Biol Chem 271(28):16510-4, 1996.
- Midgley CA, Owens B, Briscoe CV, Thomas DB, Laue DP, HaU PA. Coupling between gamma irradiation, p53 induction and the apoptotic response depends upon celi type **in vivo. J** Ce11 Sci 108 (Pt 5): 1843-8, 1995.
- Minn AJ, Rudin CM, Boise LH, Thompson CB. Expression of Bcl-X_L can confer a multidrug resistance phenotype. Blood 86(5): 1903-10, 1995.
- Mivechi NF, Rossi JJ. Use **of** polymerase chah reaction to detect the expression **of** the **Mr** 70,000 heat shock genes in control or heat shock leukemic celis as correlated to their heat response. Cancer Res 50(10):2877-84, 1990.
- Miyashita T, Krajewski S, Krajewska M, **Wang** HG, **Lin HK,** Liebernanu DA, Hoffinan B, Reed JC. Tumor suppressor p53 is a regulator of bcl-2 and bax gene expression **in vitro** and **in vivo.** Oncogene 9(6):1799-805, 1994.
- Miyashita T, Reed JC. Tumor suppressor p53 is a direct transcriptional activator **of** the human bax gene. Cell80(2):293-9, 1995.
- Mizutani Y, Fukumoto M, Bonavida B, Yoshida O. Enhancement of sensitivity of urinary bladder tumor cells to cisplatin by c-myc antisense oligonucleotide. Cancer 74(9):2546-54, 1994.
- Moreira LF, Naomoto Y, Hamada M, Kamikawa Y, **Orita** K. Assessrnent of apoptosis in oesophageal carcinoma preoperatively treated by chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Anticancer Res 15(2):639-44, 1995.
- Mosser **DD,** Caron AW, Bourget L, Denis-Larose C, Massie B. Role of the human heat shock protein **hsp70** in protection against stress-induced apoptosis. Mol Cell Bi01 **17(9):53 17-27, 1997.**
- Mousseau M, Chauvin C, Nissou MF, Chaffanet M, PIantaz D, Pasquier B, Schaerer **R.,** Benabid A. A study of the expression of four chemoresistance-related genes in human **primary and** metastatic **brain** tumours. **Eur** J Cancer. **29A(5): 753-9, 1993.**
- Muchmore **SW,** SatîIer M, Liang H, Meadows RP, HarIan JE, Yoon HS, Nettesheim D, Chang BS, Thompson CB, Wong SL, Ng SL, Fesik SW. X-ray and NMR structure of **human** Bcl-xL, an inhibitor of programmed cell death, Nature **38 l(6580):335-41, 1996.**
- Münger **K,** Werness BA, Dyson N, Phelps WC, Harlow E, Howley PM. Complex formation of **human** papillomavirus E7 proteins with the retinoblastoma turnor suppressor gene product. EMBO J **8(13):4099-105, 1989.**
- Murdoch WJ. Temporal relationships between stress protein induction, progesterone **withdrawal, and** apoptosis in corpora lutea of ewes treated with prostaglandin **F2** alpha. J **Anim** Sci **73(6): 1789-92, 1995.**
- Nagane **My Asai** A, Shibui S, Nomura **K,** Kuchino Y. Application of antisense ribonucleic acid complementary to **06-methylguanine-deoxyribonucleic** acid methyItransferase messenger ribonucleic acid for therapy of **malignant** gliornas. Neurosurgery **1997 Aug;41(2) ~434-40;** discussion **440-1**

Nagata S, Golstein P. The **Fas** death factor. Science **267(5203): 1449-56, 1995.**

Naito **My** Tsumo T. Reconstitution of **purified** P-glycoprotein ùito liposomes. **J** Cancer

Res Clin Oncol 121(9-10):582-6, **1995,**

- Nakagawa S, Watanabe S, Yoshikawa **H,** Taketani Y, Yoshiike **K,** Kanda **T.** Mutational analysis of human papillomavirus type 16 E6 protein: transforming function for **human** cells and degradation of p53 in **vitro.** Virology 212(2):535-42, 1995.
- Nakao Y, **Yang** X, Yokoyama M, Pater MM, Pater A. **Malignant** transformation of human ectocervical cells immortalized by HPV 18: *in vitro* model of carcinogenesis by cigarette smoke. Carcinogenesis l7(3):577-83, 1996-
- **Nathan** DF, Lindquist S. Mutationai analysis of Hsp9O function: interactions **with** a steroid receptor and a protein kinase. Mol Cell Biol 15(7):3917-25, 1995.
- Neiman PE, Thomas SJ, Loring G. Induction of apoptosis **during** normal and neoplastic B-ce11 development in the bursa of Fabncius. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA 88(13):5857-61, 1991.
- Nümi S, Nakagawa K, Yokota **J,** Tsunokawa Y, Nishio K, Terashima Y, Shibuya M, Terada M, **Saijo N.** Resistance to anticancer drugs in NLH3T3 cells transfected with c-rnyc **and/or** c-H-ras genes. Br J Cancer 63(2):237-41, 1 99 1.
- Nufiez G, Benedict MA, Hu Y, Inohara N. Caspases: the proteases of the apoptotic pathway. Oncogene 17(25):3237-45, 1998.
- Oberhammer F, Wilson **JW,** Dive C, Moms ID, **Hiclanan JA,** Wakelùig **AE,** Walker PR, Sikorska M. Apoptotic death in epithelial cells: cleavage of DNA to 300 and/or 50 kb fragments prior to or in the absence of intemucleosomal fragmentation. EMBO-J 12(9): 3679-84, 1993.

O'Brien ML, Tew KD. Glutathione and related enzymes in **multidnig** resistance. **Eu.** J

Cancer 32A(6):967-78, 1996.

- Oltvai ZN, **Milliman** CL, Korsmeyer SJ. Bcl-2 heterodimerizes **in vivo with** a conserved homolog, Bax, that accelerates programmed cell death. Cell 74(4):609-19, 1993.
- Oren M. Relationship of p53 to the control of apoptotic cell death. Semin Cancer Bi01 5(3):221-7, 1994.
- Ozols RF, O'Dwyer PJ, Hamilton TC, Young RC. The role of glutathione in drug resistance. Cancer Treat Rev 17 Suppl A:45-50, 1990.
- Palleros DR, Welch WJ, **Fink** AL. Interaction of hsp7O **with** unfolded proteins: effects of temperature **and** nucleotides on the kinetics of binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88(13):5719-23,1991.
- Parseli DA, Lindquist S. The fùnction of heat-shock proteins in stress tolerance: degradation **and** reactivation of damaged proteins. **Annu** Rev Genet 27:437-96, 1993.
- Pater MM, Pater A. Expression of **human** papillomavirus types 16 and 18 DNA sequences in cervical carcinoma cell lines. J Med Virol 26(2): 185-95, 1988.
- Pecoraro G, Morgan D, Defendi V. Differential effects of human papillomavirus type 6, 16, and 18 **DNAs** on immortalization and tramformation of human cervical epithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86(2):563-7, 1989.
- Pegg **AE.** Methylation of the 06 position of guanine in DNA is the most **likely** initiating event in carcinogenesis by methylating agents. Cancer Invest 2(3):223-3 1, 1984.
- Pei XF, Meck JM, Greenhalgh D, Schlegel R. Cotransfection of HPV-18 and v-fos DNA induces tumorigenicity of primary human keratinocytes. Virology 196(2):855-60,

1993.

- Perez **GI,** Knudson CM, Leykin L, Korsmeyer **SJ, Tilly JL.** Apoptosis-associated signaling pathways are required for chemotherapy-mediated female germ ceil destruction. Nat Med 3(11):1228-32,1997.
- Pfanner N, **Craig EA,** Meijer M. The protein import machinery of the mitochondrial inner membrane. Trends Biochem Sci 19(9):368-72, 1994.
- Phelps WC, Yee CL, **Munger K,** Howley PM. **The human** papillomavirus type 16 E7 gene encodes tramactivation and transformation functions similar to those of adenovirus E1A. Cell 53(4):539-47, 1988.
- Phillips AN, Smith GD. Cigarette smoking as a potential cause of cervical cancer: has confomding been controiled? **Int** J Epidemiol23(1):42-9, 1994.
- Picard D, Khursheed B, Garabedian MJ, Fortin MG, Lindquist S, Yamamoto KR. Reduced levels of hsp90 compromise steroid receptor action in **vivo.** Nature 348(6297): 166-8, 1990.
- **Pillai MR,** Halabi S, McKalip **A,** Jayaprakash PG, Rajalekshmi TN, Nàir **MK,** Hexman B. **The** presence of **human** papillomavirus-16/48 E6, **p53, and** Bcl-2 protein in cervicovaginal smears from patients with invasive cervical cancer. Cancer Epiderniol Biomarkers Prev 5(5):329-35,1996.
- Pirisi L, Yasumoto S, Feller M, Doniger J, DiPaolo JA. Transformation of human fibroblasts and keratinocytes **with** human papillomavirus type 16 DNA. J Virol 61(4):1061-6, 1987.
- Polla BS, Kantengwa S, Francois D, Salvioli S, Franceschi C, Marsac C, Cossarizza A.

Mitochondria are selective targets for the protective effects of heat shock against oxidative injury. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA **93(13):6458-63, 1996.**

- Ponten **J,** Adami HO, Bergstrom R, Dillner I, Friberg LG, Gustafsson **L,** Miller AB, Parkin DM, Sparen P, Trichopoulos D. Strategies for global control of cervical cancer. Int **J** Cancer **6O(l): 1-26, 1995.**
- Potmesil **M,** Hsiang **YH, Liu** LF, **Wu** *HY,* Traganos F, **Bank** B, Silber **R.** DNA topoisornerase II as a potential factor in **dmg** resistance of **human** malignancies. NCI Monogr 4: **105-9, 1987.**
- Rabinovitz 1, Mercurio AM. **The** integrin alpha 6 beta 4 and **the** biology of carcinoma. Biochem Cell Bi01 **74(6):8ll-21, 1996.**
- Raff MC, Barres BA, Burne JF, Coles HS, Ishizaki Y, Jacobson MD. Programmed cell death and the control of cell survival: lessons from the nervous system. Science **262(5 134):695-700, 1993.**
- **Ralhan R, Kaur J. Differential Expression of Mr 70,000 Heat Shock Protein in Normal,** Premalignant, and **Malignant Human** Uterine Cervix. Clin Cancer Res l(10): **12 17- 1222,1995.**
- **Rao L,** Debbas M, Sabbatini P, Hockenbery D, Korsmeyer S, White E. The adenovirus **E1A** proteins induce apoptosis, which is inhibited by the **El33 19-kDa** and Bcl-2 proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **89(16):7742-6, 1992.**
- Reed **JC,** Meister L, Tanaka S, Cuddy M, **Yum** S, Geyer C, **Pleasure** D. Differentiai expression of bc12 protooncogene in neuroblastoma **and** other **human** tumor ce11 lines of neural **origin.** Cancer Res **5 1(24):6529-3 8, 1991.**
- Reed JC. Bcl-2 and the regulation of programmed cell death. J Cell Biol 124(1-2):1-6, 1994.
- Reed JC. Bcl-2 family proteins. Oncogene 17(25):3225-36, 1998a.
- Reed **JC.** Bcl-2: prevention of apoptosis as a mechanism of **dmg** resistance. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 9(2):451-73, 1995a.
- Reed JC. Double identity for proteins of the Bcl-2 family. Nature 387(6635):773-6, 1997.
- Reed K. Dysregulation of apoptosis in cancer. Cancer J Sci **Am** 4 Suppl I:S8-14, 2998b.
- Reed JC. Regdation of apoptosis by bcl-2 family proteins **and** its role in cancer and chemoresistance. **Curr** Opin Oncol 7(6):541-6, 199%.
- Reeve JG, Xiong J, Morgan J, Bleehen NM. Expression of apoptosis-regdatory genes **in** lung tumour cell lines: relationship to **p53** expression and relevance to acquired **dmg** resistance. Br J Cancer 73(lO): 1 193-200, 1996.
- Roninson IB. Multidrug resistance. Page 1095-1 107, In : Encyclopedia of cancer. Editor-in-chief, Joseph R. Bertino. San Diego: Academic Press, 1996.
- Roy N, Deveraux QL, Takahashi R, Salvesen GS, Reed JC. The c-IAP-1 and c-IAP-2 proteins are direct inhibitors of specific caspases. EMBO **1** 16(23):6914-25,1997.
- Rüdiger S, Buchberger **A,** Bukau B. Interaction of Hsp70 chaperones with substrates. Nat Stnrct Bi01 **4(5):342-9,** 1997.
- Ruley HE. p53 and response to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In Important Advances in ûncology 1996. Edited by Devita **VT** Jr, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 37-56, 1996.
- Sachs L, Lotem **J.** Control of programmed cell death in normal **and** leukemic cells: new

implications for therapy- Blood **82(l): lS-21,1993.**

- Saeki T, **Shimabuku** AM, Ueda **K,** Komano **T.** Specific **dmg** bindiag by purifïed lipidreconstituted P-glycoprotein: dependence on the lipid composition. Biochim Biophys Acta 1 **lO7(l): 105-10, 1992.**
- **Sakahira** H, Enari M, Nagata S. Cleavage of **CAD** inhibitor in CAD activation **and** DNA degradation during apoptosis. Nature **3** 9 **2 (6662)** : **96-9, 1 998.**
- Samali A, Cotter TG. Heat shock proteins increase resistance to apoptosis. Exp Cell Res **223(l): 163-70, 1996.**
- Sanchez-Prieto R, Vargas **JA,** Carnero A, Marchetti E, Romero **J,** Durantez A, Lacal **JC,** Ramon y Cajal S. Modulation of cellular chemoresistance in keratinocytes by activation of different oncogenes. **Int** J Cancer **60(2):235-43,** 1995.
- SchefTher **M,** Romanczuk H, **Munger K,** Huibregtse JM, Mietz **JA,** Howley PM. Functions of human papillomavirus proteins. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 186:83-**99, 1994.**
- Scheffner M, Werness BA, Huibregtse JM, Levine AJ, Howley PM. The E6 oncoprotein encoded **by human** papillomavirus types 16 **and 18** promotes the degradation of **p53. Cell63(6):1129-36,1990.**
- Scheper **RT, Broxterman HJ,** Scheffer **GL, Kaaijk** P, Dalton WS, **van** Heijningen TH, van **Kalken CK,** Slovak ML, de Vries EG, van der **Valk** P, et al. Overexpression of a M(r) 1 1 **0,000** vesicdar protein **in** non-P-glycopro tein-mediated **multidrug** resistance. Cancer **Res 53** (7): **1475-9,1993.**

Schmid D, Baici A, Gehring H, Christen P. Kinetics of molecular chaperone action.

Science 263(5149):971-3,1994.

- Schneider-Gadicke A, Schwarz E. Different human cervical carcinoma ceii lines show simdar transcription patterns of **human** papillomavirus type 18 early genes, EMBO J 5(9):2285-92, 1986.
- Schulz JB, Bremen D, Reed JC, Lommatzsch J, Takayama S, Wullner U, Loschmann PA, Kiockgether T, Weller M. Cooperative interception of neuronal apoptosis by BCL-2 **and** BAG-1 expression: prevention of caspase activation **and** reduced production of reactive oxygen species. **J** Neurochem 69(5):2075-86, 1997.
- Schulze-Osthoff K, Walczak H, Droge W, Krammer PH. Cell nucleus and DNA fragmentation are not required for apoptosis. J Celi Biol 127(1): 15-20, 1994.
- Sellins **KS,** Cohen **JJ.** Hyperthennia induces apoptosis in thymocytes. Radiat **Res** 126(1):88-95, 1991.
- Seo JS, Park YM, Kim JI, Shim EH, Kim CW, Jang JJ, Kim SH, Lee WH. T cell lymphoma **in** transgenic mice expressing the human Hsp70 gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 218(2):582-7, 1996.
- Shapiro AB, **Ling** V. Reconstitution of drug transport by pwified P-glycoprotein. J Bi01 Chem 27O(27): 161 **67-75>** 1995.
- Sharom FJ, Yu X, Doige CA. Functional reconstitution of dnig transport and ATPase activity in proteoliposomes containing partially purified P-glycoprotein. J Bi01 Chem 268(32):24197-202, 1993.
- Shinomiya N, Shinomiya M, Wakiyama **H,** Katsura Y, Rokutanda M. Enhancement of CDDP cytotoxicity by cafFeine is characterized by apoptotic cell death. **Exp** Cell

Res 210(2):236-42,1994.

- Shoji Y, Saegusa M, Takano Y, Ohbu M, **Okayasu 1.** Correlation of apoptosis **with** tumour cell differentiation, progression, and **HPV** infection in cervical carcinoma J **Clin** Pathol49(2): **134-8,** 1996.
- Simonian PL, Grillot DA, Nuñez G. Bak can accelerate chemotherapy-induced cell death independently of its heterodimerization with $Bcl-X_L$ and $Bcl-2$. Oncogene 15(15):1871-5, 1997-
- **Sirotnak** FM, Moccio DM, Kelleher LE, Goutas LJ. Relative fiequency and kinetic properties of transport-defective phenotypes among methotrexate-resistant LI210 cfonal cell **lines** derived in vivo. Cancer Res 41(l t Pt **1):4447-52,** 1981,
- **Sklar** MD, Prochownik EV. Modulation of cis-platinum resistance in Friend erythroleukemia cells by c-myc. Cancer **Res** 5 1 (8):2 1 18-23, 199 1.
- Slebos **RJ,** Lee **MH,** Plunkett BS, Kessis **TD,** Williams BO, **Jacks** T, Hedrick L, **Kastan** *MB,* Cho KR. p53-dependent Gl arrest involves pRB-related proteins **and** is disrupted by the human papillomavirus 16 E7 oncoprotein. Proc Nat1 Acad Sci USA 91(12):5320-4, 1994.
- **Snnivasula** SM, Ahmad M, Ottilie S, Bullrich F, **Banks** S, Wang Y, Fernandes-Alnemri T, Croce CM, Litwack G, Tomaselli KJ, Armstrong **RC, Alnemri** ES. FLAME-1, a novel FADD-like anti-apoptotic molecule that regdates Fas/TNFRl-induced apoptosis. J Bi01 Chem 272(3O): 18542-5, 1997.
- **Sriram** M, Osipiuk J, Freeman B, Morimoto R, Joachimiak A. **Human Hsp7O** rnolecular chaperone binds two calcium **ions** within the **ATPase** domain. Structure 5(3):403-

14, 1997.

- Stancato LF, Chow **YH,** Hutchison KA, Perdew GH, Jove **R,** Pratt WB. **Raf** exists in a native heterocomplex **with** hsp90 and p50 that can be reconstituted in a cell-fiee system. J Biol Chem 268(29):21711-6, 1993.
- Steuart CD, Burke PJ. Cytidine deaminase and the development of resistance to arabinosyl cytosine. Nat New Biol233(38):109-10, 1971.
- Storey **A, Banks** L. **Human** papillomavirus type 16 **E6** gene cooperates **with** EJ-ras to immortalize primary mouse cells. Oncogene 8(4):919-24, 1993.
- Storey **A,** Pim D, Murray **A,** Osborn **K,** Banks **L,** Crawford L. Cornparison of the in *vim* transfonning activities of human papillomavirus types. EMBO J *î(6):* 18 1 5-20, 1988.
- Strasser A, Harris AW, Jacks T, Cory S. DNA damage can induce apoptosis in proliferating lymphoid cells via p53-independent mechanisms inhibitable by Bcl-2. Cell 79(2):329-39, 1994.
- Su **IJ,** Cheng AL, Tsai TF, Lay **JD.** Retinoic acid-induced apoptosis and regression of a refractory Epstein-Barr virus-containing T cell lymphoma expressing multidrugresistance phenotypes. Br J Haematol 85(4):826-8, 1993.
- Sun Q, Tang SC, Pater MM, Pater A. Different **HPVl6 E6/E7** oncogene expression patterns in epithelia reconstmcted **fiom** HPV16-imrnortalized **human** endocervical cells **and** genital keratinocytes. Oncogene l5(20):23 99-408, 1997.
- **Sun** Q, Tsutsumi **K,** Kelleher **MB,** Pater A, Pater MM. Squamous metaplasia of normal and carcinoma **in** situ of **HPV** 16-immortalized human endocervical cells. Cancer

Res 52(15):4254-60, 1992.

- Symonds **H, KralI** L, Remington L, Saenz RM, Lowe S, Jacks T, **Van Dyke T.** p53 dependent apoptosis suppresses tumor growth and progression in **vivo.** Ce11 78: 703- 711, 1994.
- Takano YS, Harmon BV, Kerr **JF.** Apoptosis induced by **rnild** hyperthennia in **human** and murine tumour ce11 lines: a study using electron microscopy **and** DNA gel electrophoresis. J Pathol 163(4):329-36, 199 1.
- Takaoka A, Adachi **M,** Okuda H, Sato S, Yawata **A,** Hinoda Y, Takayama S, Reed JC, **Imai** K- Anti-ce11 death activity promotes pulmonary metastasis of melanoma cells. Oncogene 14(24):2971-7, 1997.
- Takayama S, Bimston DN, Matsuzawa S, Freernan BC, Aime-Sempe C, Xie 2, Morimoto **RI,** Reed JC. BAG-1 modulates the chaperone activity of Hsp70/Hsc70. EMBO J 16(16):4887-96, 1997.
- Takayama S, Krajewski S, Krajewska **M7 Kitada** S, Zapata JM, Kochel **K,** Knee D, Scudiero **Dy** Tudor *G,* Miller GJ, Miyashita T, Yamada M, Reed **JC.** Expression and location of Hsp701Hsc-binding anti-apopto **tic** protein **B AG-** 1 and **its variants** in normal tissues and tumor cell lines. Cancer Res 58(14):3116-31, 1998.
- Takayama S, Sato T, **Krajewski** S, Kochel **K,** Irie S, Millan JA, Reed JC. Cloning and functional analysis of BAG-1: a novel Bcl-2-binding protein with anti-cell death activity. Cell80(2):279-84,1995.
- Takayama S, Xie 2, **Reed** JC. An evolutionarily conserved farnily of Hsp7O/Hsc70 molecular chaperone regulators. J Bi01 **Chem** 274(2):78 1-6, 1999.
- Taylor **JK,** Zhang QQ, Monia BP, Marcusson EG, **Dean** N'M. Inhibition of Bcl-xL expression sensitizes normal human keratinocytes and epithelial cells to apoptotic stimuli. *Oncogene* 18(3 1):4495-504, 1999.
- Thierry AR, Dritschilo **A, Rahman** A. Effect of liposomes on P-glycoprotein function in multidrug resistant cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 187(2):1098-105, 1992.
- Thompson CB. Apoptosis in the pathogenesis and treatment of disease. Science 267(5203): 1456-62, 1995-
- Tsai CM, **Chang** KT, Perng **RP,** Mitsudomi T, Chen **MH,** Kadoyama C, **Gazdar AF.** Correlation of intrinsic chemoresistance of non-small-cell lung **cancer** cell lines **with** HER-2heu gene expression but not **with** ras gene mutations. J Natl Cancer Inst 85(11):897-901, 1993.
- Tsai CM, **Levitzki** A, Wu LH, Chang KT, Cheng CC, Gazit **A,** Pemg **RP.** Enhancement of chemosensitivity by tyrphostin AG825 in high-pl85(neu) expressing non-small ce11 lung cancer cells. Cancer Res 56(5): 1068-74, 1996-
- Tsai CM, Yu D, Chang KT, Wu LH, Perng **RP,** Ibrahim **NK, Hung** MC. Enhaaced chemoresistance by elevation of p185neu levels in HER-2/neu-transfected human Iung cancer cells. J Natl Cancer Inst. 87(9): 682-4, 1995.
- Tsai MJ, O'Malley BW. Molecular mechanisms of action of steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members. **Annu** Rev Biochem *63* :45 1-8 6, 1 994.
- Tsutsumi **K,** Belaguli N, Qi S, Michalak TX, Gulliver WP, Pater A, Pater MM. **Human** papillomavirus 16 DNA immortalizes two types of normal human epithelial cells of the uterine cervix. Am J Pathol l40(2):255-6 1, 1992.
- **Turyk** ME, Golub **TR,** Wood NB, **Hawkins** JL, **Wilbanks** GD. Growth and characterization of epithelial cells from normal human uterine ectocervix and endocervix. In **vitro** Cell Dev Bi01 **25(6):544-56, 1989,**
- Ucker DS, Obenniller PS, Eckhart W, Apgar JR, Berger NA, Meyers **J.** Genome digestion is a dispensable consequence of physiological cell death mediated by cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Mol **Ceil** Bi01 **12(7): 3060-9, 1992.**
- **Ucker** DS. Death and dying in the **immune** system. Adv Pharmacol **41:179-218, 1997. KT21**
- Ungermann C, Neupert W, Cyr DM. The role of Hsp70 in conferring unidirectionality on protein translocation into mitochondria. Science 266(5188):1250-3, 1994.
- van den Brule AJ, Cromme FV, Snijders PJ, Smit L, Oudejans CB, Baak JP, Meijer CJ, Walboomers JM. Nonradioactive RNA in situ hybridization detection of human papillomavirus **16-E7** transcripts in squamous cell carcinomas of the uterine cervix using confocal laser scan microscopy. **Am** J Pathol **l39(5): 1037-6, 1991.**
- Vargas-Roig **LM,** Gago FE, Tel10 O, **Aznar** JC, Ciocca DR Heat shock protein expression and **drug** resistance in breast cancer patients treated with induction chemotherapy. Int J Cancer **79(5):468-75, 1998.**
- Vasey **PA,** Jones NA, Jenkins S, Dive C, Brown R. Cisplatin, camptothecin, **and** taxol sensitivities of cells with p53-associated multidrug resistance. Mol Pharmacol **SO(6): 1536-40, 1996.**
- Vaux DL, **Cory** S, Adams **JM.** Bcl-2 gene promotes haemopoietic cell sumival and cooperates with c-myc to irnrnortalize pre-B cells. Nature **335(6 189):440-2, 1988.**
- Velculescu **VE,** El-Deiry WS. Biological and clinical importance of the p53 tumor suppressor **gene. Clin Chem 42(6** Pt 1):858-68,1996.
- Vogt, C. Untersuchungen über die Entwicklungsgeschichte der Geburtshelferkroete (Alytes obstetricans), 1842.
- Vooijs **GP.** Benign proliferative reactions, intraepithelial neoplasia **and invasive** cancer of the uterine cervix. In: M. Bibbo (ed.), Comprehensive cytopathology, pp. 153-230, W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1991.
- Vousden KH. **Human** papillomaviruses and cervical carcinoma. Cancer Cells 1 (2):43 -50, 1989.
- Wagner **AJ,** Kokontis JM, Hay N. Myc-mediated apoptosis requires wild-type **p53** in a manner independent of cell cycle arrest and the **ability** of p53 to induce p21waf1/cip1. Genes Dev 8, 2817-2830. 1994.
- Waldman T, Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Uncoupling of S phase and mitosis induced by anticancer agents **in** ceils lacking p21. Nature **38** 1(6584):7l3-6,1996.
- Walker PR, Smith C, Youdale T, Leblanc J, WhitfieId **JE;,** Sikorska M. Topoisornerase IIreactive chemotherapeutic **drugs** induce apoptosis in thymocytes. Cancer Res 5 l(4): 1078-85, 199 1.
- Walker TL, White JD, Esdale WJ, Burton MA, DeCruz EE. Tumour cells surviving in vivo **cisplatin** chemotherapy **display** elevated c-myc expression. Br J Cancer. 73(5): 610-4, 1996.
- Walton MI, Whysong D, O'Connor PM, Hockenbery D, Korsmeyer **SI,** Kohn KW. Constitutive expression of **human** Bcl-2 modulates nitrogen **mustard and**

camptothecin induced apoptosis. Cancer Res **53 (8): 1853-6 1,1993.**

- Wang HG, Takayama S, Rapp UR, Reed JC. Bcl-2 interacting protein, BAG-1, binds to and activates the kinase Raf-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93(14):7063-8, 1996.
- **Wang K,** Gross **A,** Waksman *G,* Korsmeyer SJ. Mutagenesis of the BH3 domain of **BAX** identifies residues critical for dimerization and killing. Mol Cell Biol 18(10):6083-**9,1998.**
- Wang OM, Luo X, Kheir A, Coffman FD, Studzinski GP. Retinoblastoma proteinoverexpressing HL60 cells resistant to **1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3** display increased **CDK2** and **CDK6 activity** and shortened **G1 phase.** Oncogene **16(21):2729-37, 1998.**
- Webb **A, Cunningham** D, Cotter **F,** Clarke PA, di Stefano F, Ross **P,** Corbo M, Dziewanowska **2. BCL-2** antisense therapy in patients with non-Hodgkin lyrnphoma. Lancet **349(9059): 1 13 7-41, 1997.**
- Welch WJ. Heat shock proteins functioning as molecular chaperones: their roles in **normal** and stressed cells. Philos **Tram** R Soc Lond B **Bi01** Sci **339(1289):327-33, 1993.**
- Werness BA, Levine AT, Howley **PM.** Association of **human** papillomavirus types **16** and **18 E6** proteins with **p53.** Science **248(49S 1):76-9, 1990.**
- White AE, Livanos EM, Tlsty TD. Differential disruption of genomic integrity and cell cycle regulation of nomal fibroblasts by the HPV oncoproteins. Genes Dev **8, 666- 677,1994.**
- White E. Life, death, and the **purçuit** of apoptosis. Genes Dev **10(1):1-15, 1996.**
- Williams **GT,** Smith CA, Spooncer **Es** Dexter TM, Taylor DR Haemopoietic colony stimmdating factors promote cell survival by suppressing apoptosis. Nature 343(6253):76-9,1990.
- Woodworth CD, Bowden PE, Doniger J, Pirisi L, Barnes W, Lancaster WD, DiPaolo JA. Characterization of normal **human** exocervical epithelial cells immortalized in **vitro** by papillomavirus types 16 and 18 DNA. Cancer Res 48(16):4620-8, 1988.
- Woodworth CD, Doniger **J,** DiPaolo **JA.** Immortalization of **human** foreskin keratinocytes by various **human** papillomavirus DNAs corresponds to **their** association with cervical carcinoma. J Virol 63(1): 159-64, 1989.

Wyllie AH. Apoptosis: cell death in tissue regulation. J Pathol 153(4):313-6. 1987.

- Xem L, Parc P, Brousset P, Schlaifer **Dy** Hassoun **J,** Reed JC, Krajewsk. S, Birnbaum D. Predominant expression of the long isoform of Bcl-x $(BcI-X_L)$ in human lymphomas. Br **J** Haematol92(4):900-6, 1996.
- Yamamoto Y, Shimizu E, Masuda N, Takada M, Sone S. RB protein status and chemosensitivity in non-small cell Iung cancers. Oncol Rep 5(2):447-5 1, 1998.
- Yamazaki H, Schneider E, Myers CE, Sinha BK. Oncogene overexpression **and** de novo drug-resistance in **human** prostate cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1226(1):89- 96, 1994.
- Yang **X,** Jin *G,* Nakao Y, Rahimttula M, Pater MM, Pater A. Malignant transformation of **HPV** 16-immortalized human endocervical cells by cigarette smoke condensate and characterization of multistage carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer 65(3):33 8-44, 1996a.

Yang **X,** Nakao Y, Pater MM, Pater A. Identification of two novel cellular genes

associated with multistage carcinogenesis of human endocervical cells by mRNA differential display. Carcinogenesis 17(3):563-7, 1996b.

- Yang **X,** Chernenko G, Hao Y, Ding Z, Pater MM, Pater **A,** Tang SC. Human **BAG-** $1/RAP46$ protein is generated as four isoforms by alternative translation initiation **and** overexpressed in cancer cells. Oncogene l7(8):98 1-9, 1998a.
- **Yang** X, Hao Y, Pater MM, Tang SC, Pater A. Enhanced expression of anti-apoptotic proteins in human **papillomavirus-immortalized** and cigarette smoke condensatetransformed human endocervical cells: correlation with resistance to apoptosis induced by DNA damage. Mol Carcinog 22(2):95-101, 1998b.
- Yang X, Hao Y, Ding Z, Pater A, Tang SC. Differential expression of anti-apoptotic gene BAG-1 in **human** breast normal and cancer cell lines and tissues. **Clin.** Cancer Res. 1999a(in press).
- Yang **X,** Hao Y, Ferenczy **A,** Tang SC, Pater A. Overexpression of anti-apoptotic gene BAG-1 in human cervical cancer. **Exp** Cell Res 247(1):200-7, 1999b.
- Yin C, Knudson CM, Korsmeyer SJ, **Van** Dyke T. **Bax** suppresses tumorigenesis and stimulates apoptosis in vivo. Nature 385(6617):637-40, 1997.
- Yin Y, Tainsky MA, Bischoff FZ, Strong LC, Wahl GM. Wild-type p53 restores cell cycle control and inhibits gene amplification in cells with mutant **p53** alleles. **CeU** 70(6):937-48, 1992.
- Yonish-Rouach E, Deguin V, Zaitchouk T, Breugnot C, Mishal **2,** Jenkins **JR,** May E. Transcriptional activation plays a role in the induction of apoptosis by transiently transfected wild-type **p53.** Oncogene 1 1(11):2 197-205, 1995.
- Yonish-Rouach E, Resnitzky D, Lotem **J,** Sachs L, Kimchi **A,** Oren M. Wild-type p53 induces apoptosis of myeloid leukaemic cells that is inhibited by interleukin-6. **Nature 352(6333):345-7,1991.**
- Yoo YD, Kang S, Kang *YK*. Cellular resistance to adriamycin conferred by enhanced Rb expression **is** associated **with** increased **MDRl** expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **249(1):6-10, 1998.**
- **Zama- GJ,** Flens **MJ,** van Leusden **MR,** de Haas M, Mulder HS, Lankelma J, Pinedo HM, Scheper RJ, Baas F, Broxterman **HI,** et al. The **human** multidrug resistanceassociated protein MRP **is** a plasma membrane hg-efflux **pump. Proc Natl** Acad Sci USA **91(19):8822-6, 1994.**
- **Zamzami N,** Brenner C, **Marzo 1, Susin** SA, Kroemer G. Subcellular and submitochondrial mode of action of Bcl-2-Iike oncoproteins. Oncogene **l6(17):2265-82, 1998.**
- Zapata **JM,** Krajewska M, Krajewski S, Huang **RP, Takayama** S, Wang HG, Adamson E, Reed **JC.** Expression of multiple apoptosis-regulatory genes in human breast cancer ce11 lines **and primary** tumors. Breast Cancer Res Treat **47(2): 129-40, 1998.**
- Zeiner M, Gebauer M, Gehring U. **Mammalian** protein **RAP46:** an interaction partner and modulator of **70 kDa** heat shock **proteins.** EMBO J **16(18):5483-90, 1997.**
- Zeiner M, Gehring U. A protein that interacts **with** members of the nuclear hormone receptor family: identification and cDNA cloning. Proc **Natl** Acad Sci USA **92(25):11465-9, 1995.**
- **Zha** H, Reed JC. **Heterodimerization-independent** fûnctions of ce11 death regulatory

proteins **Bax** and Bcl-2 in yeast and **mammalian** cells. J Biol Chem 272(50):3 1482- 8, 1997.

- **Zha J,** Harada H, Yang E, Jockel J, Korsmeyer **SJ-** Serine phosphorylation of death agonist BAD in response to survival factor results in binding to 14-3-3 not BCL-X. **CeU** 87(4):619-28, 1996.
- Zhan Q, Fan S, Bae I, Guillouf C, Liebermann DA, O'Connor PM, Fornace AJ Jr. Induction of **bax** by genotoxic stress in human cells correlates with **normal** p53 status and apoptosis. Oncogene 9(12):3743-S 1, **1994.**
- Zhang L, Hung MC. Sensitization of HER-2/neu-overexpressing non-small cell lung cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs by tyrosine **kinase** inhibitor emodin. Oncogene 12(3):571-6, 1996.
- Ziegler A, Luedke GH, Fabbro D, **Altmann** KH, Stahel RA, Zangemeister-Wittke U. Induction of apoptosis in small-cell lung cancer cells by an antisense oligodeoxynucleotide targeting the Bcl-2 coding sequence. **J** Nat1 Cancer Inst 89(l4): 1027-36, 1997.
- Zou **H,** Henze1 WJ, Liu X, Lutschg A, Wang X. Apaf-1, a human protein homologous to C. elegans CED-4, participates **in** cytochrome c-dependent activation of caspase-3. Ceil 90(3):405-13, 1997.
- **zur** Hausen H, de Villiers **EM. Human** papillornavinises. Annu **Rev** Microbiol48:427-47, 1994.
- zur Hausen H. Human papillomaviruses in the pathogenesis of anogenital cancer. Virology l84(l):g-l3, 1992a.

 z ur Hausen H. Viruses in human cancers. Science 254(5035):1167-73, 1991b.